EXHIBIT 2

Page 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO Gerardo Campos, et al., : Plaintiffs, : Case No. VS. 3:12-cv-01529-ADC Safety-Kleen Systems, : Inc., et al., Defendants. DEPOSITION OF PETER G. SHIELDS, M.D. Friday, May 9, 2014 9:19 o'clock a.m. Crabbe, Brown & James 500 South Front Street Suite 1200 Columbus, Ohio 43215 ANN FORD REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER

,	Page 2				
1	APPEARANCES:				
2	MICHAEL A. ROBB, Attorney at Law (Appearing Telephonically)				
3	Clark, Robb, Mason, Coulombe, Buschman & Charbonnet, P.A.				
4	7501 Wiles Road Building 3, Suite 207				
5	Coral Springs, Florida 33067 (954)753-3902 mrobb@clarkrobb.com				
7	On behalf of the Plaintiffs.				
8	HEATHER J. FORGEY, Attorney at Law				
9	Jones, Carr, McGoldrick Premier Place				
10	5910 North Central Expressway Suite 1700				
11	Dallas, Texas 75206 (214)828-9200 (214)828-9229				
12	Heather.Forgey@JCMFirm.com				
13	On behalf of the Defendants Safety-Kleen Systems, Inc. and Safety-Kleen				
14	Corporation.				
15	FRANCISCO COLÓN, Attorney at Law (Appearing Telephonically)				
16	Colón & Colón, PSC 173 O'Neill Street				
17	San Juan, Puerto Rico 00918-2404 (787)758-6060				
18	(787)753-1656 fax fecolon@colonlaw.com				
19					
20	On behalf of the Defendant Makita U.S.A., Inc.				
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

```
Page 3
                                FRIDAY MORNING SESSION
 1
                                May 9, 2014
                                9:19 o'clock a.m.
 2
 3
 4
                         STIPULATIONS
 5
 6
               It is stipulated by and between counsel
 7
    for the respective parties herein that this
 8
    deposition of PETER G. SHIELDS, M.D., a Witness
 9
    herein, called by the Plaintiffs under the statute,
10
    may be taken at this time and reduced to writing in
11
    stenotypy by the Notary, whose notes may thereafter
12
    be transcribed out of the presence of the witness;
13
    and that proof of the official character and
14
    qualifications of the Notary is waived.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1				
,			Page	4
1	INDEX			
2	WITNESS	PAGE		
3		PAGL		
4	PETER G. SHIELDS, M.D.			
5	Examination (By Mr. Robb)	6		
6	Examination (By Ms. Forgey)	123		
7				
8				
9	EXHIBITS	MARKED		
10	Exhibit No. 1	6		
11	(Cleveland Clinic Website Info on Diseases & Conditions, Leukemia)			
12	Exhibit No. 2	6		
13	(Johns Hopkins Website Info on Leukemia Risk Factors)			
14	Exhibit No. 3 (Children's Leukemia Research Assoc, Inc.	6		
15	Website Info by Peter H. Wiernick, M.D.)			
16	Exhibit No. 4	6		
17	(Cancer Council Website Info on Causes of chronic myeloid leukaemia)			
18	Exhibit No. 5	6		
19	(Montana Cancer Control Section Quarterly Surveillance Report			
20	Re: Leukemia, Lymphoma, and Myeloma)			
	Exhibit No. 6	6		
21	(Document on Leukaemia)			
22	Exhibit No. 7 (University of Michigan Document	6		
23	by Dale Bixby, M.D., Ph.D., 2009)			
24	Exhibit No. 8	6		
25	(Search Health24 Website Info on Leukaemia)			

```
Page 5
 1
                          I N D E X
 2
 3
    EXHIBITS
                                                MARKED
 4
   Exhibit No. 9
                                                  6
    (UC Davis Comprehensive Cancer Center
 5
    Website Info on Leukemia)
 6
   Exhibit No. 10
                                                  6
    (Irish Cancer Society Website Info on
 7
    Causes and Prevention of
    chronic myeloid leukaemia (CML))
 8
    Exhibit No. 11
                                                  6
 9
    (Cancer Research UK Website Info on
    chronic myeloid leukaemia (CML)
    risks and causes)
10
11
    Exhibit No. 12
                                                  129
    (Objections by Defendants Safety-Kleen)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 6 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 3 And, thereupon, Exhibit Nos. 1 through 11 were premarked for purposes of identification. 4 5 PETER G. SHIELDS, M.D., 6 7 being by me first duly sworn, as hereinafter 8 certified, testifies and says as follows: 9 EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBB: 10 11 Good morning, Doctor. Please state your 12 name and your professional address, please. 13 Α. Peter Gary Shields. My professional 14 address, although not here as part of my professional 15 activities for Ohio State University, is the James 16 Cancer Center, Ohio State University Comprehensive 17 Cancer Center, The Ohio State University, 300 West 18 10th Street, Columbus, Ohio 43210. 19 Doctor, do you believe Benzene causes Q. 20 leukemia? 21 Α. Which type of leukemia? 22 Q. Does it cause leukemia in general, Doctor? 23 No. It causes a specific type of leukemia Α. 24 called acute myelogenous leukemia. 25 Q. Okay. Have you testified in the past in

Page 7

- 1 cases where Safety-Kleen has retained you in AML
- 2 cases to that very fact?
- 3 A. I don't recall. I've done work for
- 4 | Safety-Kleen, whether they've gone to testifying or
- 5 | not, I just don't remember either way.
- 6 | Q. Okay. Well, you're aware of the fact
- 7 | though, aren't you, from your discussions with
- 8 | Safety-Kleen personnel that they take the position
- 9 that their products do not cause any type of
- 10 | leukemia; you take issue with that though, correct?
- 11 A. I'm not sure where you're getting that
- 12 from.
- MS. FORGEY: And I'll object to the form.
- 14 BY MR. ROBB:
- 15 Q. Well, listen to my question, Doctor.
- 16 You believe that Benzene causes AML,
- 17 | right?
- 18 A. So I guess you're raising a very good
- 19 point. That's correct. As my report clearly states,
- 20 | it causes AML in specific and sufficient doses.
- 21 Q. Okay. And in this particular case, you
- 22 | agree you're not a chemist, correct?
- 23 A. That's correct, although I had a major in
- 24 biochemistry.
- 25 Q. I understand. But you've in the past

Page 8 testified that you do not hold yourself out to be an 1 2 expert in chemistry, correct? I don't recall either way, but I would 3 tell you sitting here today that I would not hold 4 5 myself out as an expert in chemistry. 6 Okay. You do not hold yourself out as an expert in dose response and exposure ratios, correct? 8 Α. Can you clarify that? 9 Q. Doctor, you don't consider yourself an 10 expert in this particular case on the issues of the 11 exposure that my client had to this product, correct? 12 Α. No. That's not correct. 13 Q. So you're an expert on exposure? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. And you're an expert on causation? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And you're an expert on epidemiology? Q. 18 Correct. Α. 19 Q. And you're an expert in oncology? 20 Α. Correct. 21 Are you an expert in hematology? Q. 22 Α. Yep. 23 And you're all of those in this particular Q. 24 case?

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

That's correct.

25

Α.

```
Page 9
               Do you know why Safety-Kleen hired experts
 1
    Q.
    in all those other areas --
 3
    Α.
               I can't --
 4
    Q.
               -- in spite of having you?
 5
               I can't speak for them.
               MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form.
 6
 7
    BY MR. ROBB:
 8
    Q.
               Do you have the reports of Dr. Panko?
               I have to look.
    Α.
10
               Well, take a second and see if you got the
11
    report of Dr. Panko who they hired in this case to do
12
    an exposure assessment.
13
    Α.
               I actually do not have -- oh, wait.
14
    spelled it wrong.
15
               That's P-a-n-k-o for our court reporter.
16
               So I do.
    Α.
17
               Okay. And did you read her report?
    Q.
18
               I believe I did.
    Α.
19
               Okay. And you understand -- do you
    Q.
    understand what her role in this case is?
20
21
    Α.
               Yep.
22
    Q.
               All right. And she's the exposure expert
23
    in this particular case, right?
24
               I think you probably don't understand
25
               So why don't you clarify --
    exposure.
```

Page 10 What is her role then in --1 Q. 2 Α. Excuse me. I'm talking. 3 Why don't you clarify it, and then I could probably answer your question very specifically 4 5 because it's a very vague question. 6 MS. FORGEY: I think the term exposure is 7 vaque, Mike. BY MR. ROBB: 8 9 Q. Well, Doctor, you read Doctor -- you read 10 Ms. Panko's report, correct? 11 Correct. Α. 12 Do you know any of her qualifications? 0. 13 I would have to look at her report. don't remember them offhand. 14 15 Okay. What is her role in this case based 16 on what you read? 17 She's estimating exposure through the Α. 18 products that has been used by Mr. Campos. 19 Q. Okay. And are you going to do that also 20 in this case? 21 Α. I'm not going to do what she did. 22 Q. Okay. Obviously, you never asked our 23 permission to speak with Mr. Campos, correct? 24 That's correct. Α. 25 Q. Have you reviewed his deposition?

Page 11 1 Α. Yes. 2 Have you reviewed Dr. Nicas's report in Q. 3 this particular case? Yes. 4 Α. All right. And do you have any criticisms 5 Q. 6 of his report? I would have to pull it out, but my Α. 8 recollection is that his was a response as a 9 rebuttal, and he commented on a few specific things 10 to try to support Dr. Kopstein. And so I don't have 11 specific criticisms, per se, except to say that 12 Dr. Kopstein's approach and Dr. Nicas's supplement 13 doesn't really affect my opinion either way or my 14 opinion about exposures. 15 MS. FORGEY: Well, and, Mike, let me just 16 interject an objection. 17 To the extent that Dr. Nicas has not been 18 deposed yet, he can't comment on his deposition. 19 MR. ROBB: Please just object to the form 20 of the question. That's all you're allowed to do. 21 Okay? No speaking objections. BY MR. ROBB: 22 23 Doctor, you just testified that Mr. Nicas 0. 24 was defending the exposure assessments of 25 Dr. Kopstein, but he didn't do that, did he?

Page 12 I would have to open up the report to see. 1 Α. 2 Well, in his report, he specifically says Q. 3 that he's not commenting on anything that 4 Dr. Kopstein did, yet you just told us under oath 5 that, in fact, that's what he did in his report. MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form. 6 7 Misstates testimony. 8 BY MR. ROBB: 9 Q. Right? 10 I'm looking at the report. 11 Well, did you not just testify that 12 Dr. Nicas's report that you looked at was to address 13 things that Dr. Kopstein had said in his report; 14 didn't you, in fact, say that? 15 Well, I guess that's what the record will 16 We could have the record read back. 17 Well, I'm just asking you, sir. You made Q. 18 a comment under oath that Dr. Nicas's involvement in 19 this case was to rebut some things and to basically 20 support Dr. Kopstein's assessments, yet did you even 21 read his report? 22 MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form. 23 Misstates testimony. 24 MS. FORGEY: Mr. Robb, we're going far 25 afield of the causation issues that Dr. Shields has

Page 13 come here to talk about. 1 2 MR. ROBB: Excuse me, counselor. Anything 3 he's reviewed is fair game. Okay. So please just make objections to the form. Okay? 4 Okay. So let me try and make this a 5 6 little bit quicker for you and answer your question. 7 So Mark Nicas wrote a report to respond to the 8 reports of Panko and Spencer. Panko and Spencer are 9 criticizing Kopstein, and that's why I recalled it the way I did. 10 11 All right. And you agree that both Panko 12 and Spencer used the technique or study that 13 Dr. Nicas -- or Mark Nicas developed, correct? 14 I don't have expertise in that area. 15 MS. FORGEY: And I'll object to the form. 16 BY MR. ROBB: 17 Okay. Well, then, if you read their Q. 18 reports, you know that Dr. Nicas is involved in 19 the -- or developed a study that was mentioned and 20 used by both Dr. Panko and Dr. Spencer in doing their 21 exposure assessments, right? 22 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. Join. 23 MR. COLÓN: 24 I don't recall that. I would have to go 25 back to their reports.

Page 14 1 Okay. Doctor, you'll agree that there are Q. 2 studies that do statistically link Benzene exposure 3 to CML? Rare studies. 4 Α. 5 But you do agree there are studies that Q. 6 support the plaintiff's position in this particular case that Benzene can cause the CML that he has in 8 this particular case? MR. COLÓN: Objection to form. 9 BY MR. ROBB: 10 11 Right? 12 Among the dozens of studies that address Α. 13 the question, there's maybe one or one-and-a-half that will support their contention. 14 15 And those are specifically relevant 16 studies, are they not? 17 Α. Well --18 MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form. 19 -- relevant is a different question. Α. 20 The answer is no. 21 They are statistically valid studies, 22 aren't they, Doctor? 23 MR. COLÓN: Same objection. 24 They're using acceptable statistical 25 analysis if that's what you're asking me.

```
Page 15
 1
               That's what I'm asking you, Doctor.
    Q.
                                                      The
 2
    studies that show a link between CML and Benzene
 3
    exposure are based on sound statistical principles,
    correct?
 4
 5
               MR. COLÓN:
                           Objection as to form.
 6
               MS. FORGEY: Objection to form.
 7
               Mr. Robb, can we have an agreement that an
 8
    objection by one is good for both defendants?
 9
                          Absolutely. I don't want our
               MR. ROBB:
10
    court reporter to run out of the room screaming.
11
               So I'm talking specifically about two
12
    studies.
13
    Q.
               Doctor, there's more than two studies that
14
    link CML to Benzene exposure, you would agree with
15
    that?
16
               No, I don't.
17
               MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
18
               I don't agree with that.
    Α.
19
               Okay. What two studies -- what are the
    Q.
20
    only two studies in the world, according to you, that
21
    link CML to Benzene exposure?
22
               MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
23
    Α.
               So there's one by Atigoke --
24
               Yes, sir.
    Q.
25
               -- which has a lot of issues.
    Α.
```

Page 16 And the other one, when I said a half, is 1 2 because there's a study by -- wait -- so it's the 3 Glass study or Vlaanderen. I think it's the Glass study -- I would have to look at it -- where at lower 4 5 levels -- or intermediate levels, I should say, there 6 was a statistical relationship, and at a higher level, there was not a statistical relationship. 8 Okay. And that last study you just talked Q. 9 about, the statistical relationship in the mid-level 10 was three times what somebody that was unexposed to 11 the chemical would experience, correct? 12 I'm pulling the papers so we're talking Α. 13 exactly. Well, actually, that's not correct. So at 14 the mid-level exposure -- now I'm referring to Glass, 15 2014 --16 Q. Yes, sir. 17 -- it's at levels of greater than 18 2.933 ppm -- I'm sorry -- at levels between .348 and 19 2.93 ppm, the risk which was statistically 20 significant was 5.04; but at the higher level, the 21 risk drops and is no longer statistically 22 significant, and the trend test was not 23 significant -- was not significant. 24 5.04 is a solid statistical relative risk 0. 25 to establish a connection, correct?

```
Page 17
               I guess I don't understand that question.
 1
    Α.
 2
               Well, 2.0 would mean that there's a --
    Q.
 3
    well, 1.0 is a statistical -- well, strike that.
 4
               Let me ask this. You would agree that
 5
    there are studies where the relative risk of Benzene
 6
    and CML being associated are greater than 2.0?
 7
               MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
 8
               So I just gave you the two studies,
    Α.
 9
    correct?
10
               Okay. And you, in fact, yourself believe
    Q.
11
    that CML can be caused by Benzene exposure, correct?
12
               MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form.
13
    Α.
               Say that again.
14
               You yourself believe that Benzene can
    0.
15
    cause CML, do you not?
16
               No. I don't believe that.
    Α.
17
    Q.
               You've never believed that, right?
18
    Α.
               No.
19
               Okay. Well, you know, I didn't ask that
    Q.
20
    very well. That was like a double negative.
21
    Α.
               Yeah.
22
    Q.
               Have you ever believed that Benzene causes
23
    CML?
24
               Not that I can recall.
    Α.
25
    Q.
               Doctor, in order to determine what causes
```

Page 18

- or what -- well, strike that. Let me think this through.
- You obviously are aware of the Bradford
 Hill criteria for determining causation, correct?
- 5 A. Correct.

Bradford Hill, correct?

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Before you would make a statement regarding a particular drug or chemical or solvent being the cause of a particular leukemia, you yourself would go through that exercise of the
- 11 A. So, Mr. Robb, maybe you haven't read my 12 report, but there's a pretty extensive analysis of 13 this in there. So the answer is I did it for this 14 case, and I would do that for any other questions 15 around general causation.
 - Q. That's why I'm asking it. So if anybody ever asked you in the past about whether or not you felt that CML was caused by Benzene exposure, you would have thought that through under the Bradford Hill criteria and make that determination; is that fair?
- MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
- 23 A. I -- yeah. Sure. That would be fair.
- 24 Assuming that it was in the right context and I had a
- 25 chance to think about the Bradford Hill criteria,

Case 3:12-cv-01529-PAD Document 159-2 Filed 08/11/14 Page 20 of 147 Page 19 et cetera. 1 2 Well, I mean, have you told people in the Q. 3 past that you believe CML was caused by Benzene 4 exposure? 5 Not that I can recall. 6 When you give depositions like you do today, you take an oath to tell the truth, correct? 8 Α. Correct. 9 Q. And can we rely upon what you testify to 10 in depositions as being the truth and what you truly 11 believe? 12 Α. At the time in the context, sure. 13 0. Okay. Well, you would agree that -- this isn't -- obviously, you've been deposed a number of 14 15 times on the issues of Benzene and exposures and 16 certain leukemias and cancers; is that also fair? 17 Yes. Α. 18 How many times have you testified on behalf of Safety-Kleen, either in deposition or

- 19
- 20 trials or at hearings?
- 21 I don't know the exact number. I would
- 22 say less than 10, maybe five.
- 23 Okay. And how much have you been paid so Q.
- 24 far to serve as Safety-Kleen's expert in this
- 25 particular case?

Page 20

- 1 A. So I have to apologize because I know I
- 2 | was supposed to bring my invoices with me today, and
- 3 | I forget, but maybe, Heather, do you have them?
- 4 MS. FORGEY: I believe I do, and I believe
- 5 there are two of them.
- 6 | A. Perfect.
- 7 Q. And I don't mind you telling me, Heather,
- 8 | what the amounts are or you can hand them to the
- 9 Doctor and he can read them to me since he's under
- 10 | oath.
- MS. FORGEY: I'm going to have to dig them
- 12 out.
- 13 BY MR. ROBB:
- 14 | Q. While she's digging those out, Doctor, how
- 15 | much time have you spent, would you estimate -- I'm
- 16 | not going to hold you -- because I know it's -- how
- 17 | much time have you spent so far on this particular
- 18 | matter?
- 19 A. So when she gets the invoices, I'll tell
- 20 | you what I did previously, but this week, I've
- 21 probably put in maybe 20 hours, but I'm kind of
- 22 | guessing.
- 23 Q. And, what, the 20 hours this week, what
- 24 | did you spend doing 20 hours on this week?
- 25 A. Reviewing the file, reviewing my report,

Page 21 1 reviewing the literature. 2 Okay. You would agree with me -- while Q. 3 she's pulling that out -- that there are institutions around the world that recognize that Benzene causes 4 5 You recognize that, don't you? Well, I guess I have to ask you which 6 institutions? 8 Well, let me ask you this. You're at Ohio Q. State, right? 9 10 That's correct. 11 Okay. The premier medical facility in the 12 State of Ohio, would you agree, is the Cleveland 13 Clinic? 14 Α. Seriously, you didn't just ask that, did 15 you? 16 Which metric are you talking about? 17 Are you saying that the Cleveland Clinic Q. 18 is not considered to be a leading institution of 19 medicine in the world? 20 No, I didn't say that. That wasn't your 21 question. 22 Q. Well, let me reask it then. 23 Would you agree that the Cleveland Clinic 24 is recognized as a leading medical institution 25 throughout the world?

Page 22 Yes, I would. 1 Α. 2 Okay. And in front of you -- or the court Q. 3 reporter should have a number of exhibits -- and the first exhibit is information from the Cleveland 4 5 Clinic regarding CML. 6 Α. Okay. All right. And let's turn to page 2 of Q. 8 that Exhibit 1 and "What causes leukemia?" Do you 9 see that? I do. 10 11 All right. And it says, "For example" --12 this is in the second paragraph -- "very high doses 13 of radiation." 14 We don't have that, right, in this case, 15 you would agree with me on that? Mr. Campos wasn't 16 exposed to high doses of radiation; is that fair? 17 Correct. Α. 18 Okay. "Exposure to the chemical Benzene." Q. 19 We do have that in this particular case, correct? 20 He's been exposed to Benzene, you don't dispute that? 21 Well, you know, that's a whole other 22 discussion, but I'm not going to just admit the 23 question the way you just asked it. No. 24 Doctor, are you saying that he was not

exposed to any level of Benzene whatsoever while

25

Page 23 1 working with this product? 2 I don't think you have any evidence to Α. 3 show that he was exposed to Benzene above background. 4 Q. Okay. All right. But the question here, 5 Doctor, is he was exposed to Benzene, correct, by 6 working with this product? 7 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 8 Α. There were trivial levels of Benzene in 9 Safety-Kleen of which goes away --10 Doctor --Q. 11 I'm answering the question for you --12 which goes away as it's being used. 13 I haven't seen any evidence, direct 14 evidence, of Mr. Campos actually getting exposed to 15 Benzene in the workplace. Just because it's in the 16 mineral spirits doesn't mean it got into his body. 17 How does -- Benzene gets into the body by Q. 18 a number of ways, correct? 19 Α. That's right. 20 You can absorb it through your skin, Q. 21 right? 22 Α. With difficulty. It's a very slowly 23 absorbed chemical through the skin. 24 Doctor, just please. Benzene can be Q.

absorbed through the skin, correct?

25

Page 24

- 1 A. Very slowly because it's a poorly absorbed
- 2 | compound through the skin.
- 3 Q. And you read the declaration and the
- 4 deposition of my client where he specifically stated
- 5 | that he had Benzene -- or I'm sorry -- the
- 6 | Safety-Kleen solvent splashed his skin, and, in fact,
- 7 | at times he even washed his hands with it, correct?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. All right. So there's one avenue for
- 10 Benzene to get into his body, would you agree with
- 11 | that?
- 12 A. Not necessarily.
- 13 Q. Doctor, within a reasonable degree of
- 14 | medical certainty, if you wash your hands with a
- 15 chemical that contains Benzene, you're going to
- 16 expose your skin to that particular product, would
- 17 | you agree with that simple premise?
- MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
- 19 A. You'll expose the skin, but please don't
- 20 | confuse that with dose and internal absorption.
- 21 Q. All right. The other way of Benzene
- 22 getting into your body is inhalation, correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. And there's no question in your mind that
- 25 my client worked in an area where he would have been

Page 25 1 subjected to fumes from this product, correct? 2 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 3 Α. I'll accept that. 4 Q. Okay. All right. You will concede, will 5 you not, that Safety-Kleen 105 does contain elements 6 of Benzene? It will contain some molecules of Benzene Α. 8 at trivial levels that are unimportant to risk of disease. 9 10 Well, again, Doctor, you don't know of any 11 studies that have been done on Safety-Kleen's 12 solvent -- Virgin Solvent 105 that gives you a number 13 as to how much Benzene is in that product, correct? 14 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 15 Wait. I think I have seen documents of 16 testing of Safety-Kleen 105. 17 I'm talking about Safety-Kleen Virgin Q. 18 Solvent 105 used in the same type of machines that my 19 client was using at Makita and his other workplaces, 20 have you seen one study that mirrors any of that? 21 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 22 Α. I'm sorry. Are you asking me about 23 Safety-Kleen that specifically went to your client's 24 workplace? 25 Q. Yes, sir.

Page 26 So, no, I haven't seen anything that went 1 2 specifically to your client's workplace because it 3 went to your client's workplace, so I'm not sure how it would have been tested. 4 5 Have you seen any test results of Q. 6 Safety-Kleen Virgin Solvent 105 --Yes. Α. 8 Q. -- from any source? 9 Yes. Α. 10 Where? Q. 11 So Safety-Kleen has done studies in the 12 '90s where they were testing mineral spirits from a 13 number of suppliers and there's data --14 Tell me what -- I'm sorry, what study is 0. 15 that, Doctor? 16 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 17 Well, I have to pull the documents. Α. 18 were documents that were disclosed to you, and 19 they've got Bates. It will take me a few minutes for 20 me to identify them if you want me to do that. 21 Well, Doctor, I want you to assume that Q. 22 the testimony we had yesterday from Mr. Breece was 23 that all the test results, the empirical data, was 24 tossed into a dumpster. Do you do that when you

perform scientific testing?

25

```
Page 27
               MR. COLÓN:
 1
                           Objection.
 2
               MS. FORGEY:
                            Objection. Form.
                                                This is
 3
    beyond the scope, Mr. Robb, and you're wasting
    everyone's time.
 4
 5
                          Well, I'm sorry, Heather, that
               MR. ROBB:
 6
    you feel that way. But I think when test results are
    being testified as being valid, and they're being
 8
    thrown into dumpsters, a jury should be allowed to
 9
   hear that, as should the judge.
10
    BY MR. ROBB:
11
               So let me go back and reask the question.
12
    Are you aware that the test results and all the
13
    empirical data that made up those test results were
14
    thrown in a dumpster by Safety-Kleen?
15
               MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form.
                                                  Lack of
16
    foundation.
17
               MS. FORGEY:
                            Same.
18
               You really need to give me some
    Α.
19
    clarification because, first of all, obviously, I
20
    don't have the benefit of the testimony or the
21
    context or how long they held onto those records and
22
    then decided to dispose of them because even we in
23
    the federal government after seven years will dispose
24
    of our research records.
25
               Well, Doctor, my question to you is do you
    Q.
```

Page 28

- 1 have any empirical data that supports your contention
- 2 | that Safety-Kleen's Virgin Solvent 105 had only trace
- 3 | levels of Benzene in it?
- 4 A. I guess I don't understand what you mean
- 5 by empirical data. I have the reports that have been
- 6 disclosed to you.
- 7 Q. You don't have actual peer-reviewed,
- 8 | independent laboratory tests that confirm that,
- 9 | correct?
- 10 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
- 11 A. So there is reports from things like the
- 12 American Conference for Governmental Industrial
- 13 | Hygienists that give us levels of Benzene in mineral
- 14 | spirits, published papers that give that. Whether or
- 15 | not they're the actual suppliers to Safety-Kleen, all
- 16 | I have is the Safety-Kleen data, which is consistent
- 17 | with what's published.
- 18 Q. You would agree that those studies you
- 19 | just talked about do not specifically address
- 20 | Safety-Kleen Virgin Solvent 105, correct?
- MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
- 22 A. No. That's not what I said. I said the
- 23 reports that I have seen are for Safety-Kleen 105
- 24 Virgin that in the '90s Safety-Kleen was testing.
- 25 Q. Well, those were Safety-Kleen's tests,

Page 29 1 right? 2 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 3 Α. I don't remember whether they contracted it out or they did it themselves. 4 5 Well, Doctor, isn't that kind of like the Q. 6 fox watching the henhouse? 7 MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form. 8 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. I just -- you know, I can't answer that. 9 Α. 10 That's kind of a silly question. Let me finish my 11 answer, please. Thank you. 12 So to be very clear, it's a company's 13 responsibility, and I'm not an expert on corporate 14 responsibility, but all the time, companies do their 15 testing to make sure that they know what they're 16 dealing with. That's a very accepted and common 17 practice. Who else is going to be doing it? 18 else should pay for it? 19 Doctor, aren't there independent labs that Q. 20 you send stuff out to to evaluate for you? 21 I don't even know what you're talking 22 about, so you have to be more specific than that. 23 Doctor, do you use independent labs to Q. 24 confirm test results that you may have conducted as 25 part of research that you've done?

Page 30

- 1 | A. Again, you know, I collaborate with other
- 2 | laboratories, sometimes we split samples, but, again,
- 3 I'm not sure what you're talking about. You have to
- 4 be more specific.
- 5 Q. You don't understand that it would be
- 6 | better for an independent laboratory to do an
- 7 | evaluation of a company's product as opposed to the
- 8 | company itself? You don't see that -- the inherent
- 9 | conflict there?
- MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. We're
- 11 getting way beyond the scope, Mr. Robb.
- MR. ROBB: Just object to the form,
- 13 please.
- 14 | A. So not necessarily. Suppose the company
- 15 has the best lab in the world and everyone else
- 16 doesn't do it nearly as well? I think you should go
- 17 ask the cigarette companies those questions.
- 18 Q. Doctor, you yourself have never done a
- 19 | study on Benzene exposure in occupational workers
- 20 | such as the type of work that Mr. Campos did, you
- 21 | would concede that, correct?
- MR. COLÓN: Objection. Vague.
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. Okay. You have never been published at
- 25 | all in the area of Benzene exposure and workers that

Page 31 work with Safety-Kleen 105, correct? 1 That's correct. 2 Α. 3 All right. Now, are you using -- when I Q. say Safety-Kleen 105, are you including in your 4 5 analysis both Virgin Solvent and the Recycled 6 Solvent? I'm not sure what you're asking. Which Α. 8 analysis are you talking about? Are you talking 9 about answering the questions here or in my report or have I ever dealt with --10 11 No. 0. 12 -- Safety-Kleen 105 Recycled Solvent? Α. 13 0. Well, let's start with in this particular 14 case, when you talk about Safety-Kleen 105, are you 15 referring to both the components of it, the Recycled 16 and the Virgin Solvent? 17 As I said in my report, my understanding Α. 18 is that Mr. Campos never had the opportunity to work 19 with Recycled Solvent because it wasn't available in 20 Puerto Rico, so in that case, I'm only talking about 21 virgin mineral spirits. 22 However, if he did work with Recycled 23 Solvent, it wouldn't change my opinions in this case. 24 Okay. Can you tell me why Recycled Virgin

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Solvent is banned for sale anywhere in the United

25

Page 32 1 States but Puerto Rico? 2 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 3 Α. I have no idea what you're talking about. 4 Q. Well, I want you to assume, Doctor, that 5 Safety-Kleen 105 Recycled Solvent is banned for sale 6 anywhere in the United States, and Puerto Rico is the only place it can be sold. Assuming that to be true, 8 does that affect your opinion that this product is 9 somehow safe? 10 MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form. 11 MS. FORGEY: Join. 12 First of all, I don't think your Α. 13 hypothetical is correct. Secondly, I would have to 14 know the reasons why it's banned because, for 15 example, maybe it's too explosive or it's too 16 hazardous to transport because of environmental 17 contamination or some other reason. It may have 18 nothing to do with safety to humans in terms of 19 disease risk. 20 Well, to get it to Puerto Rico would 21 involve a lot more transportation than bringing it 22 from state to state, don't you think? 23 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 24 MR. COLÓN: Objection. 25 I wonder whether you're getting out of my Α.

```
Page 33
    area of expertise, but I'm pretty sure that that's
 1
 2
    correct.
 3
               All right. Doctor, you say you don't know
    Q.
    anything about it. Did you read any of the labels
 4
 5
    affixed to either of these products?
               MR. COLÓN:
                          Objection as to form. Vaque.
 6
 7
               I have seen labels as well as MSDS's.
    Α.
 8
               Okay. So then you've seen the label for
    Q.
 9
    the Recycled Safety-Kleen 105 that says, "This
10
    product" -- and it's at the top of the page of the
11
    label in bold print, "This product is only for sale
12
    and use in Puerto Rico. Use of this solvent anywhere
13
    else is strictly prohibited." Do you remember
14
    reading that?
15
               MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
                                               Outside
16
    the scope.
17
    Α.
               I don't remember that either way.
18
    Q.
               Okay. You would agree that Recycled S-K
19
    105 would have less Benzene in it than the Virgin
20
    Solvent?
21
               MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
22
    already testified that whether or not it was
23
    Virgin --
24
               MR. ROBB: Let's hang on. Stop right
25
    there. Object to the form. I don't want you
```

Page 34 1 coaching the witness. 2 MS. FORGEY: Mr. Robb, you have been going 3 on and on and on, and I have been giving you a lot of leeway, but he has already said his opinion is the 4 5 same no matter what kind of solvent it was, Recycled 6 or Virgin, so we need to move on. 7 MR. ROBB: Ma'am, please let me do my 8 cross-examination. I didn't interrupt any of your 9 questions of my doctors when -- I made the 10 appropriate objections to form, and I'm asking for 11 the same courtesy be done here. 12 MS. FORGEY: Well, that's disputable, but 13 you can have a little more leeway. But I really need 14 to shut this down if you're going to continue asking 15 him questions that are outside the scope of his 16 designation. 17 MR. ROBB: Well, guess what? He said he's 18 an expert in exposure, hematology, risk assessment. 19 He's the one who said he's an expert in all these 20 areas. 21 MR. COLÓN: He didn't say risk assessment. MR. ROBB: Well, whatever. 22 23 BY MR. ROBB: 24 In any event, Doctor --Q. 25 MS. FORGEY: There's an issue with the

Page 35 term exposure I think is where we're getting afield 1 2 here. 3 MR. ROBB: Trust me, I know what I'm doing. Okay? Please let me continue with my 4 5 questioning of the Doctor without any interruptions 6 other than objection to form, please. I'm asking you as a professional. BY MR. ROBB: 8 9 Q. Doctor, would you agree that Recycled Solvent would have less Benzene in it than the Virgin 10 11 Solvent? 12 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 13 Α. You have to give me at what point in time? 14 Doctor, Recycled -- Virgin -- I'm sorry --15 Recycled S-K 105 is a product that has been used and 16 then recycled. So you would agree that at that 17 point, because it's been in circulation longer than 18 the Virgin Solvent, that the Benzene levels would 19 have dissipated more than in the Virgin Solvent? 20 So that's not necessarily true because --21 MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form. Lack of 22 foundation. 23 -- the users of Safety-Kleen who's using Α. 24 the Recycled Solvent, because of their use, have the 25 potential of adding things like gasoline and other

Page 36 things that contain Benzene, so the Benzene levels 1 2 actually in Recycled Solvent can be higher. It just 3 depends on whether you're talking about 4 post-processing, pre-processing, at the end of the 5 use of four weeks or six weeks, or at the beginning. 6 So you really have to start clarifying it. 7 will tell you that if you start talking about those 8 points in time, it gets kind of murky, and I haven't 9 prepared for those questions here in this case 10 because Mr. Campos didn't use Recycled Solvent. 11 Well, but my question to you, Doctor, is 12 Virgin Solvent, when you first open that up, the 13 Benzene levels in that particular product are going 14 to be greater than in a recycled product --15 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 16 BY MR. ROBB: 17 -- do you agree with that? Q. 18 MR. COLÓN: Same objection. 19 Α. That's not necessarily true. 20 Doctor, within reasonable degree of 21 medical certainty, a Virgin Solvent is going to have 22 more levels of Benzene in it than a recycled product, 23 would you agree with that? 24 MS. FORGEY: Objection. 25 MR. COLÓN: Same objection.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

- 1 A. That's not correct. That's the question 2 you just asked me.
 - Q. Can you explain to me then why Dr. Breece, who testified yesterday, agreed with that very statement, and he works at Safety-Kleen -- or worked at Safety-Kleen?
 - MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. Asked and answered. Beyond the scope of the designation.
- 9 BY MR. ROBB:

3

4

5

6

7

8

25

- Q. Can you explain to me why Dr. Breece
 testified, and every other expert that Safety-Kleen
 has in this particular case are all in agreement,
 that the longer the chemical is in use, the Benzene
 breaks down and there's less exposure to the worker?
- MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
- 16 A. So first of all, you just totally changed
 17 the question. So secondly, if you're asking me
 18 whether or not Benzene evaporates faster than mineral
 19 spirits, not breaks down, the answer is yes. But the
 20 Recycled Solvent also has the opportunity of the end
 21 user to add things to it while they're cleaning their
 22 parts. So some recycled solvents, in fact, can have
- 22 parts. So some recycled solvents, in fact, can have
- 23 additional Benzene added to it. So that's how I
- 24 would explain it to you.
 - Q. Do you have one study that supports what

Page 38 you just said? 1 2 Α. Sure. 3 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. BY MR. ROBB: 4 5 Tell me -- name the study and the Q. 6 documents that support that opinion that Recycled Solvent is going to have more Benzene content in it 8 than Virgin Solvent. 9 MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form. 10 They have been in documents sent to me by 11 Safety-Kleen earlier, again, I didn't prepare for 12 this deposition, since Mr. Campos did not use 13 Recycled Solvent, so they exist, but I'm not going to 14 be able to name them for you from memory. 15 Well, Doctor, you have your file there in 16 front of you. 17 I have my Campos file in front of me. Α. 18 Those documents are not in here. 19 MS. FORGEY: Mr. Robb, we need to move on 20 to issues that are within his designation. 21 MR. ROBB: Listen, counselor, I sat 22 through four-and-a-half hours of depositions on two 23 separate days. Please don't tell me that I need to 24 I'm 40 minutes into this deposition. 25 MS. FORGEY: You're asking him questions

- 1 outside the scope of his designation.
- 2 BY MR. ROBB:
- 3 Q. Doctor, you're not going to be offering
- 4 | any opinions then on Benzene levels in Recycled
- 5 | Solvent versus Virgin Solvent then; is that correct,
- 6 based on what counsel just said?
- 7 A. What I will tell the jury is that the data
- 8 | that I have seen about -- I'm sorry. Say that again.
- 9 Recycled versus Virgin? I don't really anticipate
- 10 that in this case.
- 11 Q. Okay. My question to you, because
- 12 | counselor says you're not going to be offering
- 13 opinions -- well, let me ask you this, Heather, are
- 14 | you going to stipulate that he's not going to testify
- 15 as to the Benzene levels in Recycled versus Virgin
- 16 | Solvent? If you tell me that he's not going to
- 17 testify about that, I will move on.
- 18 MS. FORGEY: He is going to testify about
- 19 everything covered in his designation.
- 20 BY MR. ROBB:
- 21 Q. Well, then, Doctor, where in your report
- 22 do you talk about Benzene levels and Recycled Solvent
- 23 | versus Virgin S-K 105?
- 24 A. So I don't make a specific comparison. I
- 25 | don't really think the question is relevant, so I

- 1 | wouldn't do that analysis. On page 25 -- I'm still
- 2 talking, please.
- 3 Q. I apologize, Doctor. It's difficult when
- 4 | we do it this way, so I apologize for interrupting
- 5 | **you**.
- 6 A. Okay. So on page 25 under the paragraph
- 7 of "Mineral spirits," the last sentence says, "The
- 8 | Safety-Kleen Virgin -- 105 Virgin and Recycled
- 9 | Solvents have been studied, and the Benzene levels
- 10 are trivial, well below levels of federal reporting
- 11 | requirements on MSDS's."
- 12 | Q. Okay. Did you read -- I notice in your
- 13 references -- do you have Dr. Kopstein's analysis of
- 14 Benzene levels in these type of products?
- 15 | A. Well, I'm aware of what he's assuming.
- 16 | It's not his analysis.
- 17 Q. My question is do you have his paper cited
- 18 | anywhere in your list of references?
- 19 A. His paper? Can you be more specific?
- 20 Q. Yes. His peer-reviewed publications on
- 21 | these issues that we're talking about, do you have
- 22 those?
- 23 A. I've seen them. I have not cited them in
- 24 my report.
- 25 Q. So did you leave them out of your

references?

A. Well, I didn't include them in my references. I don't think I intentionally left them out if that's what you're implying.

MS. FORGEY: Mr. Robb, are you assuming that he's going to do some independent quantification of exposure, some dose assessment, because that's not what he's going to do?

MR. ROBB: I'm not -- I know what I'm doing here, please. Okay?

MS. FORGEY: I'm just trying to short-circuit it. You asked me earlier about what he's going to testify about, and if you're asking him if he's going to testify about the Benzene content of products of Safety-Kleen's solvent at any given time, he's not.

He's relying on other people, and he's relying on his expertise and other literature in the field about the Benzene content historically of mineral spirits. So if that short-circuits your questions, then, please, let it short-circuit them.

MR. ROBB: Say what you just said,
Heather, in one sentence, that Dr. Shields is not
going to be offering any opinions regarding the
Benzene content of Virgin Solvent 105 or Recycled

Page 42 Solvent 105. If you say that on the record --1 2 MS. FORGEY: That's not the case, 3 Mr. Robb. 4 MR. ROBB: Then just be quiet, and I'll 5 continue with my questions. THE WITNESS: Mr. Robb, maybe you should 6 7 ask me what is it that I'm going to say about 8 exposure, that might help you a lot? BY MR. ROBB: 9 10 Doctor, again, you know, I know you've 11 been down this road before, and you're here on behalf 12 of Safety-Kleen, but I'm here on behalf of my client, 13 and I'll ask the questions I think I need answers to. 14 MS. FORGEY: Object to the sidebar. 15 BY MR. ROBB: 16 Well, Doctor, go back to the Cleveland 17 Clinic exhibit that we were talking about. 18 right. We established my client hasn't been exposed 19 to radiation. We haven't established that he's been 20 exposed to Benzene. The next thing we talk about is 21 exposure to certain chemotherapy drugs. We know that 22 that's not the case with my client, correct? And he 23 hasn't been exposed to chemotherapy, you would agree 24 with that, right, Doctor? 25 That's correct.

- 1 Q. All right. And the paragraph goes on and
- 2 | says, "That may increase the risk of developing AML,
- 3 ALL, or CML," correct?
- 4 A. I'm just trying to find where you're
- 5 reading.
- 6 Q. Okay. I'm on page 2, "What causes
- 7 | leukemia, " paragraph 2 under that says "Although the
- 8 | exact cause of the DNA mutation, " you see that?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. All right. And so let me just read this
- 11 sentence. "For example, very high doses of
- 12 | radiation, exposure to the chemical Benzene, and
- 13 exposure to certain chemotherapy drugs may increase
- 14 the risk of developing AML, ALL, or CML." Did I read
- 15 | that correctly?
- 16 A. You did.
- 17 Q. Okay. Let's go to my next exhibit, which
- 18 | is Johns Hopkins.
- 19 A. Okay. Yep.
- 20 Q. And you'll agree that Johns Hopkins is a
- 21 | leading medical institution in this country?
- 22 A. I agree.
- 23 Q. All right. You're familiar with the Johns
- 24 | Hopkins Kimmel -- K-i-m-m-e-l for our court
- 25 | reporter -- Cancer Center?

```
Page 44
 1
    Α.
               Yep.
 2
               All right. Very respected cancer center
    Q.
 3
    in the northeast?
 4
    Α.
               Yep.
 5
               All right. And you see the "Leukemia risk
    Q.
 6
    factors, " correct?
               That's right.
    Α.
 8
               All right. And it says under Benzene, it
    Q.
 9
    says, "Exposure to the chemical compound Benzene in
10
    the workplace can cause acute myeloid leukemia and
11
    chronic myeloid leukemia, " right?
12
               Are you asking me whether you read that
    Α.
13
    correctly?
14
               That's what Johns Hopkins Kimmel Cancer
    0.
15
    Center recognizes as being a potential cause of the
16
    very leukemia that my client has, correct?
17
               That's what they wrote.
    Α.
18
               All right. Let's go to No. 3, Exhibit 3,
    Q.
19
    the Children's Leukemia Research Association, do you
20
    know Dr. Peter Wiernik?
21
               For our court reporter, that's
22
    W-i-e-r-n-i-k.
23
               I don't.
    Α.
24
               Okay. You haven't read any of his
    Q.
25
    textbooks regarding neoplastic diseases and leukemia?
```

```
Page 45
               I don't read textbooks.
 1
   Α.
 2
               You don't read -- do you teach?
    Q.
 3
               MS. FORGEY: Objection.
                                       Form.
               I sometimes teach.
 4
   Α.
 5
               Okay. And you'll agree that on his --
    Q.
 6
    well, he's an oncologist like yourself, correct?
               I don't know that.
    Α.
 8
               Okay. I want you to assume that he is an
    Q.
 9
    oncologist. All right. That's the type of work you
10
    do, right?
11
               I am an oncologist by training. My
12
    expertise is in cancer causation.
13
    Q.
               Okay. Most of your work, though, would
14
    you agree, has been in lung cancers and breast
15
    cancers; is that fair?
16
               My primary research area, yes.
17
    Q.
               Okay. Did you -- as far as breast cancer,
18
    did you ever make the connection between hormone
19
    therapy and women getting breast cancer?
20
               I don't think I have in my research.
21
               Okay. But you do recognize that is an
22
   area of contention among physicians also that Prempro
23
    or hormone replacement drugs could cause breast
24
    cancer in women?
25
                    That's not contentious at all.
               No.
```

- 1 Q. You think it's an established fact?
- 2 A. Yeah. No one disputes that. Some people
- 3 | will still want to prescribe the drugs, but everyone
- 4 | understands that it increases the risk of breast
- 5 cancer.
- 6 Q. Okay. On page 2 of this Children Leukemia
- 7 Research Association document that you have in front
- 8 of you, you see where it says "Chronic" -- I can't
- 9 | say the word -- "myelocytic leukemia (CML)." Do you
- 10 | see that, Doctor?
- 11 A. I see that section.
- 12 Q. All right. And it says, I'll read it,
- 13 The etiology of CML is unknown for most patients,
- 14 although it is clear that exposure to ionizing
- 15 | radiation and some chemicals (Benzene) can cause the
- 16 | disease." Right?
- 17 A. That's what it says.
- 18 Q. All right. So there's a physician that
- 19 | feels that there's a link between Benzene and CML,
- 20 and there's two hospitals, you agree, that feel that
- 21 | there's a connection. Let's go to page -- Exhibit 4.
- 22 A. I'm sorry. Was there a question?
- MS. FORGEY: Object to the sidebar.
- 24 BY MR. ROBB:
- 25 Q. I'm done. Now I'm on to No. 4, and it's

- 1 | titled "Cancer Council," and that's from New South
- 2 | Wales. I'll represent to you that's NSW, that's what
- 3 | that stands for.
- 4 A. So that's Australia?
- 5 Q. I believe it is.
- 6 A. So we're going to Australia.
- 7 Q. And you cited in your references that you
- 8 | have in your bibliography, or appendix to your
- 9 report, you cite studies from all over the world,
- 10 | correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. All right. There's nothing wrong with
- 13 | studies from Australia or China or Africa or any
- 14 other area of the country -- I'm sorry -- of the
- 15 | world, is there?
- 16 A. I guess you're asking me whether geography
- 17 makes a study particularly unreliable? No. There
- 18 | are studies that are reliable and unreliable around
- 19 the world.
- 20 Q. Okay. And the title of that -- of this
- 21 paper, No. 4, is "Causes of Chronic Myeloid
- 22 | Leukemia." Again, that's the leukemia my client has,
- 23 | correct?
- 24 A. That's right.
- 25 Q. And it says, "Exposure to the chemical

- 1 Benzene or high doses of radiation may cause CML,"
- 2 | right? And it says, in fairness, "However, this
- 3 | doesn't explain the majority of cases," right?
- 4 A. You read that correctly.
- 5 Q. And then below it, you would agree, that
- 6 | it's been reviewed, the information that's on that
- 7 | particular website, has been reviewed by a bone
- 8 | marrow transplant physician, associate professors, a
- 9 | cancer council, you see all the names there, right, a
- 10 | hematologist?
- 11 | A. Well, I'm not recognizing any name there
- 12 or title of anyone that would have a particular
- 13 expertise in leukemia causation.
- 14 Q. Well, sir, what is the -- CML, the -- is
- 15 | bone marrow transplant one way of treating CML?
- 16 A. That's right.
- 17 Q. All right. And one of the people that are
- 18 cited here is a hematologist and a bone marrow
- 19 | transplant physician, correct?
- 20 A. Right, which would make it unlikely for
- 21 that person to have an expertise in cancer causation.
- 22 Q. He's a hematologist. That would certainly
- 23 | give him an expertise in leukemia, would it not?
- 24 | A. In leukemia, it would; not causation.
- 25 Q. All right. Well, regardless of the fact,

- 1 you do agree that on this particular page, there's
- 2 | somebody else, or a group of people, that disagree
- 3 with your opinion that you've given here today that
- 4 | CML is caused by exposure to Benzene, correct?
- 5 A. Well, I'm not disputing what they wrote.
- 6 | I would like to know what their methodology is for
- 7 | coming to that conclusion before I would dispute it.
- 8 Q. Now, No. 5 is the "Montana Cancer Control
- 9 | Section, " which is a division of the State of
- 10 | Montana. You're aware of the fact, aren't you, that
- 11 various states have cancer councils or agencies,
- 12 | right?
- 13 A. That's right.
- 14 Q. Okay. In Ohio, do they have a state
- 15 cancer registry or society of some sort?
- 16 A. Well, those are two very different things.
- 17 | So I guess you have to tell me what you mean by
- 18 | "sort."
- 19 Q. Okay. Well, I'll tell you what, let's
- 20 | just go to page 4 of this report. Tell me when you
- 21 get there.
- 22 | A. Okay.
- 23 Q. On the last paragraph where it starts with
- 24 | "There," do you see where I'm at?
- 25 A. Yep.

Page 50 -- "have been many studies." 1 Q. 2 Α. Yep. 3 I want you to go down, and I'm going to Q. read this to you. It says, "The only well-documented 4 5 association is increased risk of AML or CML with occupational exposure to Benzene." Do you see that? 6 I do. Α. 8 All right. And, again, the words used Q. 9 aren't "there may be; there's possibly." It says, 10 "There's a well-documented association of an 11 increased risk of AML or CML with occupational 12 exposure to Benzene, " correct? 13 Α. So you actually -- so that's what they 14 wrote, but you didn't finish because they cite in 15 footnote 5, which is a website for ATSDR, and I would 16 like to see what ATSDR says for their tox profiles. I don't think ATSDR recognizes Benzene as a

- 17
- 18 well-documented exposure to CML. So they may have
- 19 their footnote incorrect.
- 20 Okay. All right. Let's go to No. 6, and
- 21 the title of that is "Leukaemia." Have you ever
- 22 gotten any funding from the Rockefeller Foundation?
- 23 Α. No.
- 24 You're aware of the Rockefeller
- 25 Foundation?

Page 51 1 Sure. Α. 2 All right. You recognize that they fund Q. 3 medical research and other -- well, you understand they fund medical research around the world? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 Okay. Have you ever applied for funding with the Rockefeller Foundation? 8 Α. No. 9 Okay. Has The Ohio State University 10 physicians ever applied for funding with the 11 Rockefeller Foundation? 12 I have no idea. As far as I know, there's Α. 13 no one in our cancer center currently with their 14 funding. 15 Okay. But you have been in facilities 16 where they funded those studies, I would assume, 17 given your long, illustrious career and the places 18 you have worked? 19 I don't know that. You're actually asking 20 an interesting question because I don't know what 21 they fund. They may not fund cancer research at all. 22 Q. Okay. Well, you agree that the title of 23 this paper of No. 6 is called "Leukaemia," correct? 24 Α. That's right.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

All right. And going over to the top

25

Q.

- 1 | right-hand part of that page, it says "Benzene," do
- 2 | you see that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And it says, "Exposure to Benzene in the
- 5 | workplace can cause acute myeloid leukaemia, and it
- 6 | may also cause chronic myeloid leukaemia, or acute
- 7 | lymphatic leukaemia, " do you see that?
- 8 A. It's -- yes. It's "lymphocytic
- 9 leukaemia." I do see that.
- 10 Q. I apologize. And if you turn to page 2,
- 11 that is a publication of the Africa Cancer
- 12 | Foundation, right?
- 13 A. I quess so. It's not really clear.
- 14 | Q. All right. Well, what do you mean it's
- 15 | not clear? Can you not look at the bottom on the far
- 16 | right side of the second page, it says "Funded by the
- 17 | Rockefeller Foundation, the Africa Cancer
- 18 | Foundation."
- 19 A. And I do see now that it says "A
- 20 | publication of the Africa Cancer Foundation."
- 21 | Q. Okay. Now, No. 7, I'm going to apologize
- 22 | to you because I know it's going to hurt you because
- 23 of the fact that you're an Ohio State guy, and this
- 24 is a Michigan -- University of Michigan professor.
- Let me ask you, do you know Dale Bixby?

- 1 A. I don't.
- 2 Q. All right. He's the Assistant Program
- 3 Director of the Division of Hematology and Oncology
- 4 | at the Department of Internal Medicine at the
- 5 University of Michigan. Right. All those areas
- 6 | would be dealing with cancer causation, you would
- 7 | agree, right?
- 8 A. No. I totally disagree. And by the way,
- 9 he's a clinical assistant professor, but very few of
- 10 the people in our division of hematology and oncology
- 11 deal with causation. They're usually in cancer
- 12 prevention and control programs.
- 13 Q. What's the specialist that -- well, you're
- 14 | an oncologist, right?
- 15 A. That's right.
- 16 Q. All right. And you say you're -- you do
- 17 cancer causation determinations, correct?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. All right. And not only is he an
- 20 assistant professor, he's also the assistant program
- 21 director at the University of Michigan, correct?
- 22 A. That's what it says.
- 23 Q. All right. Now, let's go to -- it's
- 24 | probably page 9 because that's how I got my poor
- 25 | court reporter all mixed up earlier.

```
Page 54
                          Mike, excuse the interruption.
               MR. COLÓN:
 1
 2
    I don't mean to interrupt your questions or your
 3
    deposition, just a quick logistical matter.
 4
               MR. ROBB: Yes, sir.
 5
               MR. COLÓN:
                          What time are you thinking of
 6
    breaking for lunch? I need to schedule -- try to
 7
    schedule other matters as well during whatever break,
 8
    whenever you plan on breaking.
 9
               MR. ROBB: Francisco, I plan on being done
10
    probably in an hour.
11
               MR. COLÓN:
                          Okay. All right. Sorry.
12
               MR. ROBB:
                          If I feel like I'm going to go
13
    longer, I'll let you know, but I'm really not going
14
    to be all that much longer, I don't think.
15
               MR. COLÓN:
                          Thank you for that, Mike.
16
               MR. ROBB:
                          Sure.
17
               THE WITNESS: So we've been going for an
18
    hour, so whenever you're ready, we can take a break.
19
               MR. ROBB:
                          That's fine.
                                        If you need a
20
    break, Doctor, I can get some more water.
21
    take a five-minute break. That's fine by me.
22
               THE WITNESS: Okay. Great.
23
               (Recess taken.)
24
    BY MR. ROBB:
25
    Q.
               We were talking about the University of
```

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Page 55 Michigan. Are you on page 9 of that? 1 It says, 2 "Epidemiology." 3 Α. Yes. All right. And, again, it says, "Risk 4 Q. 5 factors include exposure to certain organic solvents 6 (Benzene), "right? That's what it says. Α. 8 Q. So there's another physician that 9 disagrees with your opinion that CML and Benzene have 10 no relation, correct? 11 That's what he wrote. 12 And that's a direct contrast to what you Q. 13 believe, correct? 14 That's correct. I never heard of Dale Α. Bixby. I don't know what expertise he has, but 15 16 unlikely that he has expertise in cancer causation because I've never heard of him. 17 18 Q. Because you have expertise in cancer 19 causation, what you tell us under oath then we can 20 believe, correct? 21 Α. Sure. 22 Q. Okay. Let's go to my next one, which 23 is -- which number is that? I'm all messed up here. 24 No. 7 -- I'm sorry. We just did 7.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

No. 8, do you see that, it's titled,

25

Page 56 1 again, "Leukaemia, February 22, 2011." This is a 2 publication from the South Africa -- let me see 3 here -- I've got it somewhere. It's from the South 4 Africa's Health Information website. Do you have 5 that? So I have No. 8. I can't tell where it's 6 Α. from. 8 Well, yeah. I just told you where it's on Q. 9 the back, but that's not here nor there. Go to 10 page 2 of that. 11 Okay. 12 See where it says, "Cause"? Q. 13 Α. Yeah. 14 And skip down to bullet points, it talks Q. 15 about radiation, chemotherapy, some previous blood 16 disorders, and then the next one is "Benzene exposure 17 is associated with CML, " correct? 18 That's what it says. Α. 19 All right. And this -- if you go to --Q. 20 and when it says something is associated with it, 21 that means there is a link, correct? 22 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 23 Α. Well, you know, we're getting into jargon, 24 So association statistically means that two 25 things are related to each other. If it's

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Page 57 statistically significant, you make an assumption 1 2 that it's not due to chance, although it still could 3 be, but association, just to be really clear, is not the same thing as causation. 4 5 All right. But in this one, if you go to Q. 6 page -- if you look in the bottom right-hand corner 7 of these pages as you flip through them, there's a 8 number, you know, they're numbered 1 through 9, I 9 believe. 10 Α. Okay. 11 Go to page 7. Do you see that? Q. 12 I'm sorry. See what? Α. 13 0. Page 7 down here, it says 7/9. Can you 14 get to that page? 15 79? Α. 16 It says 7, and then there's a slash, No. 17 It's page 7 of the 9 pages. That's what I'm 18 trying to get you to. 19 I'm not seeing 1 of 9, 2 of 9, 7 of 9. Α. 20 Of this Leukaemia thing I just gave to 21 you -- or, I'm sorry, do you have it in front of you? 22 Α. Oh, I see. I see 7 of 9 looked to me like 23 it was 79. 24 That's fine. And this information was Q. 25 reviewed by a hematologist, correct?

Page 58 That's what it says. It says a --1 Α. 2 Hematologists are the type of physicians Q. 3 who deal with leukemia day in and day out, right, 4 that's their primary --5 That's correct. This is reviewed by a 6 clinical hematologist, which, again, are usually not 7 the people who are experts in causation. 8 Clinical hematologists are ones that are Q. 9 actually treating these people that have these 10 horrible diseases, correct? 11 MS. FORGEY: Objection. 12 As have I. That's correct. Α. 13 0. All right. Well, you would agree that CML 14 is not -- is a horrible disease, correct? 15 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 16 Boy, that's a tough question because I 17 have patients, you know, who are alive 10 years on 18 Gleevec with absolutely normal lives, so I'm not sure 19 that they would say that it's horrible. 20 They would say that it's good to have CML? Q. 21 Oh, that's -- please don't twist my words 22 like that. 23 MS. FORGEY: Objection to form. 24 BY MR. ROBB: 25 Well, that's what you're saying, Doctor. Q.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

```
Page 59
 1
    You're trying to say that it's --
 2
               MR. COLÓN:
                          Objection to form.
 3
               MR. ROBB: I'll rephrase. I'll move on.
    BY MR. ROBB:
 4
 5
               Doctor, you have the next one is No. 9,
    Q.
 6
    University of California Davis Comprehensive Cancer
    Center.
 8
    Α.
               I have that.
 9
    Q.
               You're familiar with that facility?
10
               Yes.
11
               Okay. Another respected facility in the
12
    United States for people to go to get cancer care and
13
    leukemia care, correct?
14
    Α.
               That's correct.
15
               All right. Let's go to page 2 of 9 -- or
16
    29, as you like to call it. That's a joke.
17
               Yeah. My eyesight is not as good as it
    Α.
18
    used to be.
19
    Q.
               Join the club. Are you with me?
20
    Α.
               Yeah.
21
               See "Risk factors," and you go down, and
22
    the third bullet point is, "Exposure to Benzene in
23
    the workplace can cause acute myeloid leukemia," and
24
    then the next paragraph is, "It may also cause
25
    chronic myeloid leukemia, " correct, I read that
```

Page 60 1 right? 2 You did. Α. 3 All right. So here's another leading Q. medical institution that recognizes the connection 4 5 between Benzene and CML, correct? Well, so "may" is a pretty weak term. 6 So 7 that statement was neither correct nor incorrect. 8 Q. It doesn't say it's not related, does it, Doctor? 10 It does not say that it's not related. 11 So anybody reading that can infer that 12 there is some association between Benzene and CML 13 according to the doctors at the University of 14 California Davis, correct? 15 MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form. 16 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 17 I think the word "may" means may, everyone Α. 18 understands that, which means maybe, maybe not. 19 Q. Okay. The next one is No. 10. 20 the Irish Cancer Society. Do you have that? 21 Α. I do. 22 Q. All right. And, again, it's talking about 23 CML, and it says, "Exposure to chemicals. Long-time 24 exposure to the chemical Benzene can increase your 25 risk," right?

A. That's what it says.

increase the risk of CML.

- Q. All right. Do you believe that any
 exposure to Benzene increases the risk of getting
 CML, or there's just no risk at all between Benzene
- 5 and CML?

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Well, I think the studies pretty clearly
 show that at high risk -- I'm sorry -- at high levels
 of exposure does not increase the risk of CML. So if
 high risk -- sorry -- let me say that again.
- So if high levels can't establish an increased risk through multiple studies, then it's reasonable to assume that lower exposures would not
 - Q. So your opinion today is that there's absolutely no exposure level to Benzene that would cause you to develop CML; is that fair?
 - A. Well, what I'm saying is that there's no studies to support that statement. There's insufficient study to support that statement. As we talked about, there's one or two studies that provides statistically significant association at higher levels of exposure, although the vast majority of the studies, almost all of them, don't provide statistical associations.
 - Q. Okay. Now, then, let's go to No. 11, and

- 1 | that's the Cancer Research of the United Kingdom or
- 2 | Great Britain. That's their cancer society. Okay.
- 3 Do you have that?
- 4 A. I have that.
- 5 Q. All right. And that talks about CML, the
- 6 | risk and causes. Do you see that?
- 7 A. You're looking at the section -- yes.
- 8 Q. All right. And then you go to the second
- 9 page, and there's a subheading for Benzene.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And it says, "Contact with a chemical
- 12 | called Benzene for some years may increase your CML
- 13 | risk." Do you see that?
- 14 A. That's what it says.
- 15 Q. Okay. Doesn't talk about the amount of
- 16 the exposure but just simply working with the
- 17 | chemical may cause CML. Do you agree that's what it
- 18 | says?
- 19 MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form.
- 20 A. I'm sorry. I'm just looking at the
- 21 document.
- 22 Q. That's fine.
- 23 A. Yeah. So right. I was just reading the
- 24 paragraph here which says -- you know, which you read
- 25 | correctly -- some -- "For some years may increase CML

Page 63 risk." But you actually didn't give us the last 1 2 sentence in that same paragraph, which says, "But 3 most people in U.K. wouldn't come in contact with enough Benzene for it to increase their risk at all." 4 5 So that specifically speaks to the fact that they are 6 referring to dose. Well, there they're talking about -- and Q. 8 if you want to be fair, read the paragraph before 9 it -- they're talking about petro or gasoline fumes, 10 correct? That's right. That's in the middle. 11 Α. 12 Yeah. Okay. And you would agree that Q. 13 Mr. Campos's exposure to Benzene is more than, say, 14 you or I that sit in offices -- or, well, you 15 probably move around a little more than I do, going 16 in and out of hospitals. 17 But you would agree that Mr. Campos is 18 exposed to Benzene -- or was exposed to Benzene on a 19 more regular basis than normal working people that 20 aren't involved in parts cleaning and things like 21 that? 22 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 23 Α. So I haven't seen any evidence that 24 Mr. Campos is exposed above background. There's a

wide range of background in the population.

25

- 1 different for smokers and nonsmokers. So, you know,
- 2 | I wouldn't necessarily agree with that. It depends
- 3 on the person and depends on where they live, and it
- 4 depends on their lifestyle.
- 5 Q. Well, did you read the report of
- 6 Dr. Kopstein?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. All right. So you are aware of the fact
- 9 | that he was exposed at a much higher level than
- 10 | background, according to Dr. Kopstein, you just don't
- 11 | agree with it?
- MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form.
- 13 A. Right. So I'm aware that Dr. Kopstein
- 14 claims that, but that the overwhelming scientific
- 15 | literature disputes what Dr. Kopstein has to say.
- 16 Q. Well, but Dr. Kopstein actually talked to
- 17 | my client about his exposure, right?
- 18 A. If you represent that to me, I don't
- 19 recall that either way, but talking to Mr. Campos
- 20 | will not tell you what level of exposure he actually
- 21 has. All it could do is tell you what workplace
- 22 | activities Mr. Campos describes.
- 23 Q. Well, the worker is going to be able to
- 24 tell you approximately how long he's exposed to this
- 25 | particular chemical, right? That's a valid source of

Page 65 1 information, is it not? 2 MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form. 3 Α. Sure. His testimony about how often he uses it, how he uses it, how long he uses it is 4 5 testimony given under oath, so one would rely on 6 that. If a product causes a particular leukemia, Q. 8 do you believe that should be included on the label 9 of that product? 10 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 11 BY MR. ROBB: 12 Let me reask that. **Q**. 13 Do you believe that a company that 14 manufacturers a chemical owes it to the people that 15 are going to be exposed to that chemical to put on 16 the warning label what diseases that chemical may 17 produce in their body? 18 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. Beyond the 19 scope. 20 So if you have -- let's be specific --21 Benzene as a cause of AML, just because coffee or 22 Safety-Kleen or strawberries have Benzene in it, I 23 would think that I would like to see a label if 24 there's a reasonable expectation that the level of 25 Benzene in that product is going to increase your

- risk. Just because it's there doesn't mean that it 1 2 increases your risk. It's one of the areas where 3 your experts have it entirely wrong.
- 4 Q. Doctor, you're not trying to equate what 5 my client was exposed to to eating strawberries, are 6 you?
- Well, I don't see the evidence that your Α. 8 client was exposed to Benzene above background. 9 we get background sources from a lot of different 10 places; for smokers, a lot of it is smoking; but 11 filling up your gas tank, eating strawberries, 12 drinking coffee. Benzene is pretty ubiquitous in our 13 environment.
 - So by your question that you ask, we would basically have to have a label of every product sold on the market as being this may cause leukemia. that's just absurd.
- 18 Now, my question to you, Doctor, is if Q. 19 you're selling a product such as Safety-Kleen 105, 20 and you know that it causes CML, the worker should be 21 told of that, don't you think?
- 22 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.

14

15

16

17

23 Α. Well, that's such a complicated question 24 because, first of all, you're asking me to assume 25

that Benzene causes CML. We've discussed that

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

```
Page 67
    extensively. You are asking me to assume that
 1
 2
    there's enough Benzene in Safety-Kleen 105 to cause
 3
         We've discussed that extensively.
               So the answer is, no, when, in fact, for
 4
 5
    MSDS's and things like that, my understanding is that
 6
    there's regulations about how much Benzene needs to
 7
    be in a product before it gets labeled, and
 8
    Safety-Kleen didn't meet that.
 9
               Doctor, you have a pattern, don't you,
10
    when you're hired by Safety-Kleen, or by any
11
    industry, to defend their practices, to basically
12
    belittle the other experts that the plaintiffs have
13
    retained in cases, that's a pattern --
14
               MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
15
               MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form.
16
    BY MR. ROBB:
17
               -- right?
    Q.
18
               Can you tell me what you mean by pattern?
    Α.
19
               Well --
    Q.
               MR. COLÓN: Belittle.
20
21
   BY MR. ROBB:
22
    Q.
               Did you not in West Virginia belittle the
23
   methods -- methodology that was used by the experts
24
    that the plaintiffs had retained in a case involving
25
    CSX Transportation?
```

```
Page 68
               MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form.
 1
 2
    BY MS. FORGEY:
 3
               The case is Hall. Do you remember the
    Q.
    case of Hall?
 4
 5
               Hall?
    Α.
 6
    Q.
               Yes. H-a-l-1.
    Α.
               No.
 8
               All right. Do you recall any West
    Q.
 9
    Virginia Supreme Court opinion that said that the
10
   methods that you used to question the validity of the
11
    opinions of the plaintiff's expert in that case
12
    should not have been accepted by the trial court?
13
               I have no recollection of this.
    Α.
14
                      Do you know an attorney by the name
    0.
               Okay.
15
    of Dean Hartley? Do you remember him deposing you?
16
               I know Dean Hartley.
17
               Okay. And do you recall the case you had
    Q.
18
    with Dean Hartley that went up to the West Virginia
19
    Supreme Court in regard --
20
               I've had multiple cases with Dean Hartley.
21
    I have no idea about what you're talking.
22
    Q.
               Well, you've been in West Virginia cases
23
    before, correct, regarding Benzene and other chemical
24
    exposures; is that fair?
25
               I believe so.
```

- Q. Okay. Are you aware of the fact that the West Virginia Supreme Court has found that CML is caused by exposure to Benzene?
- 4 A. I don't ever remember being in a case with
- 5 CML, so if you're talking about something, it's
- 6 | probably something I'm not involved with.
- 7 MS. FORGEY: And I'll object to the form.
- 8 BY MR. ROBB:
- 9 Q. All right. But this is not the first time
 10 that you have criticized the experts on the other
- 11 | side and their methodologies, correct?
- MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
- 13 A. If you're asking me if I call it like I
- 14 see it, the answer is yes. That's what I should be
- 15 doing.
- 16 Q. All right. You call it as you see it. So
- 17 when you're under oath and you're asked a question,
- 18 | you tell the truth, and we can take that to the bank,
- 19 | correct?
- 20 A. I'm --
- MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form.
- 22 A. I certainly do the best I can, and I'm
- 23 certainly giving my testimony under oath.
- Q. Okay. And when you give your testimony
- 25 under oath that CML causes -- I mean, that Benzene

```
Page 70
 1
    causes CML, that's something we can rely upon,
 2
    correct?
 3
               MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
 4
               MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form.
 5
               MS. FORGEY: Misstates testimony.
 6
    Α.
               I guess I have to see what you're talking
    about.
 8
    Q.
               Doctor, I just want you to -- I want you
 9
    to assume that you've testified that CML is caused by
10
    exposure to Benzene under oath. I can trust that
11
    opinion from you, can't I?
12
               MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
13
               MR. COLÓN:
                          Objection as to form. Lack of
    foundation. Confront him. Show him what you have.
14
15
               MR. ROBB: I'm not going to tip my Daubert
16
    hand.
17
   BY MR. ROBB:
18
               Right, if you said it, I can count on it?
    Q.
19
               Again, I have to see the context of what
20
   you're talking about.
21
               All right. If you were asked the
    Q.
22
    question, "Does Benzene cause CML?" And you said,
23
    "Yes," there's no question you answered that the way
24
    you believed it to be, correct?
25
               MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
```

```
Page 71
               MR. COLÓN: Is that an assumption or are
 1
 2
    you telling him that as -- are you asking him to
 3
    assume that or is that a fact? Objection.
 4
               MR. ROBB: Object to the form, please,
 5
    Francisco.
 6
               I'll move on. I have what I need, I
 7
    think.
   BY MR. ROBB:
 8
 9
    Q.
               Now, have you read Dr. Frank's deposition?
10
    Α.
               I have.
11
               All right. Do you want to apologize to
12
    Dr. Frank to the comments you made about him in your
13
    report?
14
               MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
15
               Mr. Robb, if you're going to be
16
    unprofessional and rude --
17
               MR. ROBB:
                          I'm not being unprofessional.
18
    Your doctor said that Dr. Frank didn't know the first
19
    thing about CML or AML. I thought that was
20
    unprofessional.
    BY MR. ROBB:
21
22
    Q.
               So I'm simply asking him, did you read
23
    Dr. Frank's deposition?
24
               I did.
    Α.
25
    Q.
               It's clear he has an understanding of CML
```

Page 72 1 versus AML, isn't it, Doctor? 2 Α. That's not so clear, although he said he 3 did. Well, where in his four hours of testimony 4 Q. 5 did he ever present being confused between AML and 6 CML? Well, because he confuses them on Α. etiology. 8 9 Well, what do you mean that he says 10 that -- when you say etiology, what do you mean he 11 confuses it? 12 Its causes. He makes the assumption that Α. 13 something that can cause AML must cause CML. 14 admitted that he has no papers to support his 15 opinion, and so he extrapolates from AML to CML and 16 fails to recognize, as my report says -- by the way, 17 I didn't say he doesn't know the first thing about 18 it. You totally mischaracterized my report. The 19 sentence speaks for itself. 20 In my report, it says, "Dr. Frank 21 apparently confuses CML with other forms of leukemia 22 and apparently does not recognize the distinct 23 features of the diseases and etiologies." And I'll 24 stand by that. And his deposition didn't -- which I 25 didn't have the benefit of when I read -- when I

- 1 wrote this report -- his deposition -- I still make 2 the same statement.
- Q. Well, you saw that he had a number of reports with him that supported his opinion, did you not?
- 6 MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

about.

- A. I actually went through all the papers that he brought in Exhibit 5, and of the 10 or 12 things he cited, or maybe 15, only half of them were actually research studies. Those half that weren't research studies, most of them didn't support him. Of the research studies, only one-and-a-half of the eight or so supported his opinions. So let's peel back his opinions and see what he's really talking
- Q. Well, Bradford Hill doesn't require that there be -- that one study could be enough. It doesn't require a number of studies, does it?

MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form.

- A. I don't know what you mean by criteria, but Bradford Hill is pretty clear. You look at the available literature, and just because you have one that's positive doesn't mean that you can ignore everything else.
- 25 Q. That's right.

- 1 A. Consistency is a pretty understood
- 2 principle by epidemiologists. And the consistency in
- 3 this case is that at the levels of exposure of your
- 4 | client, or higher levels of exposure, the evidence is
- 5 | showing that it's not causing CML. We don't have
- 6 statistically significant increased risks.
- 7 | Q. Well, now you're saying he had a high
- 8 | level of exposure, and before you were saying he had
- 9 no exposure greater than background. So what is it?
- 10 A. I didn't say that.
- 11 Q. Well, didn't you say that his -- There's
- 12 no evidence that his exposure was above background,
- 13 wasn't that your exact testimony probably 15 minutes
- 14 | ago?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. All right. So now you're saying he has
- 17 | high exposure?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Did you rely upon those studies?
- 20 | A. No. What I'm saying is that studies of
- 21 people with higher levels of exposure than him don't
- 22 | have the associations shown for CML. And if they
- 23 don't have it, then Mr. Campos can't have it at
- 24 background levels.
- 25 Q. Okay. And your opinion that CML is not

- caused by Benzene is an opinion you've held for years and years, right?
- 3 MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form.
- 4 A. Well, based on the series of questions
- 5 | that you're saying, I guess there may be something
- 6 that I'm not recalling right now.
- 7 Q. Well, hang on, Doc. When did you -- are
- 8 | you forming new opinions about it now?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Okay. And you would never confuse -- you
- 11 talked about Dr. Frank and the fact that he confuses
- 12 his etiologies between the two, but being the highly
- 13 qualified cancer researcher that you are, you would
- 14 | never fall into that trap, would you?
- MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form.
- MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
- 17 A. It's so vague, I am not even sure how to
- 18 answer that question.
- 19 Q. Doctor, you would never confuse the
- 20 etiologies of AML and CML, correct?
- MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
- 22 A. I would hope not in a report.
- 23 Q. Well, in -- you know the difference
- 24 | between the two etiologies, correct?
- 25 A. I know the difference between the two

Case 3:12-cv-01529-PAD Document 159-2 Filed 08/11/14 Page 77 of 147 Page 76 1 diseases. 2 Well, didn't you say etiology is the Q. 3 causes? Correct. 4 Α. 5 You do know the difference in All right. Q. 6 the etiologies between AML and CML, correct? Correct. Α. 8 And you've known that for a long period of Q. 9 time, haven't you? Sure. During my professional career is 10 11 when I started thinking about these things. 12 And your professional career started when? Q. 13 Well, I would say probably late '80s. 14 Okay. So from the late '80s, you were Q. 15 familiar with the etiologies of particular leukemias 16 as part of your training and your eventual, you know, 17 continued experience in the workplace; is that fair? 18 I don't recall when I started thinking Α. 19 more about leukemia as opposed to just sort of common 20 hearsay. 21 Well, I thought you said you knew the Q. 22 difference between the etiologies starting back in

- 23 the '80s.
- 24 MR. COLÓN: No. That's not what he said,
- 25 Mike.

```
Page 77
               MR. ROBB: Well, then, I'm trying to
 1
 2
    figure out when he started to determine what the
 3
    etiologies were of leukemia.
 4
               MR. COLÓN:
                           That's a different question.
 5
    But that's not what he said, and that's not what you
 6
    asked him.
 7
               MR. ROBB: Okay. That's why I'm asking
 8
    it.
 9
               MS. FORGEY: And I'm objecting to the
10
    form.
11
   BY MR. ROBB:
12
               All right. When did you start considering
   Ο.
13
    the etiologies of CML and AML?
14
               I don't remember. Probably sometime in
    Α.
              Maybe earlier. I just don't remember.
15
    the '90s.
16
    It's a long time ago.
17
               Okay. That's fine. All right. So in the
    Q.
18
    late '80s, early '90s -- I'm not going to hold you to
19
    an exact year -- that's when you started, you know,
20
    you considered the etiologies of both of those type
21
    of cancers, according to your testimony, right?
22
    Α.
               I guess the problem that I'm having here
23
    is it's not like one day I said, Okay, I'm going to
24
    sit down and do an exhaustive analysis and, boom,
25
    I've had a deep understanding.
```

Page 78 I mean, these things have grown over time 1 2 as I have professionally developed and come across 3 questions and issues during my professional career. So it's not like there's some specific date. 4 5 How long have you been involved in Benzene Q. 6 type litigation, at least since the '90s, correct? I would guess that's probably more in the Α. 2000s. 8 9 Q. Okay. The early 2000s? 10 Probably. 11 Okay. And I may have asked you this, and 12 if I did, I apologize. How many times have you --13 well, let me ask you. 14 When did you first appear as an expert, 15 either by writing a report, giving a deposition, or 16 appearing in trial on behalf of Safety-Kleen? 17 That would be just in the last few years. Α. 18 Q. Okay. And prior to -- obviously, CSX has 19 hired you in the past on exposures of chemicals to 20 railroad workers and things like that, correct? 21 That's right. Α. 22 Q. And that would include Benzene, right? 23 There was allegations of Benzene and Α. 24 diesel exhaust, maybe some solvents. 25 Q. Okay. And those cases go back to the

Page 79 '90s? 1 2 Α. Probably not. Probably still the 2000s. 3 All right. We're talking early 2000? Q. I might have started around then. 4 Α. 5 Okay. And in those particular cases, Q. 6 there were -- you were involved with cases of CML and AML back then too, correct? 8 Α. I don't remember any CML cases. 9 Q. Okay. All right. 10 Α. Maybe. Maybe. I mean, that's a long time 11 ago. 12 Okay. All right. Let me see what else I Q. 13 have here. 14 You did a lot of training at Georgetown 15 and George Washington. 16 Well, I didn't train at Georgetown. 17 trained at George Washington and the National Cancer 18 Institute and the Mount Sinai School of Medicine. 19 All right. Did you know -- do you know Q. 20 Dr. Goldsmith, the expert that we have in this case 21 that works at both George Washington University and 22 Georgetown? 23 I don't. I don't think Dr. Goldsmith Α. 24 actually is employed by Georgetown. I think he has 25 an adjunct faculty status.

Page 80 In other words, he teaches at that school, 1 Q. 2 correct? 3 Α. That's what he said. I didn't independently verify that. 4 5 Okay. Those are two fine institutions, Q. 6 correct? Α. Sure. 8 All right. And in your report, you take Q. issue with him, correct? 9 10 That's correct. MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form. 11 12 BY MR. ROBB: 13 Q. All right. Do you not take issue with his 14 report? 15 No. I said that was correct. Α. 16 All right. And in this report that you 17 prepared in this particular case, do you have a 18 template you work off of? 19 Well, not particularly. I will cut and 20 paste from earlier reports if I have something that's 21 related. 22 Q. Well, in this particular case, you've cut 23 and pasted a number of things, correct? 24 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 25 You're getting into the privilege of draft

```
Page 81
 1
    reports, Mr. Robb.
 2
                               I'm not asking him what
               MR. ROBB:
                          No.
 3
    the lawyers did. I'm asking what he did.
 4
               MR. COLÓN: The privilege is the same.
 5
    You can't get into his draft reports.
               MS. FORGEY: Draft reports are privileged.
 6
 7
    And you took the position during your causation
 8
    depositions that we could not get any communications,
 9
    draft reports, or anything privileged by Rule 26.
10
    take the same position.
    BY MR. ROBB:
11
12
               Doctor, in other reports that you have
    Q.
13
    done, you have used this lung cancer analogy,
14
   correct?
15
               Correct.
    Α.
16
               So that's something that you cut and
17
    pasted from prior reports in other cases?
18
               MR. COLÓN:
                           Objection. Mike, you're
19
    asking about the drafting of his report.
20
               MR. ROBB:
                          No.
                              He said he cut and pasted
21
         I have his other reports. It's no mystery.
22
    I'll move on.
23
   BY MR. ROBB:
24
               Did you actually type this report
25
    yourself?
```

Page 82 1 Α. Yes. 2 Okay. Going back to Dr. Goldsmith, he's Q. 3 an epidemiologist, right? 4 Right. Α. 5 He's an occupational and environmental Q. epidemiologist. You're neither of those two things, 6 correct? MR. COLÓN: Objection. 8 9 I certainly do a lot of environmental Α. 10 epidemiology. I've published on occupational 11 epidemiology, so I guess I would say that's not 12 correct. 13 0. All right. So let me go through all of 14 your things you're an expert in then. 15 You are an occupational epidemiologist, in 16 your opinion, correct? 17 I'm an epidemiologist that has done Α. 18 research in both occupational and environmental 19 studies, correct. 20 All right. You're an expert in oncology? Q. 21 Α. Yeah. 22 Q. You're an expert in hematology? 23 Yes. Α. 24 You're not board certified in hematology Q. 25 though, are you?

Page 83 I was. I just let them lapse because I'm, 1 2 obviously, a pretty busy guy and didn't bother to 3 retake the test. So the answer is, no, you're not board 4 Q. 5 certified? 6 Well, I think the term is board eligible. 7 Okay. As far as Dr. Goldsmith, what did Q. he do wrong in his evaluation of this case? 9 Α. Boy, you got 20 minutes? Do you want me 10 to go through all the issues? 11 Yeah. I want you to tell me why a jury 12 should believe you over him. 13 MR. COLÓN: That's a different question. 14 BY MR. ROBB: 15 Well, there's the question. Why should a 16 jury believe you over Dr. Goldsmith? 17 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 18 Well, Dr. Goldsmith is an epidemiologist. Α. 19 I don't see his qualifications or research experience 20 for understanding the causes of cancer generally, 21 which would then apply to the causes of leukemia. 22 I've spent my life in a laboratory and 23 actually conducting the epidemiology studies, 24 Dr. Goldsmith hasn't. 25 And so the types of things I've done is

the experiments in people to look at what might cause cancer, what the cancer mechanisms are. I have an understanding of dose response relationship that I don't see from either of your experts, Dr. Frank or Dr. Goldsmith.

I understand the differences in risks and how they actually apply to people. I have looked at patients in the eye and actually explained to them what are the causes of cancer when they ask. I've done extensive occupational histories of probably thousands of patients.

And I think I could probably look at my CV and talk about even more, but I don't know that Dr. Goldsmith has ever been on an NIH study section panel that looks at grant reviews for people asking for money to study the causes of cancer. I actually don't see any publications, but maybe he's got one or two on his CV, but certainly nothing recently. And so I can go on from there, but I think that's a pretty good overview.

- Q. Well, he did work for the National
- 22 Institutes of Health, correct?
- 23 A. Which institute?

- 24 Q. National Institutes of Health.
- 25 A. So which institute?

- 1 Q. National Institute for Occupational Safety
- 2 and Health. You have his report there, don't you?
- 3 A. I can open it up.
- 4 Q. He worked for the United States
- 5 | Environmental Protection Agency.
- 6 A. So that's not NIH. Again, you were asking
- 7 | me about qualifications, and he worked in NIOSH.
- 8 | First of all, NIOSH is not a National Institute of
- 9 | Health. It's part of the CDC.
- 10 Secondly --
- 11 | Q. Well --
- 12 A. Let me finish. I'm trying to finish here.
- 13 Q. I want you to get to his report so we can
- 14 go through this a little bit quicker. That's all I'm
- 15 going to ask you to do, Doctor. I apologize for
- 16 | interrupting you, but if you can pull up his report,
- 17 | it will make my next questions easier and get us out
- 18 of here quicker. That's all. Okay?
- 19 A. Okay. So we're finding it. Okay.
- 20 Q. Are you with me? All right. Let's go
- 21 down, like, towards the bottom where he talks about
- 22 his professional background. Okay?
- 23 A. Yeah.
- 24 Q. I have, "Teaching and research experience
- 25 | in the area of occupational and environmental health

Page 86 1 cancer epidemiology, " right? 2 Yeah. Α. 3 So he's in the laboratory. You said he Q. wasn't, but that's --4 5 Say that again. Α. Whoa. Whoa. Whoa. 6 He's doing research, right? Q. Yeah. Α. 8 Q. That's what epidemiologists do, right? 9 Α. Most epidemiologists don't work in 10 laboratories. 11 All right. They do research, though, Q. 12 right? 13 Α. They do. 14 All right. And you rely upon epidemiology Q. 15 studies in your report, correct? 16 Correct. 17 All right. So epidemiologists serve a Q. 18 valuable service in determining cancer causation, 19 right? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Okay. In fact, many of the discoveries of 22 causation of cancer have been the result of work that 23 epidemiologists have done on populations, right? 24 Α. That is correct. 25 Q. All right. That's how -- going back to

- 1 our hormone thing -- that Prempro -- epidemiologist
- 2 | Don Austin discovered that connection between hormone
- 3 use and women developing breast cancer, right?
- 4 | A. I'm sorry. Did you give me the name of
- 5 | someone who discovered that?
- 6 Q. Yes. Donald Austin, an epidemiologist out
- 7 of Oregon.
- 8 A. I don't know that either way.
- 9 Q. All right. But you do know that
- 10 epidemiologists are the ones that brought that to the
- 11 | forefront of the health community's attention, that
- 12 | hormone replacement was causing breast cancer in
- 13 | women, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. All right. That wasn't done by a
- 16 | hematologist; that wasn't done by an oncologist; that
- 17 wasn't done by anybody at The Ohio State University,
- 18 | correct?
- 19 A. So you're asking me a question I don't
- 20 know the answer. I'm an epidemiologist, an
- 21 oncologist. It may be that Dr. Austin or anyone else
- 22 | are also oncologists. So I'm not even sure what
- 23 | you're trying to imply by the question.
- 24 Q. Well, Dr. Austin, I'll represent to you
- 25 | since he was an expert in my breast cancer cases, is

strictly an epidemiologist.

My question to you is epidemiologists are the -- is the discipline that usually uncovers what the causes of cancers are as opposed to an oncologist or a hematologist; is that fair?

MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form.

- A. Well, epidemiologists or toxicologists will do that. There's a lot of disciplines that could do that, of which they may or may not be oncologists.
- Q. You've never on your own published what the cause of a particular cancer was; is that fair?
- Are you kidding me? Did you look at my

14 CV?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. What cancers have you discovered and what causes them?
- 17 A. Discovered? I mean, my entire research 18 career is on the causes of cancer. You asked me
- 19 before about lung cancer and breast cancer. That's
- 20 all I do.
- Q. My question is what publication or where
- 22 is it that you yourself discovered that smoking
- 23 | causes lung cancer or that hormone replacement
- 24 | therapy caused breast cancer? Is there any such --
- 25 | what do we want to call it -- an aha moment that

Page 89 1 you've had where you've said that? 2 So epidemiologists never have an aha 3 moment, just to be clear. So I don't know what you're talking about with Dr. Austin. 4 5 But epidemiology is all about the 6 consistency of studies. The aha moment, I guess, is 7 when the 10th study comes out and says the same thing 8 and does it better than the previous nine. not really an aha moment. We evolve. 9 We're a 10 dynamic discipline. There's no, like, discovery, like, boy, I just found that gene in a test tube. 11 12 Well, there are physicians that do that Q. 13 though, right? 14 Α. Do what? 15 MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form. 16 BY MR. ROBB: 17 That discover the one thing that tells you Q. 18 what causes a particular disease. 19 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 20 Oh, that's such a vague thing, I'm not 21 going to answer that question. 22 Q. Jonas Salk discovered polio, did he not? 23 MR. COLÓN: I think he developed the polio 24 vaccine. 25

- 1 BY MR. ROBB:
- 2 Q. Polio vaccine. I apologize. Right?
- 3 A. That's right. He did it, but I don't know
- 4 | whether he had an aha moment.
- 5 Q. All right. Let's go back to
- 6 Dr. Goldsmith. You see where he works -- he's worked
- 7 | for the National Institute of Health?
- 8 A. Yeah. I'm pulling up his CV because I
- 9 think we need to go to the source here. I would like
- 10 to know exactly what INH he worked at.
- 11 Q. Why do you cast dispersions on a physician
- 12 that you've never had the opportunity to speak to?
- MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
- MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form.
- 15 BY MR. ROBB:
- 16 Q. I mean, do you think it's correct to say
- 17 he probably -- he doesn't know what he's talking
- 18 about when he puts things down like this?
- 19 A. Well, you know, you keep mischaracterizing
- 20 what I said. I think the report speaks for itself.
- 21 Q. Well, but you just said he doesn't -- he
- 22 | may not know where he works at the National Institute
- 23 of Health.
- 24 A. No. I said you don't know. You don't
- 25 know, Mr. Robb.

Page 91 So now you're going to turn on me? 1 Q. 2 Α. No. I'm trying to --MR. COLÓN: He's not turning on anyone, 3 Mr. Robb. 4 5 Mr. Robb, I'm trying to explain to you 6 that the NIH has multiple institutes, and that's 7 important to me because if Dr. Goldsmith worked at 8 the NCI, the National Cancer Institute, that's different than if he worked at some other institute 9 that doesn't deal with cancer. 10 11 This is a cancer case. That's what I'm 12 talking about in terms of your experts. You wouldn't 13 go -- if you had cancer, you wouldn't go to be 14 treated by a primary care doctor, an infectious 15 disease doctor; and you've hired experts that don't 16 have expertise in cancer. 17 MR. ROBB: Again, I just move to strike as unresponsive. 18 19 BY MR. ROBB: 20 Now, Dr. Frank, you know, deals with 21 cancers every day, does he not? 22 Α. I don't think so. 23 Well, have you seen his work with meso --24 meso -- I can't even pronounce the word. Meso -- say 25 it for me. Meso -- or whatever it is.

```
Page 92
               I have no idea what you're talking about.
 1
    Α.
 2
               You know what I'm talking about, silica
    Q.
 3
    and --
               MR. COLÓN: Mesothelioma.
 4
 5
               MR. ROBB:
                          Thank you very much, Francisco.
    BY MR. ROBB:
 6
    Q.
               Right?
 8
               I'm sorry. I don't think Dr. Frank treats
 9
   mesothelioma patients.
10
               Does he not evaluate those type of
11
   patients?
12
               MR. COLÓN:
                          Object as to form.
13
    Α.
               Are you asking me is he extensively
14
    involved in litigation as an expert about
15
    mesothelioma? That's my understanding. I don't
16
    think he treats any mesothelioma patients.
17
               Well, Doctor, that's a cancer, is it not?
    Q.
18
               That is a cancer.
    Α.
19
               All right. It's a cancer that somebody
    Q.
20
    contracts by inhaling particles, correct?
21
               Asbestos, they can, depending upon the
    Α.
22
    dose and the circumstance.
23
               And that's not too unlike Benzene and
    Q.
24
    inhaling Benzene, is it?
25
               MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
```

```
Page 93
               MR. COLÓN:
                          Objection as to form.
 1
 2
               What's not too -- I guess I just lost the
    Α.
 3
    question.
               I'm just saying, you inhale -- you can
 4
    Q.
 5
    inhale asbestos and end up with a cancer; you can
 6
    inhale Benzene and end up with a cancer also,
    correct?
 8
               MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
               At sufficient doses, it will increase your
 9
    Α.
    risk for AML.
10
11
               Doctor, I think we've established, and I
12
    don't want to go back, but did you not testify that
13
    Benzene does cause AML?
14
    Α.
               At sufficient doses, it could do that.
15
               All right. So going back now to
    0.
16
    Dr. Goldsmith, have you pulled up his CV?
17
    Α.
               I have.
18
               Did he work for the National Institutes of
    Q.
19
    Health?
20
               I'm still looking for that.
    Α.
21
               While you're looking for that --
    Q.
22
    Α.
               See, this is one of those things where,
23
    you know, it makes me a little bit concerned because
24
    I don't see that, so maybe you need to help me out
25
    because I want to make sure you're not
```

- 1 | misrepresenting the circumstances here.
- 2 Q. Doctor, what I told you to do five minutes
- 3 ago was to go to his report -- okay -- where he talks
- 4 | about his professional background and expertise --
- 5 | it's dated December 13, 2013 -- where he goes through
- 6 | all of his qualifications.
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. Do you have that?
- 9 A. I do.
- 10 Q. Okay. And you see down in the bottom part
- 11 of that first paragraph, he says, "I have acted in a
- 12 professional capacity as adviser to many federal,
- 13 state, and international agencies." Do you see that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. He then goes on to talk about those
- 16 agencies include the U.S. and California
- 17 | Environmental Protection Agency, EPA.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. The National Institutes of Health, right?
- 20 A. Yes. So keep reading that for a second.
- 21 | So it's National Cancer Institutes -- I'm sorry --
- 22 | National Institute of Health, right? Okay.
- 23 Q. National Institute for Occupational Safety
- 24 and Health.
- 25 A. Yeah.

- 1 Q. All right. Centers for Disease Control
- 2 and Prevention, CDC, right?
- 3 A. That's what he wrote.
- 4 | Q. They deal with cancer, right, the CDC?
- 5 A. They do, to some extent.
- 6 | Q. Okay.
- 7 A. Not the causes of cancer.
- 8 Q. Well, let's just go over then to the next
- 9 page where he talks about where he's worked as a
- 10 | peer-review for --
- 11 A. So I just want to be clear here because
- 12 | I'm searching on his -- oh, I see. So he's talking
- 13 about on his CV -- I searched on National Institute
- 14 | to see where he is, and what I see here is in 1976,
- 15 | he had a one-year National Institute of Environmental
- 16 | Health Sciences traineeship. Otherwise, I don't see
- 17 that.
- 18 Q. Where are you looking at?
- 19 A. On his CV.
- 20 Q. Okay. Now, go to the second page of that
- 21 report where he talks about that he's worked at
- 22 | the -- he's been a grant review -- he's been on grant
- 23 review panels at the National Cancer Institute. Do
- 24 you submit documents to the National Cancer
- 25 | Institute?

- 1 A. Sure.
- 2 Q. All right. So he's one of the people
- 3 | that's paid by them to review studies presented to
- 4 | them by physicians such as yourself --
- 5 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
- 6 BY MR. ROBB:
 - '|Q. -- right?
- 8 A. That's correct, I guess. I don't know.
- 9 I'm looking for his -- let me finish.
- I'm taking for face value that's what he's
- 11 actually done. What I see on his CV is then 2006 he
- 12 was a study section member for exploratory grants for
- 13 NCI Cancer Information Service, and I certainly
- 14 | don't -- that's an information thing. That's not a
- 15 research grant sort of thing. So where I submit
- 16 grants, he would not be on a review panel.
- 17 Q. Well, he's on a review panel for the
- 18 | National Institutes of Health. Do you submit grant
- 19 | proposals to them?
- 20 A. Yeah. But not to what he does. He's not
- 21 doing the type of research on the causes of cancer,
- 22 and the panels he's been on have nothing to do with
- 23 the causes of cancer.
- 24 Q. What panel was he on as a grant review for
- 25 the National Institute of Health --

Page 97 1 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 2 BY MR. ROBB: 3 -- that allows you to make that comment? Q. He was on a grant review panel for the 4 Α. 5 Cancer Information Service. Those are the people who 6 run the 1-800 NCI number for people who want to know about cancer. 8 That's going back to his earlier -- where Q. he talks about where he's an adviser, right? 10 That was the review -- the only 11 review panel that I see on his CV -- I could be 12 wrong -- I'm searching it -- is in -- I'll give you 13 the date -- it's really hard because he put it down as NCI -- that's a lot of words -- I have 2006 Study 14 15 Section Member for Exploratory Grants for the NCI 16 Cancer Information Service. So those are the people 17 who give out information to people for public

- 18 education. It's nothing to do with causes of cancer.
- 19 I could be wrong. Maybe he's on some other panel I'm
- 20 not seeing.
- 21 Those are the people that review the 22 information that comes in from people like yourself 23 and determines what they want to disseminate for the 24 public, correct?
- 25 Actually, I don't know what they do well

Page 98 1 enough to answer that question. 2 All right. Well, thank you. You have in 0. 3 your report that you shouldn't rely upon government agencies -- let me see if I can find the language. 4 Ι 5 apologize. I'm shuffling some things around here. 6 You put in your report at one point 7 that -- hold on a second. I've got to keep flipping 8 around. 9 You put down about Regulatory and Review 10 Agency Classifications. You have a section of that 11 in your report. Okay? 12 Α. That's right. 13 0. On page 19. And you basically say that 14 their conclusions focus on protecting public health, 15 but there's limitations to the scientific data, and 16 basically you shouldn't put a whole lot of stock into 17 what they find. Is that pretty much what you're 18 saying there? 19 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 20 Α. No. 21 Okay. Well, you're saying that they're Q. 22 not the end-all, right? 23 Α. No. 24 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

End-all to what end?

25

Α.

Page 99 1 Well, I mean, you shouldn't rely upon them Q. 2 solely as proof of one thing or another; is that 3 fair? For what context? 4 Α. 5 Q. Cancer causation. 6 Α. Again, what context? 7 Doctor, should you rely upon regulatory Q. 8 and review agency classifications regarding what 9 causes particular leukemias? MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 10 11 Again, you have to give me the context. 12 It's too vaque. 13 0. I can't ask that question any simpler than 14 that, Doctor. 15 So why don't you ask me what are the 16 possible contexts? 17 My question to you, Doctor, is you in your Q. 18 report say that regulatory and review agency classifications aren't necessarily the final word on 19 20 what causes a particular disease; is that fair? 21 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 22 MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form. 23 Α. It depends on the context, and I think the 24 report speaks for itself.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Well, what context are you talking about?

25

Q.

Page 100

A. So the regulatory review agencies have a goal to protect public health. They review the literature, they assist, and they explicitly state, like IARC does, that they're there to assist governmental agencies' regulators with prioritizing which chemicals to regulate first, harder, look closer, you know, whatever it is that they need to do. That's an important role for them. And although I don't agree 100 percent with their determinations, I agree with most of them. But I also understand the context and understand that in those cases, maybe reasonable people may disagree.

The context that's important here is the use of their designations for individual risk assessment is inappropriate, and they state that, so you can't rely on them for that.

Having said that, if they review

literature and say that something -- there's

insufficient data to establish a causal conclusion,

that is very helpful because they're following what's

called the precautionary principle. So they're being

cautious, and that's what we want -- that's what I

would want them to do. I shouldn't say we because

maybe some people would disagree with me. But we

want to protect people.

But in that context, they're going to be more willing to label something as a carcinogen to help with regulation. So if they say that there's insufficient evidence, that's very important to know.

And then — but then for an individual causation, if they say there's insufficient evidence, that's, you know, that's an expert panel that's looked at this and said, well, we've looked at the data, and we can't even follow precautionary principle and come to a conclusion of causation. So for that perspective, it's helpful for individual risk assessment. But because they say that something is a cancer causing agent does not necessarily mean that it will cause cancer in people, and they're pretty explicit on that.

- Q. Doctor, in your report, you say, "It's clear that they instruct the reader to not infer individual causation from their classification assessments," correct?
- 20 A. Exactly.

- Q. All right. So they don't want anybody to do that, including any of the physicians that may be looking at this particular case, correct?
- MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
- 25 A. Exact -- well, again, in the context that

- 1 | I just said where following the precautionary
- 2 principle, if they conclude that there's insufficient
- 3 data, that means you don't have general causation.
- 4 | If you don't have general causation, you don't have
- 5 | individual causation.
- 6 MR. ROBB: Let me just move to strike and
- 7 | see if you can answer my question, Doctor.
- 8 BY MR. ROBB:
- 9 | Q. My question is in your report, you agree
- 10 that the regulatory and review agencies, which would
- 11 | include IARC and some of the other ones, "It is clear
- 12 that they tell you not to infer individual causation
- 13 | from the classification assessments, "that's what you
- 14 wrote in your report, correct?
- 15 A. That's what I wrote.
- 16 Q. Okay. I'm sorry. We asked you some time
- 17 ago about your invoices. Do you have them now?
- MS. FORGEY: Yeah. I have them
- 19 electronically. I don't have hard copies, but I can
- 20 certainly look at them.
- MR. ROBB: That's fine. Just, if you can,
- 22 | show them to the Doctor and have him testify as to
- 23 | how much he's been paid for his opinions in this
- 24 particular case.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Boy, I'm looking at an

Page 103 iPhone here. So we have one invoice that was dated 1 2 February 28, 2014. That bill was for \$24,480. 3 then --BY MR. ROBB: 4 5 I'm sorry. How much was that for? Q. 6 apologize. \$24,480. Is there a second page? Α. 8 MS. FORGEY: Second page. 9 Α. How do I do that? 10 Then I have an invoice for April 30, 2014, 11 and that is for \$1,750. 12 Okay. All right. Now, let's see if we Q. 13 can just wrap this up -- okay -- so everybody can get 14 on their way. I'm going to go back and ask you a few 15 things. 16 Doctor, in order to -- in order for you, 17 and in your practice and the way you conduct yourself 18 when you're trying to determine what the cause of something is, you do employ the principles of the 19 Bradford Hill criteria, correct? 20 21 I generally follow them. That's correct. Α. 22 Q. Okay. And in the past, when you have 23 testified about what the cause of something is, you 24 would have, obviously, before you made such a 25 determination, considered those factors; is that also

Case 3:12-cv-01529-PAD Document 159-2 Filed 08/11/14 Page 105 of 147 Page 104 1 fair? 2 Likely. Α. 3 Okay. And in this particular case, you're 0. 4 of the opinion that Benzene does not cause CML no 5 matter what the exposure; is that correct? 6 At the levels of exposure that have been 7 studied across the scientific literature, that's 8 correct. 9 Okay. But let me reask it then. Is there 10 an exposure where Benzene will cause CML? 11 Not that we've identified scientifically. 12 And in this particular case, you'll agree Q. 13 that there are institutions, well-respected 14 institutions, that recognize an association of 15 Benzene to CML; you recognize that, right? 16 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 17 Well, I recognize that there's websites Α. 18 that report that that come from those institutions 19 that we've gone through for 11 different websites. 20 Okay. And we've established that many of 21 those websites, you know, you are aware of those 22 institutions, and they're fine institutions, correct?

- 23 A. Not necessarily. There's many there that
 24 I didn't recognize.
- Q. Well, okay. The Cleveland Clinic, you

Page 105 1 recognize that? 2 Α. I know them. 3 Johns Hopkins, you recognize that? Q. I know of them. 4 Α. 5 You acknowledged the fact that states have Q. 6 cancer registries or agencies, correct? States have registries. Α. Sure. I have no 8 idea what you're talking about for the first part of 9 that question. 10 Well, Montana, the State of Montana's 11 determination that CML and Benzene are associated, 12 that there's a well-documented association, we went 13 over that, right? 14 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 15 I don't know whether they stated 16 well-documented, and I don't know what the role of that group is within the State of Montana. I've 17 never heard of them before. 18 19 All right. Well, you don't do any work in Q. 20 Montana, right? 21 So you agree though that there is evidence 22 or literature outside of -- well, strike that. 23 You would agree that there's literature 24 and evidence outside of litigation that CML is 25 associated with Benzene exposure?

Page 106 We've talked about this before. 1 The only, 2 you know, I could be off by one, but I only know of 3 about one-and-a-half studies that report a statistical association. Maybe there's one or two 4 5 more among the dozens of studies that one could look 6 at to inform your question. I understand that, Doctor. But my Q. 8 question was beyond studies. There's literature and 9 there's informational websites, there's hospital 10 websites that all stand for the proposition that I 11 presented to you today, that CML is associated with 12 Benzene exposure? 13 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 14 BY MR. ROBB: 15 You concede that, right? 0. 16 That's such a broad question when you said "all." 17 18 Let me --Q. 19 Let me finish. Excuse me. Let me finish. 20 I've got to finish the question. You've got a 21 question pending. You can ask the question 22 afterwards. 23 I withdrew the question, Doctor. Q.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

least 11 examples of institutions that -- and doctors

My question to you is I have shown you at

24

25

that disagree with your opinion that CML has nothing to do with Benzene, correct?

MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.

MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form.

A. So there's a number of them that use the word "may." One of them specifically stated that most people are not even exposed to the dose levels of Benzene that would trigger a response. So some of

9 them don't support the question you just asked.

10 Q. Doctor, have I shown you a number of studies today -- and I'm sorry. Let me rephrase.

Doctor, I've shown you 11 exhibits today that all discuss CML and Benzene exposure, correct?

14 A. Correct.

MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form.

16 BY MR. ROBB:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And in not one of those, of the 11 exhibits that I showed you, do they specifically say that Benzene is not associated in any shape or form with CML, does it?

A. I'm sorry. You're asking me whether or not these 11, whether or not any of them say that Benzene is not associated in any shape or form with CML? Correct. Those 11 websites that you picked off out of a Google search or whatever don't say that.

- Q. Okay. You didn't include any of those in your references, correct?
- A. I didn't include any websites from cancer centers or associations in my references.
- Q. You also didn't include Dr. Kopstein's peer-reviewed articles about exposure in your references either, correct?
- MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form.
- 9 A. That's correct. I did not include his 10 references.
- 11 Q. All right. You didn't include in your
- 12 references the study that was done by Dr. Savitz and
- 13 Dr. Andrews at the North California School of Health
- 14 | that concluded that Benzene was linked to chronic
- 15 myeloid leukemia? And that's in the "Review of
- 16 | Epidemiological Evidence on Benzene and Lymphatic" --
- 17 and, again, I'm going to spell this for the court
- 18 | reporter just because I can't say it --
- 19 | H-e-m-a-t-o-p-o-i-e-t-i-c -- Cancers" in the American
- 20 | Journal of Industrial Health. You don't have that
- 21 | cite anywhere either, do you?
- 22 A. I don't recall that publication. It's not
- 23 | cited in my report.
- Q. Okay. But there's another study out there
- 25 that was done that you didn't take the time to locate

Page 109 1 or include in your list of references; is that 2 correct? 3 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. I don't think you can assume that. 4 Α. I 5 quess I need to see the article to see if it brings 6 anything to bear on this case at all and whether it's going to ring any bells. 8 Q. Well, it specifically talks about CML, and 9 it's in the American Journal of Industrial Health. 10 You didn't --11 I can't rely -- I can't rely on what 12 you're saying about the title. I need to see the 13 paper. 14 MS. FORGEY: Do you want to e-mail it to 15 us? 16 MR. ROBB: No. I'm not going to provide 17 the Doctor with papers that he didn't bother to look 18 at. 19 MS. FORGEY: I thought you wanted to ask 20 him about it. THE WITNESS: And just for the record, 21 22 that just totally misclassified and grossly -- boy, I 23 don't even know how to finish that sentence -- but 24 that was just really bad what you just said. 25

1 BY MR. ROBB:

that fair?

any bells.

2

3

4

5

14

15

16

17

25

- Q. Well, Doctor, you're saying that there's only two or three studies, yet you're not aware of all the studies that have addressed this issue; isn't
- 6 I've done a pretty exhaustive search on 7 this, and I would bet that I've probably read 8 everything; but, you know, there actually are a lot 9 of papers out there on CML and Benzene, and they're 10 pretty consistent, but I can't promise you that I 11 haven't missed one, nor as I sit here, you should not 12 infer that I'm not familiar with the paper you're 13 saying. You're reading me a title. It's not ringing
 - Q. Doctor, look on your list of references and tell me if you see the last name of Savitz, S-a-v-i-t-z, or Andrews anywhere in your references.
- 18 A. I did not cite that particular paper.
- 19 That's all I could tell you. But you should not
- 20 infer that I haven't seen it, I haven't reviewed it,
- 21 that I haven't discounted it; I didn't forget I was
- 22 writing this report, and if there's something in
- 23 | there that's going to change my opinion, I'll be glad
- 24 to see the paper, so show me the paper.
 - Q. Well, if the paper says that Benzene

Page 111 1 exposure is associated with CML, are you going to 2 change your opinion? 3 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. You know, if that's the definitive study 4 Α. 5 out there, then I would certainly consider it. it's a review article or a badly designed study, then 6 7 There may be a very good reason why I didn't 8 I just don't remember the paper. 9 Q. So this paper could change your whole way 10 of thinking that you've had from the 19 -- late '80s 11 and early '90s that Benzene doesn't cause CML, is 12 that what you're telling me this paper could 13 potentially do? 14 No, Mr. Robb. It's highly unlikely that that would be the case. I just need to see the 15 16 paper. 17 MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form. 18 Mr. Robb, that's not what he said. You're 19 mischaracterizing his testimony. 20 MS. FORGEY: It's patently unfair to ask 21 him about what a paper would or would not do to his 22 opinion when he doesn't have it in front of him. MR. ROBB: Well, again --23 24 MR. COLÓN: He gave a very thorough answer

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

as to, you know, what the paper may or may not say,

25

- 1 | what he may or may not have considered, why he may or
- 2 | may not have not cited it.
- 3 BY MR. ROBB:
- 4 | Q. Doctor, I guess my point is there are
- 5 papers that deal with chronic myeloid leukemia that
- 6 | you may not have reviewed in reaching your opinions
- 7 in this case.
- 8 A. I think that that's unlikely, but I can't
- 9 promise you that I have seen everything out there in
- 10 | the world's literature. But I will tell you that
- 11 | it's likely that I have seen virtually everything out
- 12 there in the world's literature.
- 13 Q. Those 11 things I sent to you that are
- 14 part of the exhibits today, did you see them at all
- 15 | before?
- 16 A. You gave me websites, dude. I have no
- 17 | stock or credibility --
- 18 **Q**. Dude?
- 19 A. -- in these -- in these websites that
- 20 | you're giving.
- 21 You haven't asked me how cancer centers
- 22 | put these websites together, which I know. They're
- 23 | not credible. Whether they say they do cause CML or
- 24 don't, they really don't have much bearing on my
- 25 opinions as an expert in cancer causation. They're

```
Page 113
 1
    not done by people who are experts in cancer
 2
    causation. And, again, if you had lung cancer, you
 3
    would not go to a dermatologist to get treated.
 4
    Q.
               Doctor, are you saying that the Cleveland
 5
    Clinic is putting out false information to their
 6
    potential patients?
               Now that I see this, I need to e-mail some
    Α.
 8
    of my friends at the Cleveland Clinic and ask them
 9
    how is it that they put this together.
10
               I need to -- you have to give me a break
11
    for one second.
12
    Q.
               Sure.
13
               (Off the record.)
    BY MR. ROBB:
14
15
               All right. Doctor, you say you have
16
    colleagues at the Cleveland Clinic?
17
               Sure.
    Α.
18
    Q.
               All right. You've never designed a
19
    hospital or a facility's website, correct?
20
               Well, I don't know what you mean by
21
    designed.
               I occasionally contribute to website
22
    content.
23
               So doctors then contribute to the website
    Q.
24
    content, right?
25
               Sometimes, sometimes not.
```

- Q. Okay. But in your instance, have you contributed content to any websites?
- 3 A. I have.
- 4 Q. Which ones?
- 5 A. We've had websites on tobacco at
- 6 | Georgetown, occasionally our marketing -- so the
- 7 | websites are usually done through marketing, and
- 8 sometimes the marketing people ask physicians for
- 9 | content and sometimes they don't. I can't remember
- 10 any other ones offhand. But I know that I've
- 11 | certainly designed a number -- or not designed -- but
- 12 | contributed to a number of different websites over
- 13 time.
- 14 Q. When you do contribute to a website, as a
- 15 | physician, you want to make sure that the information
- 16 that goes on that website is accurate, correct?
- 17 | A. Sure.
- 18 MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form.
- 19 A. If I'm asked. It doesn't mean -- we can't
- 20 | assume that they've asked.
- 21 Q. Well, after you give them the information,
- 22 | don't you go back and check to see what they are
- 23 | citing that you gave to them?
- 24 A. Actually, interestingly enough, I guess I
- 25 | haven't.

Page 115 All right. You work at the Lombardi 1 Q. 2 Cancer Center? 3 Α. No. Is it the Lombard Cancer Center? 4 Q. 5 I don't work at the Lombardi Cancer 6 If you're asking me did I in the past, the 7 answer is yes. 8 That was my question, and I probably Q. 9 mumbled it. You have worked there in the past, 10 right? 11 Correct. Α. 12 And how often do you see patients Q. 13 currently? 14 Α. One day a week. 15 You don't have a private practice, do you? 0. 16 Well, my practices as it has always been 17 is part of the University. 18 And the work that you're doing here, it Q. 19 goes to you, right; it doesn't go to the University? 20 That's correct. I'm independent. 21 declared as an outside activity. 22 Q. Okay. Did you see where Dr. Frank's 23 compensation actually goes to his University? 24 That's what he stated. Α. 25 Q. But you've set up a separate entity to get

- 1 paid when you serve as an expert witness; is that
- 2 fair?
- 3 A. I haven't set up a separate entity.
- 4 | Q. All right. So it's just made out to you.
- 5 | How should I make this check out that I'm going to
- 6 | have to write to you when I get done today?
- $7 \mid A$. It's to me.
- 8 Q. Okay. Again, just wrapping up, we've
- 9 | talked about things. There's the -- you do agree
- 10 | with me that there are statistically relevant studies
- 11 | that show a connection between CML and Benzene
- 12 exposure, correct?
- MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form.
- 14 | A. Like I've said many times, offhand, I can
- 15 only think of one-and-a-half. Maybe I'm forgetting
- 16 about something. But among dozens of studies that
- 17 | assess this, I've got one-and-a-half where I could
- 18 agree with your question.
- 19 Q. Okay. So the simple answer to my question
- 20 | would be, yes, there are studies that show a -- that
- 21 are statistically significant that show an increased
- 22 | risk of somebody developing CML by being exposed to
- 23 | Benzene; is that fair?
- MR. COLÓN: Objection.
- 25 A. Well, I guess if you are looking for that

- 1 | simple answer, I would say there are study, so one.
- 2 Q. Okay. And can you point to any of the
- 3 | studies that you relied upon that dealt strictly with
- 4 | solvent -- Safety-Kleen's Virgin Solvent 105?
- 5 A. Well, Virgin Solvent 105 is mineral
- 6 | spirits, and mineral spirits are cited in my report.
- $7 \mid Q$. Doctor, my question to you is of any of
- 8 the studies that you are relying upon, are any of
- 9 | those -- is the product Safety-Kleen Virgin Solvent
- 10 | 105 mentioned?
- MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
- 12 A. So among the mineral spirits studied, I
- 13 | haven't seen them mentioning Safety-Kleen Solvent
- 14 | 105. That's usually not what publications do. They
- 15 | don't name individual products.
- 16 Q. Well, Doctor, you don't know what mineral
- 17 | spirits that they tested in any of those studies
- 18 | then, correct?
- 19 A. I would not know the origin of the mineral
- 20 | spirits in those studies.
- 21 Q. You wouldn't know if it was Safety-Kleen
- 22 or some other solvent that was manufactured by
- 23 | somebody else, correct?
- 24 A. Well, I have no reason to believe that.
- 25 | Mineral spirits are so different. Safety-Kleen 105

- 1 looks to me like it's mineral spirits as anyone would
- 2 | use, but they don't usually put that information in
- 3 | the publication.
- 4 Q. Doctor, are you aware of any mineral
- 5 | spirits that are banned in all 50 states?
- 6 A. Mineral spirits are widely used by, I
- 7 | don't know, maybe more than 100,000 workers every
- 8 day, so I have no idea what you're talking about.
- 9 Q. Doctor, are you aware of any product,
- 10 other than the Safety-Kleen product, that is banned
- 11 | for sale in all 50 states here in the U.S.?
- MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.
- 13 A. You're asking me to assume that there's a
- 14 | ban for the sale of Safety-Kleen. We've been through
- 15 that before. That's not ringing any bells to me, so
- 16 | I'm not aware of any such bans.
- 17 Q. Okay. Doctor, I'm going to tell you that
- 18 we have the Material Safety Data Sheet on -- that
- 19 | Safety-Kleen has provided to us in this particular
- 20 case, and I'll read it to you again because we did
- 21 talk about this.
- It says, "As of June of 2011, this product
- 23 | is only for sale and use in Puerto Rico. Use of this
- 24 | solvent anywhere else is strictly prohibited." Okay.
- 25 | That means you can't use it anywhere else but

Puerto Rico.

1

2

3

4

5

Do you know of any other mineral spirits that you have seen a study on that's been banned for sale anywhere but Puerto Rico?

MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form.

- 6 A. I think you're asking me a legal question.
- 7 So prohibited doesn't mean that it's banned, at least
- 8 as far as I know, but I'm not a lawyer.
- 9 Secondly, I don't know why that is
- 10 prohibited to be used. Like I said, there could be a
- 11 lot of reasons, and so I wouldn't necessarily know
- 12 about bans or not. But, again, mineral spirits are
- 13 | widely used every day all over our country.
- 14 Q. Doctor, my question -- what does strictly
- 15 prohibited mean to you?
- 16 A. It means that the manufacturer is telling
- 17 us not to use it somewhere else.
- 18 Q. Okay. All right.
- 19 A. So but it's actually kind of funny. If it
- 20 was a safety issue, why would it be it's okay to use
- 21 | in Puerto Rico, but we don't want to risk people in
- 22 | Mississippi? I guess your question is just making no
- 23 | sense to me.
- 24 Q. Yeah. It doesn't make much sense to my
- 25 | client either in Puerto Rico.

Page 120 Maybe it's that, you know, Puerto Ricans 1 2 are more healthy and so they can handle these types 3 of exposures. Obviously, that's absurd, and so I just -- I don't even know how to answer your 4 5 questions because --Well, my --6 -- it's not my expertise. Α. 8 My question was pretty simple, Doctor. Q. 9 question is are you aware of any other mineral spirit 10 product that cannot be used anywhere but 11 Puerto Rico --12 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 13 BY MR. ROBB: 14 0. -- other than the Safety-Kleen products? 15 I don't follow this. It's not my 16 expertise. I wouldn't be aware of it either way. 17 Let's see if we can use common sense Q. 18 because, again, Doctor, a product that is banned for 19 use anywhere in the United States but can only be 20 used in Puerto Rico, does that indicate to you that 21 that's a product that is harmless to the rest of the 22 population? 23 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 24 MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form. 25 and answered.

Page 121 I've answered that question already. 1 Α. You 2 want me to answer it again? 3 No, because I won't get the answer. Q. 4 Do you know of any mineral spirit that is 5 banned in the State of California because -- other 6 than Safety-Kleen for causing cancer? 7 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. 8 Α. You're making the assumption -- I'm 9 telling you that I don't know anything about the ban. 10 I don't know anything about the circumstances of the 11 ban, if there is such a ban, it's not certainly 12 ringing any bells for California or anywhere else, 13 but I wouldn't follow that. And so you're asking me 14 a question that's actually not common sense because 15 it's so vaque, I can't answer it. 16 California Environmental Protection Agency 17 has banned Safety-Kleen 105 Virgin Solvent from being 18 used in their state. Okay. Does that indicate to 19 you that that's a safe product if an environmental 20 protection agency bans its sale? 21 MR. COLÓN: Objection as to form. 22 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. And object 23 to the sidebar. 24 So can you tell me why they "banned" it? Α. 25 Q. Do you think they banned it because it was

Page 122 1 safe? 2 Could be transportation issues, if it's 3 banned at all. So I don't know. There could be a lot of reasons that are outside my area of expertise 4 5 for them to not use the product. 6 The reason it's banned, sir, is because it 7 causes cancer. 8 MS. FORGEY: Objection. Form. And object to the sidebar. 9 BY MR. ROBB: 10 11 Right? I mean, that's what it says right 12 here. The reason it's not sold in California is 13 because it causes cancer. 14 Α. What are you reading? 15 I'm reading from the MSDS. 0. 16 And the MSDS says that this can't be sold 17 in California because it causes cancer? I find that 18 pretty highly unlikely. Maybe you should e-mail the 19 document. 20 All right. It's Section 15. Q. 21 Can you e-mail the document? 22 MR. ROBB: No. I'm through. Okay. I'm 23 through asking you questions. 24 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. 25 MS. FORGEY: Are you passing the witness?

Page 123 1 MR. ROBB: I am passing this witness. 2 MS. FORGEY: Francisco, do you have 3 anything? MR. COLÓN: 4 No. 5 Okay. Dr. Shields, I just MS. FORGEY: have a few questions. 6 7 EXAMINATION BY MS. FORGEY: 8 9 First of all, you were asked what fields 10 you have expertise in. One of the fields that you 11 mentioned was exposure. Do you recall that? 12 Α. Yes. 13 0. What do you mean by saying that you were 14 an expert in exposure? 15 So as an expert in cancer causation and 16 someone who has done epidemiologic studies over many, 17 many years, critical to understanding risks is levels of exposure, so every study that I approach we 18 19 consider the level of exposure. In this case in particular, for me to be 20 21 able to say whether or not -- let's assume 22 hypothetically we had a person with AML that's caused 23 by Benzene. First question in general causation is 24 can it cause leukemia? Can Benzene cause AML at any 25 level of exposure? Because if the answer is no, then

you're done.

And if the answer is yes, the next most important thing is what are those relevant human exposures? Okay. Because if someone is not exposed to that level, it's not relevant for them. So that's your second part of general causation.

And that's kind of in -- now you could actually say that's also part of individual risk assessment, but someone's got to do it.

So as my expertise as trying to understand the causes of cancer generally in individuals, I have to understand how much Benzene is in Safety-Kleen.

How do I relate that to the general literature? What are the circumstances out in the workplace that give more exposure or potential exposure levels than alleged by even Dr. Kopstein for Safety-Kleen?

If I find those industries, those workers,
I can look at their disease risk, in this case CML,
and if they're not getting disease risk, then
Mr. Campos is not at an increased disease risk from
working with Safety-Kleen. But I have to have that
level of exposure. So I have to understand that and
relate it to the epidemiologic literature.

Q. And so when you're evaluating -- when you're making that causation evaluation, is it

correct that you have to have some basic understanding of the products that a class of worker or a particular worker used?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

MR. ROBB: Object to the form.

A. Absolutely. You can't make an individual risk assessment without considering dose. This is a fundamental tenet of toxicology. So we talk about the amount of Benzene in Safety-Kleen. We talk about the amount of Benzene in gasoline.

In my report, it's pretty clear about people who are working with gasoline and what we know about CML risk and what gas station workers who are pumping gas with 20,000 ppm compared to Dr. Kopstein's hypothetical speculative incorrect

1,000 ppm. So this is --

MR. ROBB: Move to strike.

A. I'm still talking. Thank you.

MR. ROBB: Moving to strike your answer.

A. So you have, what is it, 1,000 versus

20 20,000, so you have 20 times the amount of exposure.

21 We know what the level of the Benzene is in gas

22 station workers. There are biomarker studies that

23 demonstrate that these people are not being exposed

24 above the OSHA permissible limits. And so if gas

25 station workers aren't, Mr. Campos wasn't. These are

Page 126 all application of epidemiologic principles 1 2 understanding dose that's critical to understanding 3 this case. MR. ROBB: Objection. Move to strike. 4 BY MS. FORGEY: 5 6 So even though you're not an industrial hygienist and your area of expertise in this case is not to sit there and look at data and make the 8 9 precise quantifications, like experts in those fields 10 do, you have a basic understanding of the Benzene 11 content of the product that we're talking about or 12 the substance that we're talking about, correct? 13 MR. ROBB: Object to the form. 14 Α. Yeah. You have to for this type of case, 15 because if you want to relate Mr. Campos's risk to 16 something, you have to go to the epidemiologic 17 literature. So you have to understand what his 18 workplace activities are and the potential levels of 19 exposure are and whether or not he's doing something 20 different than other mechanics or other people who 21 are working with mineral spirits. And unless there's 22 not something really unusual, the epidemiologic 23 literature is going to apply and apply well. 24 You've never worked at Safety-Kleen, Q. 25 right?

Page 127 1 Α. No. 2 And Dr. Breece's deposition in this case, Q. 3 I'll represent to you, was taken yesterday. You've 4 not seen that deposition, have you? 5 That's correct. I haven't. Α. 6 Okay. So you don't have any -- you don't have any expertise in what may or may not have 8 happened with Safety-Kleen surrounding these 9 allegations that plaintiff's counsel is making about 10 trashing logbooks? 11 MR. ROBB: Object to the form. 12 Α. Yeah. I don't know anything about this. 13 0. You were asked about a statement in an 14 MSDS. You don't have that MSDS in front of you, do 15 you? 16 Well, I may have it in my folder, but not 17 the particular one that Mr. Robb is talking about. 18 Q. Sure. And you don't know the basis of 19 that statement in the MSDS, do you? 20 Α. No. 21 You don't know what that statement means? Q. 22 Α. No. I mean, it's out of context. I have 23 no idea. I did ask the question what exactly do they 24 mean? 25 MS. FORGEY: I think that's all I have.

```
Page 128
 1
    Thank you.
 2
               MR. ROBB:
                          I have nothing further.
 3
               THE WITNESS:
                             Thank you.
 4
               THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Robb, would you
 5
    like me to transcribe this deposition?
 6
                                    There's no rush on
               MR. ROBB:
                          I would.
 7
         I need an index, obviously. I don't take the
 8
    minis anymore. I can't read them. My eyes are
 9
    going.
10
               THE COURT REPORTER:
                                    Do you want just
11
    e-mail version or do you need a hard copy?
12
               MR. ROBB:
                         An e-mail. I guess why don't
13
    you e-mail it to me, and then if I decide on a hard
14
    copy, we'll decide.
                        Okay?
15
               THE COURT REPORTER:
                                    Okav.
16
               MS. FORGEY: And we will attach as
17
    Exhibit 12 the objections to the deposition notice
18
    and document request, and we will also ask to be
19
    given the opportunity to read and sign pursuant to
20
    Federal Rule 30.
21
               MR. ROBB: And obviously, Miss Court
22
    Reporter, you're going to attach all the exhibits.
23
    Okay?
24
               THE COURT REPORTER:
                                    Okay.
25
               Mr. Colón, do you want a copy of the
```

```
Page 129
 1
    deposition?
               MR. COLÓN: No, thank you.
 2
 3
 4
               And, thereupon, Exhibit No. 12 was marked
 5
    for purposes of identification.
 6
 7
                   (Signature not waived.)
 8
               And, thereupon, the deposition was
 9
10
    concluded at approximately 11:40 a.m.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	Page 130
1	State of Ohio : SS:
2	County of Franklin:
3	I, PETER G. SHIELDS, M.D., do hereby
4	certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of
5	my deposition given on May 9, 2014; that together
6	with the correction page attached hereto noting
7	changes in form or substance, if any, it is true and
8	correct.
9	
10	PETER G. SHIELDS, M.D.
11	FEIER G. SRIELDS, M.D.
12	I do hereby certify that the foregoing
13	transcript of the deposition of PETER G. SHIELDS,
14	M.D., was submitted to the witness for reading and
15	signing; that after he had stated to the undersigned
16	Notary Public that he had read and examined his
17	deposition, he signed the same in my presence on the
18	, day of
19	
20	Notary Public
21	My commission expires
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 131 1 CERTIFICATE State of Ohio 2 SS: County of Knox 3 I, Ann Ford, Notary Public in and for the 4 State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, 5 certify that the within named witness was by me duly 6 sworn to testify to the whole truth in the cause 7 aforesaid; that the testimony was taken down by me in 8 stenotypy in the presence of said witness, afterwards 9 transcribed upon a computer; that the foregoing is a 10 true and correct transcript of the testimony given by 11 said witness taken at the time and place in the 12 foregoing caption specified. 13 I certify that I am not a relative, employee, or attorney of any of the parties hereto, 14 15 or of any attorney or counsel employed by the 16 parties, or financially interested in the action. 17 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand and 18 affixed my seal of office at Columbus, Ohio, on this 19 ____ day of _____ 20 ANN FORD, Notary Public 21 in and for the State of Ohio and Registered Professional 22 Reporter 23 24 My Commission expires: April 18, 2016. 25

A
able 38:14 64:23
123:21
absolutely 15:9 58:18
61:15 125:5
absorb 23:20
absorbed 23:23,25
24:1
absorption 24:20
absurd 66:17 120:3
accept 25:3
acceptable 14:24
accepted 29:16 68:12
accurate 114:16
acknowledged 105:5
acted 94:11
action 131:16
activities 6:15 64:22
126:18
activity 115:21
actual 28:7,15
acute 6:24 44:10 52:5
52:6 59:23
add 37:21
added 37:23
adding 35:25 additional 37:23
additional 37:23 address 6:12,14
12:12 14:12 28:19
addressed 110:4
adjunct 79:25
admit 22:22
admitted 72:14
adviser 94:12 97:9
affect 11:13 32:8
affixed 33:5 131:18
afield 12:25 35:1
aforesaid 131:7
Africa 47:13 52:11
52:17,20 56:2
Africa's 56:4
agencies 49:11 94:13
94:16 98:4 100:1,5
102:10 105:6
agency 85:5 94:17
98:10 99:8,18
121:16,20
agent 101:13
ago 74:14 77:16
79:11 94:3 102:17
agree 7:22 13:11 14:1
9
1

14:5 15:14,18 17:4 19:13 21:2,12,23 22:15 24:10,17 28:18 33:18 35:9 35:16 36:17,23 42:23 43:20,22 45:5,14 46:20 48:5 49:1 51:22 53:7 58:13 62:17 63:12 63:17 64:2,11 100:9,10 102:9 104:12 105:21,23
116:9,18
agreed 37:4
agreement 15:7 37:12
aha 88:25 89:2,6,9
90:4
al 1:3,7
alive 58:17
allegations 78:23
127:9
alleged 124:16
allowed 11:20 27:8
allows 97:3 American 28:12
108:19 109:9
AML 7:1,16,20 43:2
43:14 50:5,11
65:21 71:19 72:1,5
72:13,15 75:20
76:6 77:13 79:7
93:10,13 123:22,24
amount 62:15 125:8
125:9,20
amounts 20:8 analogy 81:13
analysis 14:25 18:12
31:5,8 40:1,13,16
77:24
Andrews 108:13
110:17
Ann 1:17 131:3,20
answer 10:4 13:6
14:20 18:13 29:9
29:11 37:19 67:4 69:14 75:18 83:4
69:14 /5:18 83:4 87:20 89:21 98:1
102:7 111:24 115:7
116:19 117:1 120:4

121:2,3,15 123:25

124:2 125:18

answered 37:8 70:23 120:25 121:1
answering 23:11 31:9
answers 42:13
anticipate 39:9
anybody 18:16 60:11
87:17 101:21
anymore 128:8 apologize 20:1 40:3,4
52:10,21 71:11
78:12 85:15 90:2
98:5 103:6
apparently 72:21,22
appear 78:14
APPEARANCES 2:1
appearing 2:2,15
78:16
appendix 47:8
application 126:1
applied 51:6,10
apply 83:21 84:7 126:23,23
approach 11:12
123:18
appropriate 34:10
approximately 64:24
129:10
April 103:10 131:24 area 13:14 24:25
30:25 33:1 45:16
45:22 47:14 85:25
122:4 126:7
areas 9:2 34:20 53:5
66:2
article 109:5 111:6
articles 108:6 asbestos 92:21 93:5
asked 10:22 18:17
22:23 37:2,7 41:12
69:17 70:21 77:6
78:11 88:18 102:16
107:9 112:21
114:19,20 120:24
123:9 127:13 asking 12:17 14:25
15:1 18:16 25:22
31:7 34:10,14 35:6
37:17 38:25 41:13
44:12 47:16 51:19 66:24 67:1 69:13

71:2,22 77:7 81:2,3 81:19 84:15 85:6 87:19 92:13 107:21 115:6 118:13 119:6 121:13 122:23 assess 116:17 assessment 9:12 34:18.21 41:7 100:15 101:12 124:9 125:6 assessments 11:24 12:20 13:21 101:19 102:13 assist 100:3,4 assistant 53:2,9,20 53:20 **Assoc** 4:14 associate 48:8 associated 17:6 56:17 56:20 105:11,25 106:11 107:19,23 111:1 association 44:19 46:7 50:5,10 56:24 57:3 60:12 61:21 104:14 105:12 106:4 associations 61:24 74:22 108:4 assume 26:21 32:4 45:8 51:16 61:12 66:24 67:1 70:9 71:3 109:4 114:20 118:13 123:21 assuming 18:24 32:7 40:15 41:5 assumption 57:1 71:1 72:12 121:8 Atigoke 15:23 **ATSDR** 50:15,16,17 attach 128:16,22 attached 130:6 attention 87:11 attorney 2:2,8,15 68:14 131:14,15 **Austin** 87:2,6,21,24 89:4 **believed** 17:17,22 **Australia** 47:4,6,13 available 31:19 73:22 avenue 24:9 **belittle** 67:12,20,22 **bells** 109:7 110:14 aware 7:6 18:3 27:12 40:15 49:10 50:24

64:8,13 69:1 104:21 110:3 118:4 118:9,16 120:9,16 **a.m** 1:12 3:2 129:10 B back 12:16 13:25 27:11 42:16 56:9 73:14 76:22 78:25 79:7 82:2 86:25 90:5 93:12,15 97:8 103:14 114:22 background 23:3 63:24,25 64:10 66:8,9 74:9,12,24 85:22 94:4 **bad** 109:24 **badly** 111:6 **ban** 118:14 121:9,11 121:11 **bank** 69:18 **banned** 31:25 32:5 32:14 118:5,10 119:3,7 120:18 121:5,17,24,25 122:3.6 bans 118:16 119:12 121:20 **based** 10:15 15:3 39:6 75:4 basic 125:1 126:10 basically 12:19 66:15 67:11 98:13,16 basis 63:19 127:18 **Bates** 26:19 bear 109:6 **bearing** 112:24 beginning 36:5 **behalf** 2:7,13,19 19:19 42:11,12 78:16 **believe** 6:19 7:16 9:18 17:10,14,16 19:3,11 20:4,4 47:5 55:13,20 57:9 61:2 65:8,13 68:25

83:12,16 117:24

118:15 121:12

70:24

,				
benefit 27:20 72:25	boom 77:24	48:9,21 49:8,11,15	causation 8:15 12:25	96:13 102:20 111:5
Benzene 6:19 7:16	bother 83:2 109:17	51:13,21 52:11,17	18:4,15 45:12	114:11 121:11
14:2,7 15:2,14,21	bottom 52:15 57:6	52:20 53:6,11,17	48:13,21,24 53:6	certainty 24:14 36:21
17:5,11,14,22	85:21 94:10	55:16,18 59:6,12	53:11,17 55:16,19	CERTIFICATE
18:18 19:3,15 21:4	boy 58:16 83:9 89:11	60:20 62:1,2 75:13	57:4 58:7 81:7	131:1
22:18,20,25 23:3,5	102:25 109:22	79:17 81:13 83:20	86:18,22 99:5	certified 6:8 82:24
23:8,15,17,24 24:5	Bradford 18:3,10,19	84:2,2,9,16 86:1,18	101:6,10,18 102:3	83:5
24:10,15,21 25:6,7	18:25 73:16,21	86:22 87:3,12,25	102:4,5,12 112:25	certify 130:4,12
25:13 28:3,13	103:20	88:12,18,19,19,23	113:2 123:15,23	131:5,13
30:19,25 33:19	break 54:7,18,20,21	88:24 91:8,10,11	124:6,25	cetera 19:1
35:10,18 36:1,1,13	113:10	91:13,16 92:17,18	cause 6:22 7:9 14:7	chance 18:25 57:2
36:22 37:13,18,23	breaking 54:6,8	92:19 93:5,6 94:21	17:15 18:8 43:8	change 31:23 110:23
38:7 39:4,15,22	breaks 37:14,19	95:4,7,23,24 96:13	44:10,15 45:23	111:2,9
40:9,14 41:14,19	breast 45:14,17,19	96:21,23 97:5,7,16	46:15 48:1 52:5,6	changed 37:16
41:25 42:20 43:12	45:23 46:4 87:3,12	97:18 99:5 101:13	56:12 59:23,24	changes 130:7
44:8,9 46:15,19	87:25 88:19,24	101:14 105:6 108:3	61:16 62:17 65:21	character 3:13
48:1 49:4 50:6,12	Breece 26:22 37:3,10	112:21,25 113:1,2	66:16 67:2 70:22	Charbonnet 2:3
50:17 52:1,4 55:6,9	Breece's 127:2	115:2,4,5 121:6	72:13,13 84:1	check 114:22 116:5
56:16 59:22 60:5	bring 20:2	122:7,13,17 123:15	88:12 93:13 101:14	chemical 16:11 18:7
60:12,24 61:3,4,15	bringing 32:21	124:11	103:18,23 104:4,10	22:18 23:23 24:15
62:9,12 63:4,13,18	brings 109:5	cancers 19:16 45:14	111:11 112:23	37:13 43:12 44:9
63:18 65:21,22,25	Britain 62:2	45:15 77:21 88:4	123:24,24 131:6	47:25 60:24 62:11
66:8,12,25 67:2,6	broad 106:16	88:15 91:21 108:19	caused 17:11 18:18	62:17 64:25 65:14
68:23 69:3,25	brought 73:8 87:10	capacity 94:12	19:3 49:4 69:3 70:9	65:15,16 68:23
70:10,22 75:1 78:5	Brown 1:13	caption 131:12	75:1 88:24 123:22	chemicals 46:15
78:22,23 92:23,24	Building 2:4	carcinogen 101:2	causes 4:16 5:7,10	60:23 78:19 100:6
93:6,13 104:4,10	bullet 56:14 59:22	care 59:12,13 91:14	6:19,23 7:16,20	chemist 7:22
104:15 105:11,25	Buschman 2:3	career 51:17 76:10	17:22,25 21:4 22:8	chemistry 8:2,5
106:12 107:2,8,13	busy 83:2	76:12 78:3 88:18 Carr 2:8	43:6 47:21 62:6	chemotherapy 42:21 42:23 43:13 56:15
107:19,23 108:14	C		65:7 66:20,25	42:23 43:13 30:13 Children 46:6
108:16 110:9,25	$\overline{\mathbf{C} 6:1}$	case 1:5 7:21 8:10,24	69:25 70:1 72:12 76:3 83:20,21 84:9	Children's 4:14
111:11 116:11,23 123:23,24 124:12	California 59:6	9:11,20,23 10:15 10:20 11:3 12:19	84:16 88:4,16,18	44:19
125:23,24 124.12	60:14 94:16 108:13	14:7,8 18:14 19:25	88:23 89:18 95:7	China 47:13
best 30:15 69:22	121:5,12,16 122:12	22:14,19 31:14,20	96:21,23 97:18	chronic 4:17 5:7,9
bet 110:7	122:17	31:23 36:9 37:12	99:9,20 122:7,13	44:11 46:8 47:21
better 30:6 89:8	call 59:16 69:13,16	39:10 42:2,22	122:17 124:11	52:6 59:25 108:14
beyond 27:3 30:11	88:25	67:24 68:3,4,11,17	causing 74:5 87:12	112:5
37:8 65:18 106:8	called 3:9 6:24 51:23	69:4 74:3 79:20	101:13 121:6	cigarette 30:17
bibliography 47:8	62:12 100:21	80:17,22 83:8	cautious 100:22	circulation 35:17
bill 103:2	Campos 1:3 10:18,23	91:11 101:23	CDC 85:9 95:2,4	circumstance 92:22
biochemistry 7:24	22:15 23:14 30:20	102:24 104:3,12	center 5:4 6:16,17	circumstances 94:1
biomarker 125:22	31:18 36:10 38:12	109:6 111:15 112:7	43:25 44:2,15	121:10 124:14
bit 13:6 85:14 93:23	38:17 63:17,24	118:20 123:20	51:13 59:7 115:2,4	cite 47:9 50:14
Bixby 4:23 52:25	64:19,22 74:23	124:18 126:3,7,14	115:6	108:21 110:18
55:15	124:20 125:25	127:2	centers 95:1 108:4	111:8
blood 56:15	Campos's 63:13	cases 7:1,2 48:3	112:21	cited 40:17,23 47:7
board 82:24 83:4,6	126:15	67:13 68:20,22	Central 2:9	48:18 73:9 108:23
body 23:16,17 24:10	cancer 4:16,18 5:4,6	78:25 79:5,6,8	certain 19:16 42:21	112:2 117:6
24:22 65:17	5:9 6:16,17 43:25	81:17 87:25 100:11	43:13 55:5	citing 114:23
bold 33:11	44:2,14 45:12,17	cast 90:11	certainly 48:22 69:22	claims 64:14
bone 48:7,15,18	45:19,24 46:5 47:1	causal 100:19	69:23 82:9 84:18	clarification 27:19
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

clarify 8:8 9:25 10:3	125:12	compared 125:13	28:1 45:22
clarifying 36:6	coaching 34:1	comparison 39:24	contentious 45:25
Clark 2:3	coffee 65:21 66:12	compensation 115:23	context 18:24 19:12
class 125:2	collaborate 30:1	complicated 66:23	27:21 70:19 99:4,6
classification 101:18	colleagues 113:16	components 31:15	99:11,23,25 100:11
102:13	Columbus 1:14 6:18	compound 24:2 44:9	100:13 101:1,25
classifications 98:10	131:18	Comprehensive 5:4	127:22
99:8,19	COLÓN 2:15 9:6	6:16 59:6	contexts 99:16
cleaning 37:21 63:20	12:6,22 13:23 14:9	computer 131:9	continue 34:14 35:4
clear 29:12 46:14	14:18,23 15:5	concede 25:4 30:21	42:5
52:13,15 57:3	17:12 27:1,15 29:7	106:15	continued 76:17
71:25 72:2 73:21	30:22 32:10,24	concerned 93:23	contracted 29:3
89:3 95:11 101:17	33:6 34:21 35:21	conclude 102:2	contracts 92:20
102:11 125:10	36:18,25 38:9 54:1	concluded 108:14	contrast 55:12
clearly 7:19 61:6	54:5,11,15 59:2	129:10	contribute 113:21,23
Cleveland 4:10 21:12	60:15 62:19 64:12	conclusion 49:7	114:14
21:17,23 22:4	65:2 67:15,20 68:1	100:19 101:10	contributed 114:2,12
42:16 104:25 113:4	69:21 70:4,13 71:1	conclusions 98:14	control 4:18 49:8
113:8,16	73:6,19 75:3,15	Conditions 4:11	53:12 95:1
client 8:11 24:4,25	76:24 77:4 80:11	conduct 103:17	copies 102:19
25:19 42:12,18,22	81:4,18 82:8 83:13	conducted 29:24	copy 128:11,14,25
44:16 47:22 64:17	88:6 89:15,23	conducting 83:23	Coral 2:5
66:5,8 74:4 119:25	90:14 91:3 92:4,12	Conference 28:12	corner 57:6
client's 25:23 26:2,3	93:1 99:22 107:4	confirm 28:8 29:24	corporate 29:13
Clinic 4:10 21:13,17	107:15 108:8	conflict 30:9	Corporation 2:14
21:23 22:5 42:17	111:17,24 114:18	Confront 70:14	correct 7:10,19,22,2
104:25 113:5,8,16	116:13,24 120:24	confuse 24:20 75:10	8:2,7,11,12,18,20
clinical 53:9 58:6,8	121:21 123:4 129:2	75:19	8:25 10:10,11,23
closer 100:7	Colón 2:16,16 128:25	confused 72:5	10:24 13:13 15:4
club 59:19	come 13:1 63:3 78:2	confuses 72:7,11,21	16:11,13,25 17:9
	101:10 104:18	75:11	
CML 5:7,9 14:3,7			17:11 18:4,5,10
15:2,14,21 17:6,11	comes 89:7 97:22	connection 16:25	19:7,8 21:10 22:17
17:15,23 18:18	coming 49:7	45:18 46:21 60:4	22:19 23:5,18,25
19:3 21:5 22:5 43:3	comment 11:18	87:2 116:11	24:7,8,22,23 25:1
43:14 46:9,13,19	12:18 97:3	consider 8:9 111:5	25:13 28:9,20
48:1,14,15 49:4	commented 11:9	123:19	30:21,23 31:1,2
50:5,11,18 55:9	commenting 12:3	considered 21:18	32:13 33:2 37:1
56:17 58:13,20	comments 71:12	77:20 103:25 112:1	39:5 42:22,25 43:3
60:5,12,23 61:4,5,8	commission 130:21	considering 77:12	44:6,16 45:6 47:10
61:13,16 62:5,12	131:24	125:6	47:11,23 48:19
62:17,25 66:20,25	commissioned 131:4	consistency 74:1,2	49:4 50:12 51:23
67:3 69:2,5,25 70:1	common 29:16 76:19	89:6	53:17,18,21 55:10
70:9,22 71:19,25	120:17 121:14	consistent 28:16	55:13,14,20 56:17
72:6,13,15,21 74:5	communications	110:10	56:21 57:25 58:5
74:22,25 75:20	81:8	contact 62:11 63:3	58:10,12,14 59:13
76:6 77:13 79:6,8	community's 87:11	contain 25:5,7 36:1	59:14,25 60:5,7,14
104:4,10,15 105:11	companies 29:14	contains 24:15	63:10 68:23 69:11
105:24 106:11	30:17	contamination 32:17	69:19 70:2,24
107:1,13,20,24	company 30:8,14	content 38:7 41:14	74:15 75:20,24
109:8 110:9 111:1	65:13	41:19,25 113:22,24	76:4,6,7 78:6,20
111:11 112:23	company's 29:12	114:2,9 126:11	79:7 80:2,6,9,10,13
111.11 114.43	company 5 27.12	11=,> 1=0.11	

82:7,12,16,19 84:22 86:15,16,24 87:13,14,18 90:16 92:20 93:7 96:8 97:24 101:19,23 102:14 103:20,21 104:5,8,22 105:6 107:2,13,14,24 108:2,7,9 109:2 113:19 114:16 115:11,20 116:12 117:18,23 125:1 126:12 127:5 130:8 131:10 correction 130:6 **correctly** 43:15 44:13 48:4 62:25 Coulombe 2:3 **council** 4:16 47:1 48:9 councils 49:11 **counsel** 3:6 39:6 127:9 131:15 **counselor** 13:2 38:21 39:12 **count** 70:18 **country** 43:21 47:14 119:13 **County** 130:2 131:2 **court** 1:1 9:15 15:10 22:2 43:24 44:21 53:25 68:9,12,19 69:2 108:17 128:4 128:10,15,21,24 courtesy 34:11 **covered** 39:19 Crabbe 1:13 credibility 112:17 credible 112:23 criteria 18:4,20,25 73:20 103:20 **critical** 123:17 126:2 criticisms 11:5,11 criticized 69:10 criticizing 13:9 cross-examination 34:8 **CSX** 67:25 78:18 currently 51:13 115:13 **cut** 80:19,22 81:16,20 **CV** 84:12,18 88:14

90:8 93:16 95:13	128:5,17 129:1,9	discovery 89:10	120:8,18	dynamic 89:10
95:19 96:11 97:11	130:5,13,17	discuss 107:13	doctors 34:9 60:13	
	depositions 19:6,10	discussed 66:25 67:3	106:25 113:23	E
D	38:22 81:8	discussion 22:22	document 4:21,22	E 4:1 5:1 6:1,1
D 4:1 5:1 6:1	dermatologist 113:3	discussions 7:7	46:7 62:21 122:19	earlier 38:11 41:12
Dale 4:23 52:25	describes 64:22	disease 25:9 32:19	122:21 128:18	53:25 77:15 80:20
55:14	designation 34:16	46:16 58:14 89:18	documents 25:15	97:8
Dallas 2:10	37:8 38:20 39:1,19	91:15 95:1 99:20	26:17,18 38:6,10	early 77:18 78:9 79:3
data 26:13,23 27:13	designations 100:14	124:18,19,20	38:18 95:24	111:11
28:1,5,16 39:7	designed 111:6	diseases 4:11 44:25	doing 13:20 20:24	easier 85:17
98:15 100:19 101:9	113:18,21 114:11	58:10 65:16 72:23	29:17 35:4 41:10	eating 66:5,11
102:3 118:18 126:8	114:11	76:1	69:15 86:6 96:21	education 97:18
date 78:4 97:13 dated 94:5 103:1	determination 18:20	disorders 56:16	115:18 126:19	eight 73:13 either 7:5 8:3 11:13
Daubert 70:15	103:25 105:11	dispersions 90:11	Don 87:2	19:19 33:5,17
Davis 5:4 59:6 60:14	determinations	dispose 27:22,23	Donald 87:6	64:19 78:15 84:4
day 58:3,3 77:23	53:17 100:9	disputable 34:12	dose 8:7 24:20 41:7	87:8 108:7,21
91:21 115:14 118:8	determine 17:25 77:2	dispute 22:20 49:7	63:6 84:3 92:22 107:7 125:6 126:2	119:25 120:16
119:13 130:18	103:18 determines 97:23	disputes 46:2 64:15 disputing 49:5	doses 7:20 22:12,16	electronically 102:19
131:19	determines 97:23 determining 18:4	disputing 49:5 disseminate 97:23	43:11 48:1 93:9,14	elements 25:5
days 38:23	86:18	dissipated 35:19	double 17:20	eligible 83:6
deal 53:11 58:3 91:10	develop 61:16	distinct 72:22	dozens 14:12 106:5	empirical 26:23
95:4 112:5	developed 13:13,19	DISTRICT 1:1,1	116:16	27:13 28:1,5
dealing 29:16 53:6	78:2 89:23	division 49:9 53:3,10	Dr 9:8,11 11:2,10,12	employ 103:19
deals 91:20	developing 43:2,14	DNA 43:8	11:12,17,25 12:4	employed 79:24
dealt 31:10 117:3	87:3 116:22	Doc 75:7	12:12,13,18,20,25	131:15
Dean 68:15,16,18,20	diesel 78:24	doctor 6:11,19,22	13:13,18,20,20	employee 131:14
December 94:5	difference 75:23,25	7:15 8:9 10:9,9	37:3,10 40:13	end-all 98:22,25
decide 128:13,14	76:5,22	11:23 14:1,22 15:1	41:23 44:20 64:6	entire 88:17
decided 27:22	differences 84:6	15:13 17:25 20:9	64:10,13,15,16	entirely 66:3
declaration 24:3	different 14:19 49:16	20:14 22:24 23:5	71:9,12,18,23	entity 115:25 116:3
declared 115:21	64:1 66:9 77:4	23:10,24 24:13	72:20 75:11 79:20	environment 66:13
deep 77:25	83:13 91:9 104:19	25:10 26:15,21	79:23 82:2 83:7,16	environmental 32:16
defend 67:11	114:12 117:25	27:25 29:5,19,23	83:18,24 84:4,5,14	82:5,9,18 85:5,25
Defendant 2:19	126:20	30:18 32:4 33:3	87:21,24 89:4 90:6	94:17 95:15 121:16
defendants 1:8 2:13	difficult 40:3	34:24 35:5,9,14	91:7,20 92:8 93:16	121:19
5:11 15:8	difficulty 23:22	36:11,20 38:15	108:5,12,13 115:22	EPA 94:17
defending 11:24	dig 20:11	39:3,21 40:3 42:10	123:5 124:16	epidemiologic 123:16
definitive 111:4	digging 20:14	42:16,24 46:10	125:14 127:2	124:23 126:1,16,22
degree 24:13 36:20	direct 23:13 55:12	54:20 58:25 59:5	draft 80:25 81:5,6,9	Epidemiological
demonstrate 125:23	director 53:3,21	60:9 66:4,18 67:9	drafting 81:19	108:16
Department 53:4	disagree 49:2 53:8	70:8 71:18 72:1	drinking 66:12	epidemiologist 82:3
depending 92:21	100:12,24 107:1	75:19 81:12 85:15	drops 16:21	82:6,15,17 83:18
depends 36:3 64:2,3	disagrees 55:9	91:14,15 92:17	drug 18:7	87:1,6,20 88:1
64:4 99:23	discipline 88:3 89:10	93:11 94:2 99:7,14	drugs 42:21 43:13	epidemiologists 74:2
deposed 11:18 19:14	disciplines 88:8	99:17 101:16 102:7	45:23 46:3	86:8,9,17,23 87:10
deposing 68:15	disclosed 26:18 28:6	102:22 103:16	dude 112:16,18	88:2,7 89:2
deposition 1:10 3:8	discounted 110:21	106:7,23 107:10,12	due 57:2	epidemiology 8:17
10:25 11:18 19:19	discover 89:17	109:17 110:2,15	duly 6:7 131:4,5	55:2 82:10,11
24:4 38:12,24 54:3	discovered 87:2,5	112:4 113:4,15	dumpster 26:24	83:23 86:1,14 89:5
71:9,23 72:24 73:1	88:15,17,22 89:22	117:7,16 118:4,9	27:14	equate 66:4 establish 16:25 61:10
78:15 127:2,4	discoveries 86:21	118:17 119:14	dumpsters 27:8	establish 10:23 01:10
	-	-	-	•

100:19	expectation 65:24	60:24 61:3,8,15,22	far 12:24 19:24 20:17	foregoing 130:4,12
established 42:18,19	experience 16:11	62:16 63:13 64:17	45:17 51:12 52:15	131:9,12
46:1 93:11 104:20	76:17 83:19 85:24	64:20 69:3 70:10	83:7 119:8	forget 20:3 110:21
estimate 20:15	experiments 84:1	74:3,4,8,9,12,17,21	faster 37:18	forgetting 116:15
estimate 20.13	expert 8:2,5,7,10,13	104:5,6,10 105:25	fax 2:18	Forgey 2:8 4:6 7:13
et 1:3,7 19:1	8:15,17,19,21 9:22	104.3,0,10 103.23	features 72:23	10:6 11:15 12:24
etiologies 72:23	19:24 29:13 34:18	108:6 111:1 116:12	February 56:1 103:2	13:15,22 15:6,17
75:12,20,24 76:6	34:19 37:11 68:11	123:11,14,18,19,25	fecolon@colonlaw	15:15,22 15:0,17
76:15,22 77:3,13	78:14 79:20 82:14	124:15,15,22	2:18	20:4,11 23:7 24:18
77:20	82:20,22 87:25	124.13,13,22	federal 27:23 40:10	25:2,14,21 26:16
	92:14 101:7 112:25		94:12 128:20	* *
etiology 46:13 72:8		exposures 11:14		27:2,17 28:10,21
72:10 76:2	116:1 123:14,15	19:15 61:12 68:24	feel 27:6 46:20 54:12	29:2,8 30:10 32:2
evaluate 29:20 92:10	expertise 13:14 33:1	78:19 120:3 124:4	feels 46:19	32:11,23 33:15,21
evaluating 124:24	41:18 45:12 48:13	Expressway 2:9	felt 18:18	34:2,12,25 35:12
evaluation 30:7 83:8	48:21,23 55:15,16	extensive 18:12 84:10	field 41:19	36:15,24 37:7,15
124:25	55:18 91:16 94:4	extensively 67:1,3	fields 123:9,10 126:9	38:3,19,25 39:18
evaporates 37:18	120:7,16 122:4	92:13	figure 77:2	41:5,11 42:2,14
event 34:24	123:10 124:10	extent 11:17 95:5	file 20:25 38:15,17	45:3 46:23 56:22
eventual 76:16	126:7 127:7	extrapolates 72:15	filling 66:11	58:11,15,23 60:16
everybody 103:13	experts 9:1 58:7 66:3	eye 84:8	final 99:19	63:22 65:10,18
everyone's 27:4	67:12,23 69:10	eyes 128:8	financially 131:16	66:22 67:14 68:2
evidence 23:2,13,14	84:4 91:12,15	eyesight 59:17	find 43:4 98:4,17	69:7,12 70:3,5,12
63:23 66:7 74:4,12	113:1 126:9	e-mail 109:14 113:7	122:17 124:17	70:25 71:14 75:16
101:4,6 105:21,24	expires 130:21	122:18,21 128:11	finding 85:19	75:21 77:9 80:24
108:16	131:24	128:12,13	fine 54:19,21 57:24	81:6 83:17 89:19
evolve 89:9	explain 37:3,10,24		62:22 77:17 80:5	90:13 92:25 93:8
exact 19:21 43:8	48:3 91:5	F	102:21 104:22	96:5 97:1 98:19,24
74:13 77:19 101:25	explained 84:8	face 96:10	finish 29:10 50:14	99:10,21 101:24
exactly 16:13 90:10	explicit 101:15	facilities 51:15	85:12,12 96:9	102:18 103:8
101:20 127:23	explicitly 100:3	facility 21:11 59:9,11	106:19,19,20	104:16 105:14
Examination 4:4,6	exploratory 96:12	facility's 113:19	109:23	106:13 107:3 109:3
6:9 123:7	97:15	fact 7:2,6 12:5,14	first 6:7 22:4 27:19	109:14,19 111:3,20
examined 130:16	explosive 32:15	17:10 24:6 37:22	32:12 36:12 37:16	117:11 118:12
example 22:11 32:15	expose 24:16,19	46:1 48:25 49:10	66:24 69:9 71:18	119:5 120:12,23
43:11	exposed 22:16,20,25	52:23 63:5 64:8	72:17 78:14 85:8	121:7,22 122:8,25
examples 106:25	23:3,5,14 42:18,20	67:4 69:1 71:3	94:11 100:6 105:8	123:2,5,8 126:5
excuse 10:2 13:2 54:1	42:23 63:18,18,24	75:11 86:21 105:5	123:9,23	127:25 128:16
106:19	64:9,24 65:15 66:5	factors 4:13 44:6	five 19:22 94:2	form 7:13 9:6 11:19
exercise 18:9	66:8 107:7 116:22	55:5 59:21 103:25	five-minute 54:21	12:6,22 13:4,15,22
exhaust 78:24	124:4 125:23	faculty 79:25	flip 57:7	14:9,18 15:5,6,17
exhaustive 77:24	exposure 8:7,11,13	fails 72:16	flipping 98:7	15:22 17:7,12
110:6	9:12,22,25 10:6,17	fair 13:3 18:21,23	Florida 2:5	18:22 23:7 24:18
exhibit 4:10,12,14,16	11:24 13:21 14:2	19:16 22:16 45:15	focus 98:14	25:2,14,21 26:16
4:18,20,22,24 5:4,6	15:3,14,21 16:14	61:16 63:8 68:24	folder 127:16	27:2,15 28:10,21
5:8,11 6:3 22:4,8	17:11 18:18 19:4	76:17 88:5,12 99:3	follow 101:9 103:21	29:2,7,8 30:10,12
42:17 43:17 44:18	22:18 30:19,25	99:20 104:1 110:5	120:15 121:13	32:2,10,23 33:6,15
46:21 73:8 128:17	34:18 35:1 37:14	116:2,23	following 100:20	33:21,25 34:10
129:4	41:7 42:8,21 43:12	fairness 48:2	102:1	35:6,12,21 36:15
exhibits 4:9 5:3 22:3	43:13 44:9 46:14	fall 75:14	follows 6:8	36:24 37:7,15 38:3
107:12,18 112:14	47:25 49:4 50:6,12	false 113:5	footnote 50:15,19	38:9 45:3 56:22
128:22	50:18 52:4 55:5	familiar 43:23 59:9	Ford 1:17 131:3,20	58:11,15,23 59:2
exist 38:13	56:16 59:22 60:23	76:15 110:12	forefront 87:11	60:15,16 62:19
CAIST 30.13	30.10 39.22 00.23	70.13 110.12	101 611 0111 07.11	00.13,10 02:19
L	•	•	•	•

	1	l	 	1
63:22 64:12 65:2	funny 119:19	91:13 93:12 94:3	47:16 49:17 52:13	58:6 87:16 88:5
65:10,18 66:22	further 128:2	95:8,20 103:14	70:6 75:5 77:22	hematologists 58:2,8
67:14,15 68:1 69:7		113:3 114:22	78:7 82:11 89:6	hematology 8:21
69:12,21 70:3,4,12	G	115:19 126:16	93:2 96:8 109:5	34:18 53:3,10
70:13,25 71:4,14	G 1:10 3:8 4:3 6:1,6	goal 100:2	112:4 114:24	82:22,24
73:6,19 75:3,15,16	130:3,10,13	goes 23:9,12 43:1	116:25 119:22	henhouse 29:6
75:21 77:10 80:11	game 13:3	94:5,15 114:16	128:12	hereinafter 6:7
80:24 83:17 88:6	Gary 6:13	115:19,23	guessing 20:22	hereto 130:6 131:14
89:15,19 90:13,14	gas 66:11 125:12,13	going 10:19,21 12:24	guy 52:23 83:2	high 22:12,16 43:11
92:12,25 93:1,8	125:21,24	20:11,16 22:22		48:1 61:7,7,9,10
96:5 97:1 98:19,24	gasoline 35:25 63:9	24:15 29:17 34:2	<u>H</u>	74:7,17
99:10,21,22 101:24	125:9,11	34:14 36:13,21	H 4:15	higher 16:6,20 36:2
104:16 105:14	gene 89:11	38:7,13 39:3,12,14	half 16:1 73:9,10	61:22 64:9 74:4,21
106:13 107:3,4,15	general 6:22 18:15	39:14,16,18 41:6,8	Hall 68:3,4,5	highly 75:12 111:14
107:19,23 108:8	102:3,4 123:23	41:13,14,24 42:7	hand 20:8 70:16	122:18
109:3 111:3,17	124:6,13	47:6 50:3 51:25	131:17	Hill 18:4,10,20,25
114:18 116:13	generally 83:20	52:21,22 54:12,13	handle 120:2	73:16,21 103:20
117:11 118:12	103:21 124:11	54:17 63:15 64:23	hands 24:7,14	hired 9:1,11 67:10
119:5 120:12,23,24	geography 47:16	65:15,25 70:15	hang 33:24 75:7	78:19 91:15
121:7,21,22 122:8	George 79:15,17,21	71:15 77:18,23	happened 127:8	historically 41:19
125:4 126:13	Georgetown 79:14	82:2 85:15 86:25	hard 97:13 102:19	histories 84:10
127:11 130:7	79:16,22,24 114:6	89:21 91:1 93:15	128:11,13	hold 8:1,4,6 20:16
forming 75:8	Gerardo 1:3	97:8 101:1 103:14	harder 100:6	77:18 98:7
forms 72:21	getting 7:11 23:14	108:17 109:7,16	harmless 120:21	hope 75:22
found 69:2 89:11	24:22 30:11 32:25	110:23 111:1 116:5	Hartley 68:15,16,18	Hopkins 4:12 43:18
foundation 27:16	35:1 45:19 56:23	118:17 126:23	68:20	43:20,24 44:14
35:22 50:22,25	61:3 80:25 124:19	128:9,22	hazardous 32:16	105:3
51:7,11 52:12,17	give 19:6 27:18 28:13	Goldsmith 79:20,23	health 56:4 84:22,24	hormone 45:18,23
52:18,20 70:14	28:14 35:13 48:23	82:2 83:7,16,18,24	85:2,9,25 87:11	87:1,2,12 88:23
four 36:5 72:4	63:1 69:24 87:4	84:5,14 90:6 91:7	90:7,23 93:19	horrible 58:10,14,19
four-and-a-half	97:12,17 99:11	93:16	94:19,22,24 95:16	hospital 106:9
38:22	113:10 114:21	good 6:11 7:18 15:8	96:18,25 98:14	113:19
fox 29:6	124:14	58:20 59:17 84:20	100:2 108:13,20	hospitals 46:20 63:16
Francisco 2:15 54:9	given 41:15 49:3	111:7	109:9	hour 54:10,18
71:5 92:5 123:2	51:17 65:5 128:19	Google 107:25	healthy 120:2	hours 20:21,23,24
Frank 71:12,18	130:5 131:10	gotten 50:22	Health24 4:24	38:22 72:4
72:20 75:11 84:4	gives 25:12	government 27:23	hear 27:9	human 124:3
91:20 92:8	giving 34:3 69:23	98:3	heard 55:14,17	humans 32:18
Franklin 130:2	78:15 112:20	governmental 28:12	105:18	hurt 52:22
Frank's 71:9,23	glad 110:23	100:5	hearings 19:20	hygienist 126:7
115:22	Glass 16:3,3,14	grant 84:15 95:22,22	hearsay 76:20	Hygienists 28:13
Friday 1:12 3:1	Gleevec 58:18	96:15,18,24 97:4	Heather 2:8 20:3,7	hypothetical 32:13
friends 113:8	go 13:24 18:9 27:11	grants 96:12,16	27:5 39:13 41:23	125:14
front 1:13 22:2 38:16	30:16 42:16 43:17	97:15	Heather.Forgey@	hypothetically
38:17 46:7 57:21	44:18 46:21 49:20	Great 54:22 62:2	2:12	123:22
111:22 127:14	50:3,20 53:23	greater 16:17 17:6	held 27:21 75:1	H-a-l-l 68:6
fumes 25:1 63:9	54:12 55:22 56:9	36:14 74:9	help 42:8 93:24	H-e-m-a-t-o-p-o-i-e
fund 51:2,4,21,21	56:19 57:5,11	grossly 109:22	101:3	108:19
fundamental 125:7	59:12,15,21 61:25	group 49:2 105:17	helpful 100:20	I
funded 51:16 52:16	62:8 78:25 82:13	grown 78:1	101:11	
funding 50:22 51:6	83:10 84:19 85:14	guess 7:18 12:15 17:1	hematologist 48:10	IARC 100:4 102:11
51:10,14	85:20 90:5,9 91:13	21:6 28:4 34:17	48:18,22 57:25	idea 32:3 51:12 68:21
	·		·	•

92:1 105:8 118:8	5:5,6,9	involving 67:24	75:23,25 76:5,16	leeway 34:4,13
127:23	inform 106:6	ionizing 46:14	77:19 79:19,19	left 41:3
identification 6:4	information 22:4	iPhone 103:1	84:13 87:8,9,20	legal 119:6
129:5	48:6 56:4 57:24	Irish 5:6 60:20	89:3 90:3,10,17,19	legal 119.0 let's 22:7 31:13 33:24
identified 104:11	65:1 96:13,14 97:5	issue 7:10 34:25 80:9	90:22,24,25 91:20	43:17 44:18 46:21
identify 26:20	97:16,17,22 113:5	80:13 110:4 119:20	92:2 93:23 96:8	49:19 50:20 53:23
ignore 73:23	114:15,21 118:2	issues 8:10 12:25	97:6,25 100:7	55:22 59:15 61:25
illustrious 51:17	informational 106:9	15:25 19:15 38:20	101:4,7 104:21	65:20 73:13 85:20
imply 87:23	INH 90:10	40:21 78:3 83:10	· ·	90:5 95:8 103:12
1 2	inhalation 24:22	122:2	105:2,4,15,16	120:17 123:21
implying 41:4		122:2	106:2,2 109:23	
important 91:7 100:8 100:13 101:4 124:3	inhale 93:4,5,6	T I	110:8 111:4,25 112:22 113:20	leukaemia 4:17,21,25
	inhaling 92:20,24	\mathbf{J} 2:8		5:7,9 50:21 51:23
inappropriate	inherent 30:8	James 1:13 6:15	114:10 117:16,19	52:5,6,7,9 56:1
100:15	instance 114:1	jargon 56:23	117:21 118:7 119:2	57:20
include 41:2 55:5	institute 79:18 84:23	Johns 4:12 43:18,20	119:8,9,11 120:1,4	leukemia 4:11,13,14
78:22 94:16 102:11	84:25 85:1,8 90:7	43:23 44:14 105:3	121:4,9,10 122:3	4:19 5:5 6:20,21,22
108:1,3,5,9,11	90:22 91:8,9 94:22	Join 13:23 32:11	125:11,21 127:12	6:23,24 7:10 18:8
109:1	94:23 95:13,15,23	Join 13:23 32:11 59:19	127:18,21	22:8 43:7 44:5,10
included 65:8	95:25 96:25		known 76:8	44:11,16,19,25
including 31:4	institutes 84:22,24	joke 59:16 Jonas 89:22	Knox 131:2	46:6,9 47:22,22
101:22	91:6 93:18 94:19	-	Kopstein 11:10,25	48:13,23,24 58:3
incorrect 50:19 60:7	94:21 96:18	Jones 2:8	12:4,13 13:9 64:6	59:13,23,25 65:7
125:14	institution 21:18,24	Journal 108:20 109:9	64:10,13,15,16	66:16 72:21 76:19
increase 43:2,13	43:21 60:4	Juan 2:17	124:16	77:3 83:21 108:15
60:24 61:8,13	institutions 21:3,7	judge 27:9	Kopstein's 11:12	112:5 123:24
62:12,25 63:4	80:5 104:13,14,18	June 118:22	12:20 40:13 108:5	leukemias 19:16
65:25 93:9	104:22,22 106:25	jury 27:8 39:7 83:11	125:14	76:15 99:9
increased 50:5,11	instruct 101:17	83:16	K-i-m-m-e-l 43:24	level 16:7,20 22:25
61:11 74:6 116:21	insufficient 61:19	K		61:15 64:9,20
124:20	100:19 101:4,6		L L 20.15	65:24 74:8 123:19
increases 46:4 61:3	102:2	keep 90:19 94:20	lab 30:15	123:25 124:5,22
66:2	intentionally 41:3	98:7	label 33:8,11 65:8,16	125:21
independent 28:8	interested 131:16	kidding 88:13	65:23 66:15 101:2	levels 16:5,5,17,18
29:19,23 30:6 41:6	interesting 51:20	Kimmel 43:24 44:14	labeled 67:7	23:8 25:8 28:3,13
115:20	interestingly 114:24	kind 20:21 29:5,10	labels 33:4,7	35:18 36:1,13,22
independently 80:4	interject 11:16	34:5 36:8 119:19	laboratories 30:2	39:4,15,22 40:9,10
index 128:7	intermediate 16:5	124:7	86:10	40:14 61:7,10,22
indicate 120:20	internal 24:20 53:4	Kingdom 62:1	laboratory 28:8 30:6	74:3,4,21,24 104:6
121:18	international 94:13	knew 76:21	83:22 86:3	107:7 123:17
individual 100:14	interrupt 34:8 54:2	know 9:1 10:12	labs 29:19,23	124:15 126:18
101:5,11,18 102:5	interrupting 40:4	13:18 17:19 19:21	Lack 27:15 35:21	life 83:22
102:12 117:15	85:16	20:1,16 22:21	70:13	lifestyle 64:4
124:8 125:5	interruption 54:1	25:10 29:9,15,21	language 98:4	limitations 98:15
individuals 124:11	interruptions 35:5	30:1 32:14 33:3	lapse 83:1	limits 125:24
industrial 28:12	invoice 103:1,10	35:3 41:9 42:10,10	late 76:13,14 77:18	link 14:2 15:2,14,21
108:20 109:9 126:6	invoices 20:2,19	42:21 44:20 45:7	111:10	46:19 56:21
industries 124:17	102:17	49:6 51:12,19,20	Law 2:2,8,15	linked 108:14
industry 67:11	involve 32:21	52:22,25 54:13	lawyer 119:8	list 40:18 109:1
infectious 91:14	involved 13:18 63:20	55:15 56:23 57:8	lawyers 81:3	110:15
infer 60:11 101:17	69:6 78:5 79:6	58:17 62:24 64:1	leading 21:18,24	listen 7:15 38:21
102:12 110:12,20	92:14	66:20 68:14,16	43:21 60:3	literature 21:1 41:18
Info 4:10,12,15,16,24	involvement 12:18	71:18 72:17 73:20	leave 40:25	64:15 73:22 100:3
	l	l	l	l

,	I	I		I
100:18 104:7	majority 48:3 61:22	methodologies 69:11	MSDS's 33:7 40:11	75:19 88:11 89:2
105:22,23 106:8	making 119:22 121:8	methodology 49:6	67:5	90:12 105:18
112:10,12 124:13	124:25 127:9	67:23	multiple 61:11 68:20	113:18 126:24
124:23 126:17,23	Makita 2:19 25:19	methods 67:23 68:10	91:6	new 47:1 75:8
litigation 78:6 92:14	manufactured	metric 21:16	mumbled 115:9	Nicas 11:17,23 13:7
105:24	117:22	MICHAEL 2:2	murky 36:8	13:13,13,18
little 13:6 34:13	manufacturer	Michigan 4:22 52:24	mutation 43:8	Nicas's 11:2,12 12:12
63:15 85:14 93:23	119:16	52:24 53:5,21 55:1	myelocytic 46:9	12:18
live 64:3	manufacturers 65:14	middle 63:11	myelogenous 6:24	NIH 84:14 85:6 91:6
lives 58:18	Mark 13:7,13	mid-level 16:9,14	myeloid 4:17 5:7,9	nine 89:8
locate 108:25	marked 4:9 5:3 129:4	Mike 10:7 11:15 54:1	44:10,11 47:21	NIOSH 85:7,8
logbooks 127:10	market 66:16	54:15 76:25 81:18	52:5,6 59:23,25	nonsmokers 64:1
logistical 54:3	marketing 114:6,7,8	mind 20:7 24:24	108:15 112:5	normal 58:18 63:19
Lombard 115:4	marrow 48:8,15,18	mineral 23:16 26:12	Myeloma 4:19	North 2:9 108:13
Lombardi 115:1,5	Mason 2:3	28:13 31:21 37:18	mystery 81:21	northeast 44:3
long 27:21 51:17	Material 118:18	40:7 41:20 117:5,6	M.D 1:10 3:8 4:3,15	Nos 6:3
64:24 65:4 76:8	matter 20:18 34:5	117:12,16,19,25	4:23 6:6 130:3,10	Notary 3:11,14
77:16 78:5 79:10	54:3 104:5	118:1,4,6 119:2,12	130:14	130:16,20 131:3,20
longer 16:21 35:17	matters 54:7	120:9 121:4 126:21	N	notes 3:11
37:13 54:13,14	Ma'am 34:7	minis 128:8		notice 40:12 128:17
Long-time 60:23	McGoldrick 2:8	minutes 26:19 38:24	N 4:1 5:1 6:1	noting 130:6
look 9:9 10:13 16:4	mean 17:2 19:2	74:13 83:9 94:2	name 6:12 38:5,14	NSW 47:2
52:15 57:6 73:21	23:16 28:4 49:17	mirrors 25:20	48:11 68:14 87:4	number 19:14,21
84:1,12 88:13	52:14 54:2 66:1	mischaracterized	110:16 117:15	22:3 23:18 25:12
100:6 102:20 106:5	67:18 69:25 72:9	72:18	named 131:5	26:13 55:23 57:8
109:17 110:15	72:10 73:20,23	mischaracterizing	names 48:9	73:3,18 80:23 97:6
124:18 126:8	78:1 79:10 88:17	90:19 111:19	National 79:17 84:21	107:5,10 114:11,12
looked 12:12 57:22	90:16 99:1 101:13	misclassified 109:22	84:24 85:1,8 90:7	numbered 57:8
84:7 101:8,8	113:20 114:19	misrepresenting 94:1	90:22 91:8 93:18	0
looking 12:10 62:7	119:7,15 122:11	missed 110:11	94:19,21,22,23	$\overline{0 6:1}$
62:20 93:20,21	123:13 127:22,24	Mississippi 119:22	95:13,15,23,24	
95:18 96:9 101:23	means 56:21,24	Misstates 12:7,23	96:18,25 NCI 91:8 96:13 97:6	oath 12:4,18 19:7
102:25 116:25	60:17,18 102:3	70:5	97:14,15	20:10 55:19 65:5 69:17,23,25 70:10
looks 84:15 118:1	118:25 119:16	mixed 53:25	nearly 30:16	object 7:13 11:19
lost 93:2	127:21	molecules 25:7		13:15 30:12 33:25
lot 15:25 32:21 34:3	mechanics 126:20	moment 88:25 89:3,6	necessarily 24:12 30:14 35:20 36:19	42:14 46:23 69:7
42:8 66:9,10 79:14	mechanisms 84:2	89:9 90:4	64:2 99:19 101:13	71:4 92:12 121:22
82:9 88:8 97:14	medical 21:11,24	money 84:16	104:23 119:11	122:8 125:4 126:13
98:16 110:8 119:11 122:4	24:14 36:21 43:21 51:3,4 60:4	Montana 4:18 49:8 49:10 105:10,17,20	need 27:18 34:6,13	127:11
lower 16:4 61:12	medicine 21:19 53:4	Montana's 105:10	38:19,23 42:13	objecting 77:9
lunch 54:6	79:18	morning 3:1 6:11	54:6,19 71:6 90:9	objection 9:6 11:16
lung 45:14 81:13	meet 67:8	Mount 79:18	93:24 100:7 109:5	12:6,22 13:22 14:9
88:19,23 113:2	member 96:12 97:15	move 34:6 38:19,24	109:12 111:15	14:18,23 15:5,6,8
lymphatic 52:7	memory 38:14	39:17 59:3 63:15	113:7,10 128:7,11	15:17,22 17:7,12
108:16	mentioned 13:19	71:6 81:22 91:17	needs 67:6	18:22 23:7 24:18
lymphocytic 52:8	117:10 123:11	102:6 125:16 126:4	negative 17:20	25:2,14,21 26:16
Lymphoma 4:19	mentioning 117:13	Moving 125:18	neither 60:7 82:6	27:1,2,15 28:10,21
Lymphoma 7.17	meso 91:23,24,24,25	mrobb@clarkrobb	neoplastic 44:25	29:2,7,8 30:10,22
M	mesothelioma 92:4,9	2:6	never 10:22 17:17	32:2,10,23,24 33:6
machines 25:18	92:15,16	MSDS 122:15,16	30:18,24 31:18	33:15,21 35:6,12
major 7:23	messed 55:23	127:14,14,19	55:14,17 75:10,14	35:21 36:15,18,24
	111CBBCU 33.23	127.17,17,17	30.11.,17.70.10,11	35.21 55.15,15,21

,	1	I		ĺ
36:25 37:7,15 38:3	okay 6:25 7:6,21 8:6	32:8 34:4 38:6 49:3	111:8,9,12,16,21	97:21,22 100:12,24
38:9 45:3 56:22	9:17,19 10:15,19	55:9 61:14 68:9	111:25	100:25 101:14
58:11,15,23 59:2	10:22 11:21 13:3,4	70:11 72:15 73:4	papers 16:12 28:14	107:7 113:1 114:8
60:15,16 62:19	13:5,17 14:1 15:19	74:25 75:1 82:16	72:14 73:7 109:17	119:21 125:11,23
63:22 64:12 65:2	16:8 17:10,19	104:4 107:1 110:23	110:9 112:5	126:20
65:10,18 66:22	19:13,23 21:2,11	111:2,22	paragraph 22:12	percent 100:9
67:14,15 68:1	22:2,6,18 23:4 25:4	opinions 31:23 39:4	40:6 43:1,7 49:23	Perfect 20:6
69:12,21 70:3,4,12	30:24 31:24 33:8	39:13 41:24 68:11	59:24 62:24 63:2,8	perform 26:25
70:13,25 71:3,14	33:18 35:4 38:24	73:13,14 75:8	94:11	period 76:8
73:6,19 75:3,15,16	39:11 40:6,12	102:23 112:6,25	part 6:14 29:25 52:1	permissible 125:24
75:21 80:11,24	41:10 43:6,17,19	opportunity 31:18	76:16 85:9 94:10	permission 10:23
81:18 82:8 83:17	44:24 45:5,8,13,17	37:20 90:12 128:19	105:8 112:14	person 48:21 64:3
88:6 89:15,19	45:21 46:6 47:20	opposed 30:7 76:19	115:17 124:6,8	123:22
90:13,14 92:25	49:14,19,22 50:20	88:4	particles 92:20	personnel 7:8
93:1,8 96:5 97:1	51:6,9,15,22 52:21	order 17:25 103:16	particular 7:21 8:10	perspective 101:11
98:19,24 99:10,21	54:11,22 55:22	103:16	8:23 9:23 11:3 14:6	Peter 1:10 3:8 4:3,15
99:22 101:24	56:11 57:10 59:11	Oregon 87:7	14:8 18:7,8 19:25	6:6,13 44:20 130:3
104:16 105:14	60:19 61:25 62:2	organic 55:5	20:17 22:19 24:16	130:10,13
106:13 107:3,4,15	62:15 63:12 68:14	origin 117:19	31:13 36:13 37:12	petro 63:9
108:8 109:3 111:3	68:17 69:1,24	OSHA 125:24	48:7,12 49:1 64:25	physician 46:18 48:8
111:17 114:18	74:25 75:10 76:14	outside 33:15 34:15	65:7 76:15 79:5	48:19 55:8 90:11
116:13,24 117:11	77:7,17,23 78:9,11	39:1 105:22,24	80:17,22 88:12	114:15
118:12 119:5	78:18,25 79:5,9,12	115:21 122:4	89:18 99:9,20	physicians 45:22
120:12,23,24 121:7	80:5 82:2 83:7	overview 84:20	101:23 102:24	51:10 58:2 89:12
121:21,22 122:8	85:18,19,19,22	overwhelming 64:14	104:3,12 110:18	96:4 101:22 114:8
126:4	86:21 94:3,10,22	owes 65:14	118:19 123:20	Ph.D 4:23
objections 5:11 11:21	95:6,20 98:11,21	o'clock 1:12 3:2	125:3 127:17	picked 107:24
13:4 34:10 128:17	102:16 103:12,13	O'Neill 2:16	particularly 47:17	place 2:9 32:7 131:11
obviously 10:22 18:3	103:22 104:3,9,20		80:19	places 51:17 66:10
19:14 27:19 78:18	104:25 108:1,24	P	parties 3:7 131:14,16	plaintiffs 1:4 2:7 3:9
83:2 103:24 120:3	114:1 115:22 116:8	P 6:1	parts 37:22 63:20	67:12,24
128:7,21	116:19 117:2	page 4:2 22:7 33:10	passing 122:25 123:1	plaintiff's 14:6 68:11
occasionally 113:21	118:17,24 119:18	40:1,6 43:6 46:6,21	paste 80:20	127:9
114:6	119:20 121:18	49:1,20 52:1,10,16	pasted 80:23 81:17	plan 54:8,9
occupational 30:19	122:22,24 123:5	53:24 55:1 56:10	81:20	please 6:11,12 11:19
50:6,11 82:5,10,15	124:4 127:6 128:14	57:6,11,13,14,17	patently 111:20	13:3 23:24 24:19
82:18 84:10 85:1	128:15,23,24	59:15 62:9 95:9,20	patients 46:13 58:17	29:11 30:13 34:7
85:25 94:23	oncologist 45:6,9,11	98:13 103:7,8	84:8,11 92:9,11,16	35:4,6 38:23 40:2
offering 39:3,12	53:14 87:16,21	130:6	113:6 115:12	41:10,21 58:21
41:24	88:4	pages 57:7,17	pattern 67:9,13,18	71:4
offhand 10:14	oncologists 87:22	paid 19:23 96:3 102:23 116:1	pay 29:18	point 7:19 35:13,17
114:10 116:14	88:10	panel 84:15 96:16,17	peel 73:13	59:22 98:6 112:4
office 131:18	oncology 8:19 53:3	96:24 97:4,11,19	peer-review 95:10	117:2
offices 63:14	53:10 82:20	101:7	peer-reviewed 28:7	points 36:8 56:14
official 3:13	ones 58:8 87:10	panels 95:23 96:22	40:20 108:6	polio 89:22,23 90:2
oh 9:13 57:22 58:21	102:11 114:4,10 one-and-a-half 14:13	Panko 9:8,11 13:8,8	pending 106:21	poor 53:24
89:20 95:12 Ohio 1:14 6:15,16,17	73:12 106:3 116:15	13:11,20	people 19:2 41:17 46:2 48:17 49:2	poorly 24:1 population 63:25
6:18 21:8,12 49:14	116:17	Panko's 10:10	53:10 58:7,9 59:12	120:22
51:9 52:23 87:17		paper 40:17,19 47:21	63:3,19 65:14	
	one-year 95:15	51:23 109:13	74:21 84:1,7,15	populations 86:23 position 7:8 14:6
130:1 131:1,4,18 131:21	open 12:1 36:12 85:3 opinion 11:13,14	110:12,18,24,24,25	96:2 97:5,6,16,17	81:7,10
131.41	opinion 11.13,14	110.12,10,27,27,23	70.4 71.3,0,10,11	01.7,10

,	I	I	I	ı
positive 73:23	probably 9:24 10:4	130:20 131:3,20	106:21,23,24 107:9	reason 32:17 111:7
possible 99:16	20:21 53:24 54:10	publication 52:11,20	115:8 116:18,19	117:24 122:6,12
possibly 50:9	63:15 69:6 74:13	56:2 88:21 108:22	117:7 119:6,14,22	reasonable 24:13
post-processing 36:4	76:13 77:14 78:7	118:3	120:8,9 121:1,14	36:20 61:12 65:24
potential 35:25 44:15	78:10 79:2,2 84:10	publications 40:20	123:23 127:23	100:12
113:6 124:15	84:12 90:17 110:7	84:17 117:14	questioning 35:5	reasons 32:14 119:11
126:18	115:8	published 28:14,17	questions 18:14	122:4
potentially 111:13	problem 77:22	30:24 82:10 88:11	30:17 31:9 34:9,15	rebut 12:19
ppm 16:18,19 125:13	produce 65:17	Puerto 1:1 2:17	36:9 38:25 41:21	rebuttal 11:9
125:15	product 8:11 23:1,6	31:20 32:1,6,20	42:5,13 54:2 75:4	recall 7:3 8:3 13:24
practice 29:17	24:16 25:1,13 30:7	33:12 118:23 119:1	78:3 85:17 120:5	17:24 19:5 64:19
103:17 115:15	32:8 33:10,11	119:4,21,25 120:1	122:23 123:6	68:8,17 76:18
practices 67:11	35:15 36:13,14,22	120:11,20	quick 54:3	108:22 123:11
115:16	65:7,9,25 66:15,19	pull 11:7 26:17 85:16	quicker 13:6 85:14	recalled 13:9
precautionary	67:7 117:9 118:9	pulled 93:16	85:18	recalling 75:6
100:21 101:9 102:1	118:10,22 120:10	pulling 16:12 21:3	quiet 42:4	Recess 54:23
precise 126:9	120:18,21 121:19	90:8		recognize 21:4,5
premarked 6:4	122:5 126:11	pumping 125:13	R	45:21 51:2 72:16
premier 2:9 21:11	products 7:9 10:18	purposes 6:4 129:5	R 6:1	72:22 104:14,15,17
premise 24:17	33:5 40:14 41:15	pursuant 128:19	radiation 22:13,16	104:24 105:1,3
Prempro 45:22 87:1	117:15 120:14	put 20:21 65:15	42:19 43:12 46:15	recognized 21:24
prepare 38:11	125:2	97:13 98:6,9,16	48:1 56:15	recognizes 44:15
prepared 36:9 80:17	professional 1:17	112:22 113:9 118:2	railroad 78:20	50:17 60:4
prescribe 46:3	6:12,13,14 35:7	puts 90:18	raising 7:18	recognizing 48:11
presence 3:12 130:17	76:10,12 78:3	putting 113:5	range 63:25	recollection 11:8
131:8	85:22 94:4,12	P-a-n-k-o 9:15	Rare 14:4	68:13
present 72:5	131:21	P.A 2:3	ratios 8:7	record 12:15,16 42:1
presented 96:3	professionally 78:2	0	reaching 112:6	109:21 113:13
106:11				
	professor 52:24 53:9		read 9:17 10:9,9,16	records 27:21,24
pretty 18:12 33:1	53:20	qualifications 3:14	12:16,21 13:17	recycled 31:5,12,15
pretty 18:12 33:1 60:6 61:6 66:12	53:20 professors 48:8	qualifications 3:14 10:12 83:19 85:7	12:16,21 13:17 18:11 20:9 24:3	recycled 31:5,12,15 31:19,22,24 32:5
pretty 18:12 33:1 60:6 61:6 66:12 73:21 74:1 83:2	53:20 professors 48:8 profiles 50:16	qualifications 3:14 10:12 83:19 85:7 94:6	12:16,21 13:17 18:11 20:9 24:3 33:4 40:12 43:10	recycled 31:5,12,15 31:19,22,24 32:5 33:9,18 34:5 35:9
pretty 18:12 33:1 60:6 61:6 66:12 73:21 74:1 83:2 84:20 98:17 101:15	53:20 professors 48:8 profiles 50:16 program 53:2,20	qualifications 3:14 10:12 83:19 85:7 94:6 qualified 75:13 131:4	12:16,21 13:17 18:11 20:9 24:3 33:4 40:12 43:10 43:14 44:12,24	recycled 31:5,12,15 31:19,22,24 32:5 33:9,18 34:5 35:9 35:14,15,16,24
pretty 18:12 33:1 60:6 61:6 66:12 73:21 74:1 83:2 84:20 98:17 101:15 110:6,10 120:8	53:20 professors 48:8 profiles 50:16 program 53:2,20 programs 53:12	qualifications 3:14 10:12 83:19 85:7 94:6 qualified 75:13 131:4 quantification 41:6	12:16,21 13:17 18:11 20:9 24:3 33:4 40:12 43:10 43:14 44:12,24 45:1,2 46:12 48:4	recycled 31:5,12,15 31:19,22,24 32:5 33:9,18 34:5 35:9 35:14,15,16,24 36:2,10,14,22
pretty 18:12 33:1 60:6 61:6 66:12 73:21 74:1 83:2 84:20 98:17 101:15 110:6,10 120:8 122:18 125:10	53:20 professors 48:8 profiles 50:16 program 53:2,20 programs 53:12 prohibited 33:13	qualifications 3:14 10:12 83:19 85:7 94:6 qualified 75:13 131:4 quantification 41:6 quantifications 126:9	12:16,21 13:17 18:11 20:9 24:3 33:4 40:12 43:10 43:14 44:12,24 45:1,2 46:12 48:4 50:4 59:25 62:24	recycled 31:5,12,15 31:19,22,24 32:5 33:9,18 34:5 35:9 35:14,15,16,24 36:2,10,14,22 37:20,22 38:6,13
pretty 18:12 33:1 60:6 61:6 66:12 73:21 74:1 83:2 84:20 98:17 101:15 110:6,10 120:8 122:18 125:10 prevention 5:7 53:12	53:20 professors 48:8 profiles 50:16 program 53:2,20 programs 53:12 prohibited 33:13 118:24 119:7,10,15	qualifications 3:14 10:12 83:19 85:7 94:6 qualified 75:13 131:4 quantification 41:6 quantifications 126:9 Quarterly 4:19	12:16,21 13:17 18:11 20:9 24:3 33:4 40:12 43:10 43:14 44:12,24 45:1,2 46:12 48:4 50:4 59:25 62:24 63:8 64:5 71:9,22	recycled 31:5,12,15 31:19,22,24 32:5 33:9,18 34:5 35:9 35:14,15,16,24 36:2,10,14,22 37:20,22 38:6,13 39:4,9,15,22 40:8
pretty 18:12 33:1 60:6 61:6 66:12 73:21 74:1 83:2 84:20 98:17 101:15 110:6,10 120:8 122:18 125:10 prevention 5:7 53:12 95:2	53:20 professors 48:8 profiles 50:16 program 53:2,20 programs 53:12 prohibited 33:13 118:24 119:7,10,15 promise 110:10	qualifications 3:14 10:12 83:19 85:7 94:6 qualified 75:13 131:4 quantification 41:6 quantifications 126:9 Quarterly 4:19 question 7:15 10:4,5	12:16,21 13:17 18:11 20:9 24:3 33:4 40:12 43:10 43:14 44:12,24 45:1,2 46:12 48:4 50:4 59:25 62:24 63:8 64:5 71:9,22 72:25 110:7 118:20	recycled 31:5,12,15 31:19,22,24 32:5 33:9,18 34:5 35:9 35:14,15,16,24 36:2,10,14,22 37:20,22 38:6,13 39:4,9,15,22 40:8 41:25
pretty 18:12 33:1 60:6 61:6 66:12 73:21 74:1 83:2 84:20 98:17 101:15 110:6,10 120:8 122:18 125:10 prevention 5:7 53:12 95:2 previous 56:15 89:8	53:20 professors 48:8 profiles 50:16 program 53:2,20 programs 53:12 prohibited 33:13 118:24 119:7,10,15 promise 110:10 112:9	qualifications 3:14 10:12 83:19 85:7 94:6 qualified 75:13 131:4 quantification 41:6 quantifications 126:9 Quarterly 4:19 question 7:15 10:4,5 11:20 13:6 14:13	12:16,21 13:17 18:11 20:9 24:3 33:4 40:12 43:10 43:14 44:12,24 45:1,2 46:12 48:4 50:4 59:25 62:24 63:8 64:5 71:9,22 72:25 110:7 118:20 128:8,19 130:4,16	recycled 31:5,12,15 31:19,22,24 32:5 33:9,18 34:5 35:9 35:14,15,16,24 36:2,10,14,22 37:20,22 38:6,13 39:4,9,15,22 40:8 41:25 reduced 3:10
pretty 18:12 33:1 60:6 61:6 66:12 73:21 74:1 83:2 84:20 98:17 101:15 110:6,10 120:8 122:18 125:10 prevention 5:7 53:12 95:2 previous 56:15 89:8 previously 20:20	53:20 professors 48:8 profiles 50:16 program 53:2,20 programs 53:12 prohibited 33:13 118:24 119:7,10,15 promise 110:10 112:9 pronounce 91:24	qualifications 3:14 10:12 83:19 85:7 94:6 qualified 75:13 131:4 quantification 41:6 quantifications 126:9 Quarterly 4:19 question 7:15 10:4,5 11:20 13:6 14:13 14:19 17:1 21:21	12:16,21 13:17 18:11 20:9 24:3 33:4 40:12 43:10 43:14 44:12,24 45:1,2 46:12 48:4 50:4 59:25 62:24 63:8 64:5 71:9,22 72:25 110:7 118:20 128:8,19 130:4,16 reader 101:17	recycled 31:5,12,15 31:19,22,24 32:5 33:9,18 34:5 35:9 35:14,15,16,24 36:2,10,14,22 37:20,22 38:6,13 39:4,9,15,22 40:8 41:25 reduced 3:10 references 40:13,18
pretty 18:12 33:1 60:6 61:6 66:12 73:21 74:1 83:2 84:20 98:17 101:15 110:6,10 120:8 122:18 125:10 prevention 5:7 53:12 95:2 previous 56:15 89:8 previously 20:20 pre-processing 36:4	53:20 professors 48:8 profiles 50:16 program 53:2,20 programs 53:12 prohibited 33:13 118:24 119:7,10,15 promise 110:10 112:9 pronounce 91:24 proof 3:13 99:2	qualifications 3:14 10:12 83:19 85:7 94:6 qualified 75:13 131:4 quantification 41:6 quantifications 126:9 Quarterly 4:19 question 7:15 10:4,5 11:20 13:6 14:13 14:19 17:1 21:21 22:23 23:4,11	12:16,21 13:17 18:11 20:9 24:3 33:4 40:12 43:10 43:14 44:12,24 45:1,2 46:12 48:4 50:4 59:25 62:24 63:8 64:5 71:9,22 72:25 110:7 118:20 128:8,19 130:4,16 reader 101:17 reading 33:14 43:5	recycled 31:5,12,15 31:19,22,24 32:5 33:9,18 34:5 35:9 35:14,15,16,24 36:2,10,14,22 37:20,22 38:6,13 39:4,9,15,22 40:8 41:25 reduced 3:10 references 40:13,18 41:1,3 47:7 108:2,4
pretty 18:12 33:1 60:6 61:6 66:12 73:21 74:1 83:2 84:20 98:17 101:15 110:6,10 120:8 122:18 125:10 prevention 5:7 53:12 95:2 previous 56:15 89:8 previously 20:20 pre-processing 36:4 primary 45:16 58:4	53:20 professors 48:8 profiles 50:16 program 53:2,20 programs 53:12 prohibited 33:13 118:24 119:7,10,15 promise 110:10 112:9 pronounce 91:24 proof 3:13 99:2 proposals 96:19	qualifications 3:14 10:12 83:19 85:7 94:6 qualified 75:13 131:4 quantification 41:6 quantifications 126:9 Quarterly 4:19 question 7:15 10:4,5 11:20 13:6 14:13 14:19 17:1 21:21 22:23 23:4,11 24:24 27:11,25	12:16,21 13:17 18:11 20:9 24:3 33:4 40:12 43:10 43:14 44:12,24 45:1,2 46:12 48:4 50:4 59:25 62:24 63:8 64:5 71:9,22 72:25 110:7 118:20 128:8,19 130:4,16 reader 101:17 reading 33:14 43:5 60:11 62:23 94:20	recycled 31:5,12,15 31:19,22,24 32:5 33:9,18 34:5 35:9 35:14,15,16,24 36:2,10,14,22 37:20,22 38:6,13 39:4,9,15,22 40:8 41:25 reduced 3:10 references 40:13,18 41:1,3 47:7 108:2,4 108:7,10,12 109:1
pretty 18:12 33:1 60:6 61:6 66:12 73:21 74:1 83:2 84:20 98:17 101:15 110:6,10 120:8 122:18 125:10 prevention 5:7 53:12 95:2 previous 56:15 89:8 previously 20:20 pre-processing 36:4 primary 45:16 58:4 91:14	53:20 professors 48:8 profiles 50:16 program 53:2,20 programs 53:12 prohibited 33:13 118:24 119:7,10,15 promise 110:10 112:9 pronounce 91:24 proof 3:13 99:2 proposals 96:19 proposition 106:10	qualifications 3:14 10:12 83:19 85:7 94:6 qualified 75:13 131:4 quantification 41:6 quantifications 126:9 Quarterly 4:19 question 7:15 10:4,5 11:20 13:6 14:13 14:19 17:1 21:21 22:23 23:4,11 24:24 27:11,25 29:10 36:11 37:1	12:16,21 13:17 18:11 20:9 24:3 33:4 40:12 43:10 43:14 44:12,24 45:1,2 46:12 48:4 50:4 59:25 62:24 63:8 64:5 71:9,22 72:25 110:7 118:20 128:8,19 130:4,16 reader 101:17 reading 33:14 43:5 60:11 62:23 94:20 110:13 122:14,15	recycled 31:5,12,15 31:19,22,24 32:5 33:9,18 34:5 35:9 35:14,15,16,24 36:2,10,14,22 37:20,22 38:6,13 39:4,9,15,22 40:8 41:25 reduced 3:10 references 40:13,18 41:1,3 47:7 108:2,4 108:7,10,12 109:1 110:15,17
pretty 18:12 33:1 60:6 61:6 66:12 73:21 74:1 83:2 84:20 98:17 101:15 110:6,10 120:8 122:18 125:10 prevention 5:7 53:12 95:2 previous 56:15 89:8 previously 20:20 pre-processing 36:4 primary 45:16 58:4 91:14 principle 74:2 100:21	53:20 professors 48:8 profiles 50:16 program 53:2,20 programs 53:12 prohibited 33:13 118:24 119:7,10,15 promise 110:10 112:9 pronounce 91:24 proof 3:13 99:2 proposals 96:19 proposition 106:10 protect 100:2,25	qualifications 3:14 10:12 83:19 85:7 94:6 qualified 75:13 131:4 quantification 41:6 quantifications 126:9 Quarterly 4:19 question 7:15 10:4,5 11:20 13:6 14:13 14:19 17:1 21:21 22:23 23:4,11 24:24 27:11,25 29:10 36:11 37:1 37:17 39:11,25	12:16,21 13:17 18:11 20:9 24:3 33:4 40:12 43:10 43:14 44:12,24 45:1,2 46:12 48:4 50:4 59:25 62:24 63:8 64:5 71:9,22 72:25 110:7 118:20 128:8,19 130:4,16 reader 101:17 reading 33:14 43:5 60:11 62:23 94:20 110:13 122:14,15 130:14	recycled 31:5,12,15 31:19,22,24 32:5 33:9,18 34:5 35:9 35:14,15,16,24 36:2,10,14,22 37:20,22 38:6,13 39:4,9,15,22 40:8 41:25 reduced 3:10 references 40:13,18 41:1,3 47:7 108:2,4 108:7,10,12 109:1 110:15,17 referring 16:14
pretty 18:12 33:1 60:6 61:6 66:12 73:21 74:1 83:2 84:20 98:17 101:15 110:6,10 120:8 122:18 125:10 prevention 5:7 53:12 95:2 previous 56:15 89:8 previously 20:20 pre-processing 36:4 primary 45:16 58:4 91:14 principle 74:2 100:21 101:10 102:2	53:20 professors 48:8 profiles 50:16 program 53:2,20 programs 53:12 prohibited 33:13 118:24 119:7,10,15 promise 110:10 112:9 pronounce 91:24 proof 3:13 99:2 proposals 96:19 proposition 106:10 protect 100:2,25 protecting 98:14	qualifications 3:14 10:12 83:19 85:7 94:6 qualified 75:13 131:4 quantification 41:6 quantifications 126:9 Quarterly 4:19 question 7:15 10:4,5 11:20 13:6 14:13 14:19 17:1 21:21 22:23 23:4,11 24:24 27:11,25 29:10 36:11 37:1 37:17 39:11,25 40:17 46:22 51:20	12:16,21 13:17 18:11 20:9 24:3 33:4 40:12 43:10 43:14 44:12,24 45:1,2 46:12 48:4 50:4 59:25 62:24 63:8 64:5 71:9,22 72:25 110:7 118:20 128:8,19 130:4,16 reader 101:17 reading 33:14 43:5 60:11 62:23 94:20 110:13 122:14,15 130:14 ready 54:18	recycled 31:5,12,15 31:19,22,24 32:5 33:9,18 34:5 35:9 35:14,15,16,24 36:2,10,14,22 37:20,22 38:6,13 39:4,9,15,22 40:8 41:25 reduced 3:10 references 40:13,18 41:1,3 47:7 108:2,4 108:7,10,12 109:1 110:15,17 referring 16:14 31:15 63:6
pretty 18:12 33:1 60:6 61:6 66:12 73:21 74:1 83:2 84:20 98:17 101:15 110:6,10 120:8 122:18 125:10 prevention 5:7 53:12 95:2 previous 56:15 89:8 previously 20:20 pre-processing 36:4 primary 45:16 58:4 91:14 principle 74:2 100:21 101:10 102:2 principles 15:3	53:20 professors 48:8 profiles 50:16 program 53:2,20 programs 53:12 prohibited 33:13 118:24 119:7,10,15 promise 110:10 112:9 pronounce 91:24 proof 3:13 99:2 proposals 96:19 proposition 106:10 protect 100:2,25 protecting 98:14 protection 85:5	qualifications 3:14 10:12 83:19 85:7 94:6 qualified 75:13 131:4 quantification 41:6 quantifications 126:9 Quarterly 4:19 question 7:15 10:4,5 11:20 13:6 14:13 14:19 17:1 21:21 22:23 23:4,11 24:24 27:11,25 29:10 36:11 37:1 37:17 39:11,25 40:17 46:22 51:20 58:16 66:14,18,23	12:16,21 13:17 18:11 20:9 24:3 33:4 40:12 43:10 43:14 44:12,24 45:1,2 46:12 48:4 50:4 59:25 62:24 63:8 64:5 71:9,22 72:25 110:7 118:20 128:8,19 130:4,16 reader 101:17 reading 33:14 43:5 60:11 62:23 94:20 110:13 122:14,15 130:14 ready 54:18 really 11:13 27:18	recycled 31:5,12,15 31:19,22,24 32:5 33:9,18 34:5 35:9 35:14,15,16,24 36:2,10,14,22 37:20,22 38:6,13 39:4,9,15,22 40:8 41:25 reduced 3:10 references 40:13,18 41:1,3 47:7 108:2,4 108:7,10,12 109:1 110:15,17 referring 16:14 31:15 63:6 regard 68:19
pretty 18:12 33:1 60:6 61:6 66:12 73:21 74:1 83:2 84:20 98:17 101:15 110:6,10 120:8 122:18 125:10 prevention 5:7 53:12 95:2 previous 56:15 89:8 previously 20:20 pre-processing 36:4 primary 45:16 58:4 91:14 principle 74:2 100:21 101:10 102:2 principles 15:3 103:19 126:1	53:20 professors 48:8 profiles 50:16 program 53:2,20 programs 53:12 prohibited 33:13 118:24 119:7,10,15 promise 110:10 112:9 pronounce 91:24 proof 3:13 99:2 proposals 96:19 proposition 106:10 protect 100:2,25 protecting 98:14 protection 85:5 94:17 121:16,20	qualifications 3:14 10:12 83:19 85:7 94:6 qualified 75:13 131:4 quantification 41:6 quantifications 126:9 Quarterly 4:19 question 7:15 10:4,5 11:20 13:6 14:13 14:19 17:1 21:21 22:23 23:4,11 24:24 27:11,25 29:10 36:11 37:1 37:17 39:11,25 40:17 46:22 51:20 58:16 66:14,18,23 68:10 69:17 70:22	12:16,21 13:17 18:11 20:9 24:3 33:4 40:12 43:10 43:14 44:12,24 45:1,2 46:12 48:4 50:4 59:25 62:24 63:8 64:5 71:9,22 72:25 110:7 118:20 128:8,19 130:4,16 reader 101:17 reading 33:14 43:5 60:11 62:23 94:20 110:13 122:14,15 130:14 ready 54:18 really 11:13 27:18 34:13 36:6 39:9,25	recycled 31:5,12,15 31:19,22,24 32:5 33:9,18 34:5 35:9 35:14,15,16,24 36:2,10,14,22 37:20,22 38:6,13 39:4,9,15,22 40:8 41:25 reduced 3:10 references 40:13,18 41:1,3 47:7 108:2,4 108:7,10,12 109:1 110:15,17 referring 16:14 31:15 63:6 regard 68:19 regarding 18:7 22:5
pretty 18:12 33:1 60:6 61:6 66:12 73:21 74:1 83:2 84:20 98:17 101:15 110:6,10 120:8 122:18 125:10 prevention 5:7 53:12 95:2 previous 56:15 89:8 previously 20:20 pre-processing 36:4 primary 45:16 58:4 91:14 principle 74:2 100:21 101:10 102:2 principles 15:3 103:19 126:1 print 33:11	53:20 professors 48:8 profiles 50:16 program 53:2,20 programs 53:12 prohibited 33:13 118:24 119:7,10,15 promise 110:10 112:9 pronounce 91:24 proof 3:13 99:2 proposals 96:19 proposition 106:10 protect 100:2,25 protecting 98:14 protection 85:5 94:17 121:16,20 provide 61:23 109:16	qualifications 3:14 10:12 83:19 85:7 94:6 qualified 75:13 131:4 quantification 41:6 quantifications 126:9 Quarterly 4:19 question 7:15 10:4,5 11:20 13:6 14:13 14:19 17:1 21:21 22:23 23:4,11 24:24 27:11,25 29:10 36:11 37:1 37:17 39:11,25 40:17 46:22 51:20 58:16 66:14,18,23 68:10 69:17 70:22 70:23 75:18 77:4	12:16,21 13:17 18:11 20:9 24:3 33:4 40:12 43:10 43:14 44:12,24 45:1,2 46:12 48:4 50:4 59:25 62:24 63:8 64:5 71:9,22 72:25 110:7 118:20 128:8,19 130:4,16 reader 101:17 reading 33:14 43:5 60:11 62:23 94:20 110:13 122:14,15 130:14 ready 54:18 really 11:13 27:18 34:13 36:6 39:9,25 52:13 54:13 57:3	recycled 31:5,12,15 31:19,22,24 32:5 33:9,18 34:5 35:9 35:14,15,16,24 36:2,10,14,22 37:20,22 38:6,13 39:4,9,15,22 40:8 41:25 reduced 3:10 references 40:13,18 41:1,3 47:7 108:2,4 108:7,10,12 109:1 110:15,17 referring 16:14 31:15 63:6 regard 68:19 regarding 18:7 22:5 41:24 44:25 68:23
pretty 18:12 33:1 60:6 61:6 66:12 73:21 74:1 83:2 84:20 98:17 101:15 110:6,10 120:8 122:18 125:10 prevention 5:7 53:12 95:2 previous 56:15 89:8 previously 20:20 pre-processing 36:4 primary 45:16 58:4 91:14 principle 74:2 100:21 101:10 102:2 principles 15:3 103:19 126:1 print 33:11 prior 78:18 81:17	53:20 professors 48:8 profiles 50:16 program 53:2,20 programs 53:12 prohibited 33:13 118:24 119:7,10,15 promise 110:10 112:9 pronounce 91:24 proof 3:13 99:2 proposals 96:19 proposition 106:10 protect 100:2,25 protecting 98:14 protection 85:5 94:17 121:16,20 provide 61:23 109:16 provided 118:19	qualifications 3:14 10:12 83:19 85:7 94:6 qualified 75:13 131:4 quantification 41:6 quantifications 126:9 Quarterly 4:19 question 7:15 10:4,5 11:20 13:6 14:13 14:19 17:1 21:21 22:23 23:4,11 24:24 27:11,25 29:10 36:11 37:1 37:17 39:11,25 40:17 46:22 51:20 58:16 66:14,18,23 68:10 69:17 70:22 70:23 75:18 77:4 83:13,15 87:19,23	12:16,21 13:17 18:11 20:9 24:3 33:4 40:12 43:10 43:14 44:12,24 45:1,2 46:12 48:4 50:4 59:25 62:24 63:8 64:5 71:9,22 72:25 110:7 118:20 128:8,19 130:4,16 reader 101:17 reading 33:14 43:5 60:11 62:23 94:20 110:13 122:14,15 130:14 ready 54:18 really 11:13 27:18 34:13 36:6 39:9,25 52:13 54:13 57:3 73:14 89:9 97:13	recycled 31:5,12,15 31:19,22,24 32:5 33:9,18 34:5 35:9 35:14,15,16,24 36:2,10,14,22 37:20,22 38:6,13 39:4,9,15,22 40:8 41:25 reduced 3:10 references 40:13,18 41:1,3 47:7 108:2,4 108:7,10,12 109:1 110:15,17 referring 16:14 31:15 63:6 regard 68:19 regarding 18:7 22:5 41:24 44:25 68:23 99:8
pretty 18:12 33:1 60:6 61:6 66:12 73:21 74:1 83:2 84:20 98:17 101:15 110:6,10 120:8 122:18 125:10 prevention 5:7 53:12 95:2 previous 56:15 89:8 previously 20:20 pre-processing 36:4 primary 45:16 58:4 91:14 principle 74:2 100:21 101:10 102:2 principles 15:3 103:19 126:1 print 33:11 prior 78:18 81:17 prioritizing 100:5	53:20 professors 48:8 profiles 50:16 program 53:2,20 programs 53:12 prohibited 33:13 118:24 119:7,10,15 promise 110:10 112:9 pronounce 91:24 proof 3:13 99:2 proposals 96:19 proposition 106:10 protect 100:2,25 protecting 98:14 protection 85:5 94:17 121:16,20 provide 61:23 109:16 provided 118:19 provides 61:21	qualifications 3:14 10:12 83:19 85:7 94:6 qualified 75:13 131:4 quantification 41:6 quantifications 126:9 Quarterly 4:19 question 7:15 10:4,5 11:20 13:6 14:13 14:19 17:1 21:21 22:23 23:4,11 24:24 27:11,25 29:10 36:11 37:1 37:17 39:11,25 40:17 46:22 51:20 58:16 66:14,18,23 68:10 69:17 70:22 70:23 75:18 77:4 83:13,15 87:19,23 88:2,21 89:21 93:3	12:16,21 13:17 18:11 20:9 24:3 33:4 40:12 43:10 43:14 44:12,24 45:1,2 46:12 48:4 50:4 59:25 62:24 63:8 64:5 71:9,22 72:25 110:7 118:20 128:8,19 130:4,16 reader 101:17 reading 33:14 43:5 60:11 62:23 94:20 110:13 122:14,15 130:14 ready 54:18 really 11:13 27:18 34:13 36:6 39:9,25 52:13 54:13 57:3 73:14 89:9 97:13 109:24 112:24	recycled 31:5,12,15 31:19,22,24 32:5 33:9,18 34:5 35:9 35:14,15,16,24 36:2,10,14,22 37:20,22 38:6,13 39:4,9,15,22 40:8 41:25 reduced 3:10 references 40:13,18 41:1,3 47:7 108:2,4 108:7,10,12 109:1 110:15,17 referring 16:14 31:15 63:6 regard 68:19 regarding 18:7 22:5 41:24 44:25 68:23 99:8 regardless 48:25
pretty 18:12 33:1 60:6 61:6 66:12 73:21 74:1 83:2 84:20 98:17 101:15 110:6,10 120:8 122:18 125:10 prevention 5:7 53:12 95:2 previous 56:15 89:8 previously 20:20 pre-processing 36:4 primary 45:16 58:4 91:14 principle 74:2 100:21 101:10 102:2 principles 15:3 103:19 126:1 print 33:11 prior 78:18 81:17 prioritizing 100:5 private 115:15	53:20 professors 48:8 profiles 50:16 program 53:2,20 programs 53:12 prohibited 33:13	qualifications 3:14 10:12 83:19 85:7 94:6 qualified 75:13 131:4 quantification 41:6 quantifications 126:9 Quarterly 4:19 question 7:15 10:4,5 11:20 13:6 14:13 14:19 17:1 21:21 22:23 23:4,11 24:24 27:11,25 29:10 36:11 37:1 37:17 39:11,25 40:17 46:22 51:20 58:16 66:14,18,23 68:10 69:17 70:22 70:23 75:18 77:4 83:13,15 87:19,23	12:16,21 13:17 18:11 20:9 24:3 33:4 40:12 43:10 43:14 44:12,24 45:1,2 46:12 48:4 50:4 59:25 62:24 63:8 64:5 71:9,22 72:25 110:7 118:20 128:8,19 130:4,16 reader 101:17 reading 33:14 43:5 60:11 62:23 94:20 110:13 122:14,15 130:14 ready 54:18 really 11:13 27:18 34:13 36:6 39:9,25 52:13 54:13 57:3 73:14 89:9 97:13	recycled 31:5,12,15 31:19,22,24 32:5 33:9,18 34:5 35:9 35:14,15,16,24 36:2,10,14,22 37:20,22 38:6,13 39:4,9,15,22 40:8 41:25 reduced 3:10 references 40:13,18 41:1,3 47:7 108:2,4 108:7,10,12 109:1 110:15,17 referring 16:14 31:15 63:6 regard 68:19 regarding 18:7 22:5 41:24 44:25 68:23 99:8 regardless 48:25 Registered 1:17
pretty 18:12 33:1 60:6 61:6 66:12 73:21 74:1 83:2 84:20 98:17 101:15 110:6,10 120:8 122:18 125:10 prevention 5:7 53:12 95:2 previous 56:15 89:8 previously 20:20 pre-processing 36:4 primary 45:16 58:4 91:14 principle 74:2 100:21 101:10 102:2 principles 15:3 103:19 126:1 print 33:11 prior 78:18 81:17 prioritizing 100:5 private 115:15 privilege 80:25 81:4	53:20 professors 48:8 profiles 50:16 program 53:2,20 programs 53:12 prohibited 33:13 118:24 119:7,10,15 promise 110:10 112:9 pronounce 91:24 proof 3:13 99:2 proposals 96:19 proposition 106:10 protect 100:2,25 protecting 98:14 protection 85:5 94:17 121:16,20 provide 61:23 109:16 provided 118:19 provides 61:21 PSC 2:16 public 97:17,24	qualifications 3:14 10:12 83:19 85:7 94:6 qualified 75:13 131:4 quantification 41:6 quantifications 126:9 Quarterly 4:19 question 7:15 10:4,5 11:20 13:6 14:13 14:19 17:1 21:21 22:23 23:4,11 24:24 27:11,25 29:10 36:11 37:1 37:17 39:11,25 40:17 46:22 51:20 58:16 66:14,18,23 68:10 69:17 70:22 70:23 75:18 77:4 83:13,15 87:19,23 88:2,21 89:21 93:3 98:1 99:13,17 102:7,9 105:9	12:16,21 13:17 18:11 20:9 24:3 33:4 40:12 43:10 43:14 44:12,24 45:1,2 46:12 48:4 50:4 59:25 62:24 63:8 64:5 71:9,22 72:25 110:7 118:20 128:8,19 130:4,16 reader 101:17 reading 33:14 43:5 60:11 62:23 94:20 110:13 122:14,15 130:14 ready 54:18 really 11:13 27:18 34:13 36:6 39:9,25 52:13 54:13 57:3 73:14 89:9 97:13 109:24 112:24 126:22 reask 21:22 27:11	recycled 31:5,12,15 31:19,22,24 32:5 33:9,18 34:5 35:9 35:14,15,16,24 36:2,10,14,22 37:20,22 38:6,13 39:4,9,15,22 40:8 41:25 reduced 3:10 references 40:13,18 41:1,3 47:7 108:2,4 108:7,10,12 109:1 110:15,17 referring 16:14 31:15 63:6 regard 68:19 regarding 18:7 22:5 41:24 44:25 68:23 99:8 regardless 48:25 Registered 1:17 131:21
pretty 18:12 33:1 60:6 61:6 66:12 73:21 74:1 83:2 84:20 98:17 101:15 110:6,10 120:8 122:18 125:10 prevention 5:7 53:12 95:2 previous 56:15 89:8 previously 20:20 pre-processing 36:4 primary 45:16 58:4 91:14 principle 74:2 100:21 101:10 102:2 principles 15:3 103:19 126:1 print 33:11 prior 78:18 81:17 prioritizing 100:5 private 115:15	53:20 professors 48:8 profiles 50:16 program 53:2,20 programs 53:12 prohibited 33:13	qualifications 3:14 10:12 83:19 85:7 94:6 qualified 75:13 131:4 quantification 41:6 quantifications 126:9 Quarterly 4:19 question 7:15 10:4,5 11:20 13:6 14:13 14:19 17:1 21:21 22:23 23:4,11 24:24 27:11,25 29:10 36:11 37:1 37:17 39:11,25 40:17 46:22 51:20 58:16 66:14,18,23 68:10 69:17 70:22 70:23 75:18 77:4 83:13,15 87:19,23 88:2,21 89:21 93:3 98:1 99:13,17	12:16,21 13:17 18:11 20:9 24:3 33:4 40:12 43:10 43:14 44:12,24 45:1,2 46:12 48:4 50:4 59:25 62:24 63:8 64:5 71:9,22 72:25 110:7 118:20 128:8,19 130:4,16 reader 101:17 reading 33:14 43:5 60:11 62:23 94:20 110:13 122:14,15 130:14 ready 54:18 really 11:13 27:18 34:13 36:6 39:9,25 52:13 54:13 57:3 73:14 89:9 97:13 109:24 112:24 126:22	recycled 31:5,12,15 31:19,22,24 32:5 33:9,18 34:5 35:9 35:14,15,16,24 36:2,10,14,22 37:20,22 38:6,13 39:4,9,15,22 40:8 41:25 reduced 3:10 references 40:13,18 41:1,3 47:7 108:2,4 108:7,10,12 109:1 110:15,17 referring 16:14 31:15 63:6 regard 68:19 regarding 18:7 22:5 41:24 44:25 68:23 99:8 regardless 48:25 Registered 1:17

registry 49:15	110:22 117:6	Rico 1:1 2:17 31:20	17:5 25:8 32:19	rush 128:6
regular 63:19	125:10	32:1,6,20 33:12	34:18,21 43:2,14	Tusii 120.0
regulate 100:6		118:23 119:1,4,21	44:5 46:4 50:5,11	S
regulation 101:3	reporter 1:17 9:15 15:10 22:3 43:25	119:25 120:11,20	55:4 59:21 60:25	$\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ 6:1
regulations 67:6	44:21 53:25 108:18	right 7:17 9:22,23	61:3,4,7,8,9,11,13	safe 32:9 121:19
regulators 100:5		11:5 12:9 13:11,21	62:6,13 63:1,4 66:1	122:1
regulatory 98:9 99:7	128:4,10,15,22,24 131:22	14:11 17:17 18:24	66:2 93:10 100:14	safety 32:18 85:1
99:18 100:1 102:10	reporting 40:10	21:9 22:7,11,14	101:12 116:22	94:23 118:18
relate 124:13,23	reports 9:8 13:8,18	23:4,19,21 24:9,21	119:21 124:8,18,19	119:20
126:15	13:25 28:5,11,23	25:4 29:1 31:3 33:3	124:20 125:6,12	Safety-Kleen 1:6
related 56:25 60:8,10	73:4 80:20 81:1,5,6	33:24 42:18,24	124.20 123.0,12	2:13,13 5:11 7:1,4
80:21	81:9,12,17,21	43:1,10,23 44:2,5,7	risks 5:10 74:6 84:6	7:8 9:1 19:19 23:9
relation 55:10	represent 47:2 64:18	44:8,11,18 45:9,10	123:17	24:6 25:5,16,17,23
relationship 16:6,7,9	87:24 127:3	46:12,16,18 47:12	road 2:4 42:11	26:6,11 27:14
84:3		47:24 48:2,3,9,16	Robb 2:2,3 4:5 6:10	28:15,16,20,23,24
	request 128:18	48:17,20,25 49:12	-	31:1,4,12,14 32:5
relative 16:24 17:5 131:13	require 73:16,18	49:13 50:8,20 51:2	7:14 9:7 10:8 11:19 11:22 12:8,24 13:2	33:9 35:23 37:5,6
relevant 14:15,19	requirements 40:11 research 4:14 5:9	51:24,25 52:12,14	13:16 14:10 15:7,9	37:11 38:11 40:8
39:25 116:10 124:3	27:24 29:25 44:19	51:24,25 52:12,14 52:16 53:2,5,7,14	18:11 20:13 27:3,5	42:12 65:22 66:19
124:5	45:16,20 46:7 51:3	53:15,16,19,23	27:10 30:11,12	67:2,8,10 78:16
reliable 47:18	51:4,21 62:1 73:10	54:11 55:4,6 56:19	33:24 34:2,7,17,22	117:9,13,21,25
relied 117:3	73:11,12 82:18	56:24 57:5 58:3,13	34:23 35:3,8 36:16	117.5,13,21,25
rely 19:9 65:5 70:1	83:19 85:24 86:6	59:15 60:1,3,22,25	37:9 38:4,19,21	120:14 121:6,17
74:19 86:14 98:3	86:11 88:17 96:15	61:2 62:5,8,23	39:2,20 41:5,9,22	124:12,16,21 125:8
99:1,7 100:16	96:21	63:11 64:8,13,17	42:3,4,6,9,15 46:24	126:24 127:8
109:11,11	researcher 75:13	64:25 67:17 68:8	54:4,9,12,16,19,24	Safety-Kleen's 19:24
relying 41:17,18	respected 44:2 59:11	69:9,16 70:18,21	58:24 59:3,4 65:11	25:11 28:2,25
117:8	respective 3:7	71:11 73:25 74:16	67:16,21 69:8	41:15 117:4
remember 7:5 10:14	respond 13:7	75:2,6 76:5 77:12	70:15,17 71:4,8,15	sale 31:25 32:5 33:11
29:3 33:13,17 68:3	response 8:7 11:8	77:17,21 78:21,22	71:17,21 77:1,7,11	118:11,14,23 119:4
68:15 69:4 77:14	84:3 107:8	79:3,9,12,19 80:8	80:12 81:1,2,11,20	121:20
77:15 79:8 111:8	responsibility 29:13	80:13,16 82:3,4,13	81:23 83:14 89:16	Salk 89:22
114:9	29:14	82:20 85:20 86:1,6	90:1,15,25 91:4,5	samples 30:2
rephrase 59:3 107:11	rest 120:21	86:8,11,12,14,17	91:17,19 92:5,6	Samples 30.2 San 2:17
replacement 45:23	result 86:22	86:19,23,25 87:3,9	96:6 97:2 102:6,8	sat 38:21
87:12 88:23	results 26:5,23 27:6	87:15 89:13 90:2,3	102:21 103:4	Savitz 108:12 110:16
report 4:19 7:19 9:11	27:12,13 29:24	90:5 92:7,19 93:15	106:14 107:16	saw 73:3
9:17 10:10,13 11:2	retained 7:1 67:13,24	94:19,22 95:1,2,4	109:16 110:1	saying 21:17 22:24
11:6 12:1,2,5,10,12	retake 83:3	96:2,7 97:9 98:2,12	111:14,18,23 112:3	58:25 61:17 74:7,8
12:13,21 13:7	review 95:22,23 96:3	98:22 101:21	113:14 120:13	74:16,20 75:5 93:4
18:12 20:25 31:9	96:16,17,24 97:4	103:12 104:15	122:10,22 123:1	98:18,21 109:12
31:17 39:21 40:24	97:10,11,21 98:9	105:13,19,20	125:4,16,18 126:4	110:2,13 113:4
47:9 49:20 64:5	99:8,18 100:1,2,17	105:15,17,20	126:13 127:11,17	123:13
71:13 72:16,18,20	102:10 108:15	113:15,18,24 115:1	128:2,4,6,12,21	says 6:8 12:2 22:11
73:1 75:22 78:15	111:6	115:10,19 116:4	Rockefeller 50:22,24	33:9 39:12 40:7
80:8,14,16 81:19	reviewed 10:25 11:2	119:18 122:11,11	51:7,11 52:17	43:2,7 44:8,9 46:8
81:24 85:2,13,16	13:3 48:6,7 57:25	122:20 126:25	role 9:20 10:1,15	46:12,17 47:25
86:15 90:20 94:3	58:5 110:20 112:6	right-hand 52:1 57:6	100:8 105:16	48:2 50:4,9,16 52:1
95:21 98:3,6,11	reviewing 20:25,25	ring 109:7	room 15:10	52:4,16,19 53:22
99:18,24 101:16	21:1	ringing 110:13	rude 71:16	55:1,4,7 56:12,18
102:9,14 104:18	reviews 84:15	118:15 121:12	Rule 81:9 128:20	56:20 57:13,16
106:3 108:23	Ricans 120:1	risk 4:13 16:19,21,24	run 15:10 97:6	58:1,1 60:23 61:1
100.2 100.23				

				<u> </u>
62:11,14,18,24	seen 23:13 25:15,20	61:21 74:6 116:21	62:20 87:4 92:8	starts 49:23
63:2 72:9,16,20	26:1,5 28:23 33:7,8	signing 130:15	94:21 102:16 103:5	state 6:11,15,16,17
89:7 94:11 110:25	39:8 40:23 63:23	silica 92:2	107:11,21	21:9,12 32:22,22
118:22 122:11,16	91:23 110:20 112:9	silly 29:10	sort 49:15,18 76:19	49:9,14 51:9 52:23
schedule 54:6,7	112:11 117:13	simple 24:17 116:19	96:15	87:17 94:13 100:3
school 79:18 80:1	119:3 127:4	117:1 120:8	sound 15:3	100:15 105:10,17
108:13	selling 66:19	simpler 99:13	source 26:8 64:25	121:5,18 130:1
Sciences 95:16	send 29:20	simply 62:16 71:22	90:9	131:1,4,21
scientific 26:25 64:14	sense 119:23,24	Sinai 79:18	sources 66:9	stated 24:4 105:15
98:15 104:7	120:17 121:14	sir 12:17 15:24 16:16	South 1:13 47:1 56:2	107:6 115:24
scientifically 104:11	sent 38:10 112:13	25:25 48:14 54:4	56:3	130:15
scope 27:3 30:11	sentence 40:7 41:23	122:6	speak 9:5 10:23	statement 18:6 37:5
33:16 34:15 37:8	43:11 63:2 72:19	sit 63:14 77:24	90:12	60:7 61:18,19 73:2
39:1 65:19	109:23	110:11 126:8	speaking 11:21	127:13,19,21
screaming 15:10	separate 38:23	sitting 8:4	speaks 63:5 72:19	states 1:1 7:19 32:1,6
se 11:11	115:25 116:3	six 36:5	90:20 99:24	49:11 59:12 85:4
seal 131:18	series 75:4	skin 23:20,23,25 24:2	specialist 53:13	105:5,7 118:5,11
search 4:24 107:25	Seriously 21:14	24:6,16,19	specific 6:23 7:20	120:19
110:6	serve 19:24 86:17	skip 56:14	11:9,11 29:22 30:4	station 125:12,22,25
searched 95:13	116:1	slash 57:16	39:24 40:19 65:20	station 123.12,22,23
searching 95:12	service 86:18 96:13	slowly 23:22 24:1	78:4	16:6,7,9,24 17:3
97:12	97:5,16	smokers 64:1 66:10	specifically 10:4 12:2	61:24 106:4
second 9:10 22:12	SESSION 3:1	smoking 66:10 88:22	14:15 15:11 24:4	statistically 14:2,21
52:16 62:8 94:20	set 115:25 116:3	society 5:6 49:15	25:23 26:2 28:19	16:19,21 56:24
95:20 98:7 103:7,8	131:17	60:20 62:2		57:1 61:21 74:6
113:11 124:6	seven 27:23	sold 32:7 66:15	63:5 107:6,18 109:8	
				116:10,21 status 79:25
secondly 32:13 37:17 85:10 119:9	shape 107:19,23 Sheet 118:18	122:12,16 solely 99:2	specified 131:12 speculative 125:14	statute 3:9
section 4:18 46:11	Shields 1:10 3:8 4:3	solid 16:24	1 -	
49:9 62:7 84:14	6:6,13 12:25 41:23	solvent 18:7 24:6	spell 108:17 spelled 9:14	stenotypy 3:11 131:8 stipulate 39:14
96:12 97:15 98:10	123:5 130:3,10,13		Spencer 13:8,8,12,20	stipulated 3:6
122:20	short-circuit 41:12	25:12,12,18 26:6 28:2,20 31:5,6,12	spend 20:24	STIPULATIONS
see 9:10 12:1 22:9	41:21	31:16,19,23,25	spent 20:15,17 83:22	3:4
30:8 43:8 44:5 46:8	short-circuits 41:20	32:5 33:12,20 34:5	spirit 120:9 121:4	stock 98:16 112:17
	show 12:16 15:2 23:3	,	1 -	
46:10,11 48:9	61:7 70:14 102:22	35:10,11,18,19,24 36:2,10,12,21	spirits 23:16 26:12 28:14 31:21 37:19	Stop 33:24 strawberries 65:22
49:24 50:6,16 52:2			40:7 41:20 117:6,6	
52:7,9,19 55:25 56:2,12 57:11,12	110:24 116:11,20 116:21	37:20 38:7,8,13 39:5,5,16,22 41:15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	66:5,11 Street 1:13 2:16 6:18
	showed 107:18		117:12,17,20,25	
57:22,22 59:21	showing 74:5	41:25 42:1 117:4,4	118:1,5,6 119:2,12 126:21	strictly 33:13 88:1 117:3 118:24
62:6,13 65:23 66:7	<u> </u>	117:5,9,13,22 118:24 121:17		117:3 118:24
69:14,16 70:6,19	shown 74:22 106:24		spite 9:4	
73:14 79:12 83:19	107:10,12	solvents 37:22 40:9	splashed 24:6	strike 17:3 18:1
84:4,17 90:6 93:22	shuffling 98:5	55:5 78:24 somebody 16:10 49:2	split 30:2	91:17 102:6 105:22
93:24 94:10,13	shut 34:14	92:19 116:22	Springs 2:5 SS 130:1 131:2	125:16,18 126:4
95:12,14,14,16	side 52:16 69:11			studied 40:9 104:7
96:11 97:11 98:4	sidebar 42:14 46:23	117:23	stand 72:24 106:10	117:12
102:7 103:12 109:5	121:23 122:9	someone's 124:9	stands 47:3	studies 14:2,4,5,12
109:5,12 110:16,24	sign 128:19	sorry 16:18 24:5	start 31:13 36:6,7	14:16,21 15:2,12
111:15 112:14	Signature 129:7	25:22 26:14 27:5	77:12	15:13,19,20 17:5,8
113:7 114:22	signed 130:17	35:14 39:8 46:22	started 76:11,12,18	25:11 26:11 28:18
115:12,22 120:17	significant 16:20,22	47:14 54:11 55:24	77:2,19 79:4	47:9,13,18 50:1
seeing 57:19 97:20	16:23,23 57:1	57:12,21 61:7,9	starting 76:22	51:16 61:6,11,18
	•	•	•	•

61:20,23 73:10,11	Systems 1:6 2:13	121:9	32:22 35:1 39:25	trace 28:2
73:12,18 74:19,20	S-a-v-i-t-z 110:17	tells 89:17	41:3 42:13 45:20	train 79:16
82:19 83:23 86:15	S-K 33:18 35:15	template 80:18	46:1 50:17 54:14	trained 79:17
89:6 96:3 106:3,5,8	39:23	tenet 125:7	60:17 61:6 65:23	traineeship 95:16
107:11 110:3,4	37.23	term 10:6 35:1 60:6	66:21 71:7 79:23	training 45:11 76:16
116:10,16,20 117:3	T	83:6	79:24 83:6 84:12	79:14
117:8,17,20 123:16	take 7:8,10 9:10 19:7	terms 32:18 91:12	84:19 89:23 90:9	transcribe 128:5
125:22	26:19 54:18,21	test 16:22 26:5,23	90:16,20 91:22	transcribed 3:12
study 13:12,19 16:2,3	69:18 80:8,13	27:6,12,13 29:24	92:8,16 93:11	131:9
16:4,8 25:20 26:14	81:10 108:25 128:7	83:3 89:11	99:23 109:4 112:8	transcript 130:4,13
30:19 37:25 38:5	taken 3:10 54:23	tested 26:4 117:17	116:15 119:6	131:10
47:17 61:19 73:17	127:3 131:7,11	testified 6:25 8:1	121:25 127:25	transplant 48:8,15
84:14,16 89:7	talk 13:1 31:14 39:22	11:23 19:18 27:7	thinking 54:5 76:11	48:19
96:12 97:14 108:12	42:20 62:15 84:13	33:22 37:4,11 70:9	76:18 111:10	transport 32:16
108:24 111:4,6	94:15 118:21 125:7	103:23	third 59:22	transportation 32:21
117:1 119:3 123:18	125:8	testifies 6:8	thorough 111:24	67:25 122:2
stuff 29:20	talked 16:8 28:19	testify 12:11 19:9	thought 18:19 71:19	trap 75:14
subheading 62:9	61:20 64:16 75:11	39:14,17,18 41:13	76:21 109:19	trashing 127:10
subjected 25:1	106:1 116:9	41:14 93:12 102:22	thousands 84:11	treated 91:14 113:3
submit 95:24 96:15	talking 10:2 15:11	131:6	three 16:10 110:3	treating 48:15 58:9
96:18	16:12 21:16 25:17	testifying 7:4	thrown 27:8,14	treats 92:8,16
submitted 130:14	29:21 30:3 31:8,8	testimony 12:7,23	time 3:10 19:12	trend 16:22
substance 126:12	31:20 32:3 36:3,7	26:22 27:20 65:3,5	20:15,17 27:4	trial 68:12 78:16
130:7	40:2,21 42:17	69:23,24 70:5 72:4	29:14 35:13 36:8	trials 19:20
sufficient 7:20 93:9	54:25 60:22 63:7,9	74:13 77:21 111:19	41:15 54:5 69:9	trigger 107:8
93:14	64:19 68:21 69:5	131:7,10	76:9 77:16 78:1	trivial 23:8 25:8
Suite 1:14 2:4,10	70:6,20 73:14 79:3	testing 25:16 26:12	79:10 102:16	40:10
supplement 11:12	89:4 90:17 91:12	26:25 28:24 29:15	108:25 114:13	true 32:7 35:20 36:19
suppliers 26:13	92:1,2 95:12 99:25	tests 28:8,25	131:11	130:7 131:10
28:15	105:8 118:8 125:17	Texas 2:10	times 16:10 19:15,18	truly 19:10
support 11:10 12:20	126:11,12 127:17	textbooks 44:25 45:1	24:7 78:12 116:14	trust 35:3 70:10
14:6,14 38:6 61:18	talks 56:14 62:5	thank 29:11 54:15	125:20	truth 19:7,10 69:18
61:19 72:14 73:11	85:21 94:3 95:9,21	92:5 98:2 122:24	tip 70:15	131:6
107:9	97:9 109:8 tank 66:11	125:17 128:1,3	title 47:20 48:12	try 11:10 13:5 54:6
supported 73:4,13	teach 45:2,4	129:2	50:21 51:22 109:12	trying 41:11 43:4
supports 28:1 37:25	teaches 80:1	therapy 45:19 88:24	110:13	57:18 59:1 66:4
Suppose 30:14 supposed 20:2	Teaching 85:24	thing 42:20 57:4,20 71:19 72:17 87:1	titled 47:1 55:25 tobacco 114:5	77:1 85:12 87:23 91:2,5 103:18
Supreme 68:9,19	technique 13:12	89:7,17,20 96:14	today 8:4 19:7 20:2	124:10
69:2	Telephonically 2:2	96:15 99:2 124:3	49:3 61:14 106:11	tube 89:11
sure 7:11 18:23 19:12	2:15	things 11:9 12:13,19	107:11,12 112:14	turn 22:7 52:10 91:1
26:3 29:15 30:3	tell 8:4 19:7 20:19	28:11 35:25 36:1	116:6	turning 91:3
31:7 33:1 38:2 51:1	26:14 31:24 36:7	37:21 49:16 56:25	told 12:4 19:2 56:8	twist 58:21
54:16 55:21 58:18	38:5,23 39:7,16	63:20 67:5 73:9	66:21 94:2	two 15:11,13,19,20
65:3 75:17 76:10	49:17,19,20 55:19	76:11 78:1,20	top 33:10 51:25	17:8 20:5 38:22
80:7 87:22 93:25	56:6 64:20,21,24	80:23 82:6,14	tossed 26:24	46:20 49:16 56:24
96:1 105:7 113:12	67:18 69:18 83:11	83:25 90:18 93:22	totally 37:16 53:8	61:20 75:12,24,25
113:17 114:15,17	102:12 110:16,19	98:5 103:15 112:13	72:18 109:22	80:5 82:6 84:18
127:18	112:10 118:17	116:9	tough 58:16	106:4 110:3
surrounding 127:8	121:24	think 9:24 10:6 16:3	tox 50:16	type 6:21,23 7:9
Surveillance 4:19	telling 20:7 71:2	18:1,25 23:2 25:15	toxicologists 88:7	25:18 30:20 40:14
sworn 6:7 131:6	111:12 119:16	27:6 30:16 32:12	toxicology 125:7	45:9 58:2 77:20
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

88:3 114:7 117:14 warning 65:16 114:5 116:8 118:14 world's 112:10,12 78:6 81:24 92:10 wash 24:14 wouldn't 31:23 40:1 96:21 126:14 118:2 whatsoever 22:25 types 83:25 120:2 **U.K** 63:3 washed 24:7 **WHEREOF** 131:17 63:3 64:2 91:12,13 Washington 79:15 **U.S** 94:16 118:11 Whoa 86:5,5,5 117:21 119:11 U **U.S.A** 2:20 79:17,21 wide 63:25 120:16 121:13 ubiquitous 66:12 wasn't 21:20 22:15 widely 118:6 119:13 wrap 103:13 \mathbf{V} UC 5:4 Wiernick 4:15 31:19 74:13 86:4 wrapping 116:8 **UK** 5:9 vaccine 89:24 90:2 87:15,16,17 125:25 Wiernik 44:20 write 116:6 uncovers 88:3 vague 10:5,7 30:22 wasting 27:3 Wiles 2:4 writing 3:10 78:15 undersigned 130:15 33:6 75:17 89:20 watching 29:6 **willing** 101:2 110:22 understand 7:25 99:12 121:15 water 54:20 withdrew 106:23 wrong 9:14 47:12 9:19,20,24 17:1 valid 14:21 27:7 66:3 83:8 97:12,19 wav 7:5 8:3 11:13 witness 3:8.12 4:2 28:4 30:5 51:3 84:6 64:25 13:10 22:23 24:21 34:1 42:6 54:17,22 wrote 13:7 44:17 validity 68:10 100:10,11 106:7 27:6 30:11 33:17 102:25 109:21 49:5 50:14 55:11 124:10,12,22 valuable 86:18 40:4 48:15 53:8 116:1 122:24,25 73:1 95:3 102:14 126:17 value 96:10 64:19 70:23 72:16 123:1 128:3 130:14 102:15 understanding 31:17 various 49:11 87:8 103:14,17 131:5,8,11,17 W-i-e-r-n-i-k 44:22 67:5 71:25 77:25 vast 61:22 women 45:19,24 87:3 111:9 120:16 X 83:20 84:3 92:15 verify 80:4 87:13 ways 23:18 123:17 125:2 126:2 **version** 128:11 **X** 4:1 5:1 weak 60:6 wonder 32:25 126:2,10 versus 39:5,9,15,23 website 4:10,12,15 word 46:9 60:17 Y understands 46:4 72:1 125:19 91:24 99:19 107:6 4:16,24 5:5,6,9 yeah 17:21 18:23 60:18 virgin 25:12,17 26:6 48:7 50:15 56:4 words 50:8 58:21 46:2 56:8,13 59:17 understood 74:1 28:2,20,24 31:5,16 113:19,21,23 80:1 97:14 59:20 62:23 63:12 unexposed 16:10 31:21,24 33:19,23 114:14,16 work 7:3 30:20 31:1 82:21 83:11 85:23 unfair 111:20 34:6 35:10,14,18 websites 104:17,19 31:18,22 45:9,13 86:2,7 90:8 94:7,25 unimportant 25:8 35:19 36:12,21 104:21 106:9,10 80:18 84:21 86:9 **United** 1:1 31:25 38:8 39:5,9,15,23 96:20 102:18 86:22 91:23 93:18 107:24 108:3 119:24 126:14 32:6 59:12 62:1 40:8,8 41:25 117:4 112:16,19,22 114:2 105:19 115:1,5,18 117:5,9 121:17 127:12 85:4 120:19 114:5,7,12 worked 24:25 37:5 **University** 4:22 6:15 Virginia 67:22 68:9 **year** 77:19 51:18 85:4,7 90:6 week 20:20,23,24 years 27:23 58:17 6:16,17 51:9 52:24 68:18,22 69:2 115:14 90:10 91:7,9 95:9 62:12,25 75:1,2 53:5,21 54:25 59:6 virtually 112:11 weeks 36:5.5 95:21 115:9 126:24 Vlaanderen 16:3 78:17 123:17 60:13 79:21 87:17 well-documented worker 37:14 64:23 **Yep** 8:22 9:21 43:19 115:17,19,23 vs 1:5 50:4,10,18 105:12 66:20 125:2,3 44:1,4 49:25 50:2 **unknown** 46:13 105:16 workers 30:19,25 W yesterday 26:22 37:4 unprofessional 71:16 78:20 118:7 124:17 well-respected wait 9:13 16:2 25:15 127:3 71:17.20 104:13 125:12,22,25 waived 3:14 129:7 **unreliable** 47:17,18 went 25:23 26:1,3 working 23:1,6 62:16 \$ **Wales** 47:2 unresponsive 91:18 63:19 124:21 68:18 73:7 105:12 **\$1,750** 103:11 want 15:9 26:20,21 **unusual** 126:22 125:11 126:21 weren't 73:10 **\$24,480** 103:2,7 32:4 33:25 45:8 use 29:23 33:12,12 West 6:17 67:22 68:8 workplace 23:15 46:3 50:3 63:8 70:8 35:24 36:5,10 68:18.22 69:2 25:24 26:2,3 44:10 0 70:8 71:11 83:9,11 37:13 38:12 87:3 we'll 128:14 52:5 59:23 64:21 **00918-2404** 2:17 100:14 107:5 118:2 85:13 88:25 93:12 76:17 124:14 we're 12:24 16:12 93:25 95:11 97:6 118:23,23,25 30:10 35:1 40:21 126:18 1 97:23 100:22,23,25 119:17,20 120:17 47:6 56:23 79:3 workplaces 25:19 **1** 4:10 6:3 22:8 57:8 120:19 122:5 101:21 109:14 85:19 89:9 126:11 works 37:5 79:21 57:19 114:15 119:21 user 37:21 126:12 90:6.22 **1,000** 125:15,19 121:2 126:15 users 35:23 we've 54:17 66:25 world 15:20 21:4,19 **1-800** 97:6 128:10,25 uses 65:4.4.4 67:3 93:11 101:8 21:25 30:15 47:9 **1.0** 17:3 wanted 109:19 usually 53:11 58:6 104:11,19,20 106:1 47:15.19 51:4

				Page 146
,		<u> </u>		
10 5:6 19:22 58:17	214)828-9229 2:11	9	1	
60:19 73:8	22 56:1	9 1:12 3:1 5:4 53:24		
10th 6:18 89:7	25 40:1,6		1	
100 100:9	26 81:9	55:1 57:8,17,17,19		
		57:19,19,22 59:5		
100,000 118:7	28 103:2	59:15 130:5		
105 25:5,12,16,18	29 59:16	9:19 1:12 3:2		
26:6 28:2,20,23		90s 26:12 28:24		
31:1,4,12,14 32:5	3	77:15,18 78:6 79:1		
33:9,19 35:15	3 2:4 4:14 44:18,18	111:11		
39:23 40:8 41:25	3:12-cv-01529-ADC	954)753-3902 2:5		
42:1 66:19 67:2	1:6	934)133-3902 2.3		
117:4,5,10,14,25	30 103:10 128:20			
	300 6:17			
121:17				
11 5:8 6:3 61:25	33067 2:5			
104:19 106:25	348 16:18		1	
107:12,17,22,24	4		1	
112:13	4			
11:40 129:10	4 4:16 46:21,25 47:21			
12 5:11 73:8 128:17	49:20			
129:4	40 38:24			
1200 1:14	43210 6:18			
123 4:6	43215 1:14			
129 5:11	5			
13 94:5	5 4:18 49:8 50:15			
15 73:9 74:13 122:20				
1700 2:10	73:8			
173 2:16	5.04 16:20,24			
18 131:24	50 118:5,11			
19 98:13 111:10	500 1:13			
1976 95:14	5910 2:9			
137033.11				
2	6			
2 4:12 22:7 43:6,7	6 4:4,10,12,14,16,18			
-	4:20,20,22,24 5:4,6			
46:6 52:10 56:10	5:8 50:20 51:23			
57:19 59:15	3.0 20.20 31.23			
2.0 17:2,6	7			
2.93 16:19	7 4:22 52:21 55:24,24			
2.933 16:18	57:11,13,16,17,19			
20 20:21,23,24 83:9				
125:20	57:22			
20,000 125:13,20	7/9 57:13			
2000 79:3	7501 2:4			
2000 79.3 2000 s 78:8,9 79:2	75206 2:10			
2006 96:11 97:14	787) 753-1656 2:18			
	787)758-6060 2:17			
2009 4:23	79 57:15,23			
2011 56:1 118:22				
2013 94:5	8			
2014 1:12 3:1 16:15	8 4:24 55:25 56:6			
103:2,10 130:5				
2016 131:24	80s 76:13,14,23			
207 2:4	77:18 111:10			
214)828-9200 2:11				
217/020-7200 2.11				
	•	1	· '	